

POLICE SERVICES STEERING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Wednesday, October 6, 2010

4:00pm – 5:30pm

705 North Zeeb Road

Members:

Jerry Clayton (present)	Sheriff
Roland Sizemore (present)	BOC Chair
Jeff Irwin (absent)	County Commissioner
Pat Kelly (absent)	Dexter Township
Michael Moran (absent)	Ann Arbor Township
William McFarlane (present)	Superior Township
Mark Ouimet (present)	County Commissioner
Kenneth Schwartz (present)	County Commissioner
Brenda Stumbo (absent)	Ypsilanti Township
Paul Bunten (present)	Chief of Police Saline
Kenneth Unterbrink (present)	Lima Township
Karen Lovejoy-Roe (absent)	Ypsilanti Township
Patricia Vaillencourt (present)	Manchester Village

County Staff Present: Verna McDaniel (County Administrator) William Reynolds (Deputy County Administrator) SiRui Huang (Budget Office)

Sheriff Leadership Director Greg Dill, Lt. Brian Filipiak, Lt. Troy Bevier, Exec. Assist. Kathy Wyatt

Others Present: Kristin Judge (BOC), Courtney Nicholls (Village of Dexter), Mary Morgan (Ann Arbor Chronicle)

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 4:05 pm by Director Dill, at the Washtenaw County Western Service Center Building, 705 North Zeeb Road, MSU Conference Room.

2. Approval of Minutes

The July 7, 2010, PSSC meeting minutes were approved by Committee. (correction made to reflect appropriate McFarland typo.)

3. Citizen Participation (none)

4. Committee Check-In

PSSC Financial Sub-Committee

5. Discussion PS Cost Issues

Sheriff: questions from group at last meeting or those sent to Kathy would be answered this meeting,

SiRui:

1. Terminology Document: updated version of document from PSSC Financial Sub-Committee.
2. Fleet Document: broken down for PS then broken down for contract services. McFarlane: asked about number of vehicles—88. Greg: need to breakdown for contract jurisdictions. Ken: is there a need to split into patrol and non-patrol. McFarlane thinks should distinguish. SiRui: patrol vehicles are used by county-wide and DB. McFarlane: asked about breakdown, Mark O: can determine cost for deputies on the roadways based on amount of time spent in vehicle. Sheriff: document talks about 78.13 % for contract policing. Pat V: does this include only PS vehicles. Sheriff: if had own agency would have admin. --but only paying a portion of the cost. Greg: line item for fleet group would like to align with number of vehicles used for PS contract jurisdictions. Townships do not bear entire burden of admin vehicles. Greg: other question is what the costs of the fleet operation are.. McFarlane: looking at total number of vehicles and number assigned to Superior. SiRui: vehicle cost is \$14,000 if divide total numbers which is close to the PSU of \$13,000.

SiRui: contract cost analysis updated illustrating how we breakdown was updated for 2011.

Ken Schwartz: we are about done. Mark O: important that we all buy into this document—this will be a baseline—need to have it as close to accurate as possible. Ken agrees, but at some point have to stop splitting hairs—going for substantial fairness, not perfect fairness. Mark O: agreed—referred to comment last time “margin of error”

Pat V; would like an understanding of what positions do i.e. Dir. of Community Engagement, Dir. Of Administrative Operations.

Sheriff: next steps—one more week to send questions. Set next meeting –so can go to BOC.

McFarlane thinks these are fair numbers—but big issue is cost. McFarlane: other important issue is the benefit of Township Deputies to other areas and the county. Contracting agencies provide a benefit to the whole county and that should be reelected in the price (10% or higher) Pat V: Ingham county—looking at costs of setting up own –may cost less. Sheriff: certain counties support at higher level—affects price (not necessarily cost). Sheriff: there are the economies of scale with the county-wide policing agency. SO affects economic development and safety net. Sheriff: there is a value added to having SO throughout the county. Paul: officers on the street important—mutual pact—SO provides major role. Pat V: price important. Pat V: after first year \$1.78. Sheriff: that doesn't mean staffing would be adequate. Townships contribute to the economies of scale. Kristin J: her area has a Police Force, but is aware of how important the role of the SO is to this. Is important that Townships come to the BOC to say why this is important to economic development and community safety. KJ: is important to make the case. McFarlane will be there to support --thinks Sheriff doing a great job—but price is important. Paul: agencies do go other areas. Greg: have talked to Ingham SO around Budget. Sheriff: is important how we role this out. Mark O: once we agree on cost (close) then we have to determine the value of this service (may have 11 different opinions) Mark O: must figure out where we benchmark so we know what to charge—is the third leg. Sheriff: Map and Sheriff went to MSU talked to Prof. –will be helping with measuring value added of the SO—they have the data capability to do—example—look at over-all property value—look at cost of insuring and how that is affected by public safety and the SO—hopes that will help support this discussion at some point.

Sheriff do we want this to the BOC before the end of the year. Verna: Nov. 3rd meeting might be good goal. Should go to Working Session first-- Oct 21st Working Session. McFarlane –when need

Township input? Kristin J: at both BOC meetings. McFarlane Supervisors would be willing to come. Schwartz: agrees with Kristin. Verna: two presentations that night. Could switch the presentations— Ken Schwartz and Verna will talk.

6. Adjournment:

The meeting was adjourned at 4:48 pm.

Next Meeting

Special Meeting October 13, 2010

4:00pm – 5:00pm

Washtenaw County Annex building

110 N. Fourth, Ann Arbor

Large Conference Room

Approved by Committee: October 13, 2010