

MH/Primary Care Integration Options

Function	Minimal Collaboration	Basic Collaboration from a Distance	Basic Collaboration On-Site	Close Collaboration/Partly Integrated	Fully Integrated/Merged
THE CONSUMER and STAFF PERSPECTIVE/EXPERIENCE					
Access	Two front doors; consumers go to separate sites and organizations for services	Two front doors; cross system conversations on individual cases with signed releases of information	Separate reception, but accessible at same site; easier collaboration at time of service	Same reception; some joint service provided with two providers with some overlap	One reception area where appointments are scheduled; usually one health record, one visit to address all needs; integrated provider model
Services	Separate and distinct services and treatment plans; two physicians prescribing	Separate and distinct services with occasional sharing of treatment plans for Q4 consumers	Two physicians prescribing with consultation; two treatment plans but routine sharing on individual plans, probably in all quadrants;	Q1 and Q3 one physician prescribing, with consultation; Q2 & 4 two physicians prescribing some treatment plan integration, but not consistently with all consumers	One treatment plan with all consumers, one site for all services; ongoing consultation and involvement in services; one physician prescribing for Q1, 2, 3, and some 4; two physicians for some Q4: one set of lab work
Funding	Separate systems and funding sources, no sharing of resources	Separate funding systems; both may contribute to one project	Separate funding, but sharing of some on-site expenses	Separate funding with shared on-site expenses, shared staffing costs and infrastructure	Integrated funding, with resources shared across needs; maximization of billing and support staff; potential new flexibility
Governance	Separate systems with little of no collaboration; consumer is left to navigate the chasm	Two governing Boards; line staff work together on individual cases	Two governing Boards with Executive Director collaboration on services for groups of consumers, probably Q4	Two governing Boards that meet together periodically to discuss mutual issues	One Board with equal representation from each partner
EBP	Individual EBP's implemented in each system;	Two providers, some sharing of information but responsibility for care cited in one clinic or the other	Some sharing of EBP's around high utilizers (Q4) ; some sharing of knowledge across disciplines	Sharing of EBP's across systems; joint monitoring of health conditions for more quadrants	EBP's like PHQ9; IDDT, diabetes management; cardiac care provider across populations in all quadrants
Data	Separate systems, often paper based, little if any sharing of data	Separate data sets, some discussion with each other of what data shares	Separate data sets; some collaboration on individual cases	Separate data sets, some collaboration around some individual cases; maybe some aggregate data sharing on population groups	Fully integrated, (electronic) health record with information available to all practitioners on need to know basis; data collection from one source