

2006 CDBG Human Services RFP#6256

Proposal Review & Funding Recommendations

Total Funds Available:

FY 06 CDBG Public/ Human Services: \$177,233

Method for Scoring

- 1) A “+1” score signifies that the proposal/ proposing organization meets or exceeds the expectations of the criterion.
- 2) A “0” score signifies that the proposal/ proposing organization is neutral to determine if they meet the criterion and/or there is not enough information.
- 3) A “-1” score signifies that the proposal/proposing organization does not meet the expectations of the criterion.

*** The top ranked agencies whose proposals fit within the funding available will be fully funded with CDBG to the greatest extent possible. If there is funding left over after those agencies (and another agency cannot be funded for at least \$10,000 with the remainder), then the remaining funding will be allocated to the agencies that were fully funded through the RFP.**

Method for Decision-making:

- 1) A staff team will tally the results using this scoring method, make funding recommendations, and bring the recommendations to the Urban County Executive Committee for a final decision.
- 2) The Urban County Executive Committee will decide the winning bid (s).

2006 Urban County Human Services Proposal Analysis- RFP# 6256

Organization Name	Project Name	Brief Description of Services	Questions for Organization	What do grant \$ pay for?	Request vs. Total Project Budget	Amount of Request	# Proposed to Serve
Catholic Social Services of Washtenaw County	Older Adult Health Insurance Access	At-risk seniors will have better access to health care and obtain the prescription drugs they need through project education and counseling related to the Medicaid & Medicare Programs.	1. proposal indicates two different numbers for participants (400 and 250). How many do you intend to serve? 2. How do you intend to market services to the Urban County residents?	Salary & Fringes (7% coordinator & 100% of program specialist)	\$20,000/ \$52,219 = 38%	\$20,000	400
Child Care Network	Family Support Program	Provides low-income customers with child care scholarships to alleviate the high cost of care so that they can remain employed or in job training programs.	1. Why did administrative/salary costs go up dramatically this year from last, as a proportion of the money used for direct cast assistance (now 29%)?	19% Salary & Fringes;	\$35,000/ \$515,179= 7%	\$35,000	35
Community Dental Center: Regents of the University of Michigan	Comprehensive dental care for low-income residents	To provide affordable dental care to low-income residents of Washtenaw Urban County	1. What is the average cost per participant and please include it in the budget. 2. What is the \$273 per participant used for (salary, supplies, etc.) and please include it in the budget. 3. What is the total budget of your dental program and please demonstrate that in your budget. 4. Marketing to Urban County.	\$273/ participant for reduced cost care	\$15,000/ ?	\$15,000	55
Hope Medical Clinic	Hope Dental Clinic	Provides access to free, high-quality dental care to low-income residents of Urban County that do not have dental insurance.		Salary & Fringes	\$20,000/ \$237,574= 8%	\$20,000	250
Interfaith Hospitality Network	Transportation for Families Experiencing Homelessness	IHN will hire a driver to provide limited transportation assistance to families residing at Alpha House in Scio Township	1. How many families will access this at any one time? 2. What will the hours of transportation be? 3. You listed two different numbers for participants (120 and 35). How many do you intend to serve?		\$15,082/ \$15,082 = 100%	\$15,082	?
Michigan Ability Partners	Coordinated Employment Options- Inmate Services	To Provide employability skills workshops to inmates of the Washtenaw County Jail in order to increase employability and reduce recidivism.	1. What is the specific assistance in the project budget?	37% on salary & fringes; various administrative costs; specific assistance	\$9,500/ \$131,671= 7%	\$9,500	240
Michigan Ability Partners	Representative Payee Program	Financial management services for people with disabilities and older adults to prevent foreclosure or eviction		Salary & Fringes	20000/ \$137,845 = 15%	\$20,000	48
Neighborhood Senior Services	Home Maintenance & Injury Prevention	To assist lower-income older adults who need assistance with accessibility modifications, home injury prevention and minor repairs		67% is salary & fringes; 23% for cost of ramps; misc. admin	\$26,000/ \$58,118= 10%	\$26,000	160

2006 Urban County Human Services Proposal Analysis- RFP# 6256

Organization Name	Project Name	Brief Description of Services	Questions for Organization	What do grant \$ pay for?	Request vs. Total Project Budget	Amount of Request	# Proposed to Serve
Northfield's Human Services	Dollar to Ride	To sustain & expand transportation to under-served jurisdictions of the Urban County, in order for lower-income residents to access necessary services, employment, valuable community resources and economic opportunity.	1. How do you intend to serve and market to the residents of the new Urban County areas?	salaries & fringes; misc admin expenses	\$60,500/ \$578,869= 10%	\$60,500	115
The Student Advocacy Center	The Student Advocacy Township Program	To increase the number of high risk youth who graduate from high school with the skills required to attend college or vocational training.		salaries & fringes	\$20,000/ \$60,000= 33%	\$20,000	40
SOS Community Services	SOS Housing Stability Program	To increase housing stability through ongoing case management support services for families at-risk of becoming homeless.	1. How does this grant proposal tie in with SOS's other programming?	salary & fringes; direct financial assistance for participants; space	\$45,000/ \$45,000= 100%	\$45,000	20
Washtenaw Affordable Housing Corporation	Enhanced Resident Services	To develop a resident support program to connect residents to appropriate services, in order to prevent evictions and maintain housing stability, etc.		salary & fringes & misc. admin	\$15,000/ \$47,050= 32%	\$15,000	60
Ypsilanti Meals on Wheels	Home Delivered Meals	To improve nutritional status and support independent living for the homebound frail elderly, ill, and disabled through the provision of nutritional home-delivered meals.		salary & fringes; food purchase	\$25,000/ \$453,692=5%	\$25,000	35

2006 Urban County Funding Recommendations- RFP# 6256 Approved by the UC EC: 3/28/06

Organization Name	Project Name	Proposal identifies the target priority needs & goals of the Urban County Consolidated Plan	Proposal describes how the identified outcomes/investment targets will be supported	Bidder submitted reports/documentation in a timely fashion for previous CDBG project(s)	Bidder shows evidence of other reliable sources of funding on budget form	Bidder demonstrates feasible timeline for project completion (in performance targets/milestones)	Proposal contains sufficient information to complete all of the required sections identified in RFP	Project to serve a defined number of low- and moderate-income households in the Urban County	Proposal compares favorably with others submitted on cost per unit and/or level of services	Proposal demonstrates the ability, capacity and skill of the bidder to perform the contract	Bidder shows evidence of collaboration with other agencies in proposal	Total Scores	Funding Request	2006 County Staff Member Funding Recommendation
Catholic Social Services of Washtenaw County	Older Adult Health Insurance Access	1.00	0.33	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.00	-0.67	1.00	1.00	6.33	\$20,000	
Child Care Network	Family Support Program	1.00	0.67	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.00	1.00	9.33	\$35,000	\$32,684
Community Dental Center: Regents of the University of Michigan	Comprehensive dental care for low-income residents	1.00	0.67	0.00	-1.00	0.67	1.00	-0.67	-1.00	1.00	0.67	2.33	\$15,000	
Hope Medical Clinic	Hope Dental Clinic	1.00	0.67	-1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.00	0.33	6.67	\$20,000	\$20,000
Interfaith Hospitality Network	Transportation for Families Experiencing Homelessness	1.00	0.00	0.00	-1.00	0.67	0.33	0.67	-0.67	0.67	0.67	2.33	\$15,082	
Michigan Ability Partners	Coordinated Employment Options-Inmate Services	0.67	0.67	-0.67	0.33	0.67	0.33	1.00	-0.67	0.33	1.00	3.67	\$9,500	
Michigan Ability Partners	Representative Payee Program	0.67	0.67	-0.33	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.00	1.00	6.67	\$20,000	\$20,000

Organization Name	Project Name	Proposal identifies the target priority needs & goals of the Urban County Consolidated Plan	Proposal describes how the identified outcomes/investment targets will be supported	Bidder submitted reports/documentation in a timely fashion for previous CDBG project(s)	Bidder shows evidence of other reliable sources of funding on budget form	Bidder demonstrates feasible timeline for project completion (in performance targets/milestones)	Proposal contains sufficient information to complete all of the required sections identified in RFP	Project to serve a defined number of low- and moderate-income households in the Urban County	Proposal compares favorably with others submitted on cost per unit and/or level of services	Proposal demonstrates the ability, capacity and skill of the bidder to perform the contract	Bidder shows evidence of collaboration with other agencies in proposal	Total Scores	Funding Request	2006 County Staff Member Funding Recommendation
Neighborhood Senior Services	Home Maintenance & Injury Prevention Program	1.00	0.67	-1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.67	0.67	1.00	7.00	\$26,000	\$23,684
Northfield's Human Services	Dollar to Ride	1.00	0.67	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.33	1.00	1.00	9.00	\$60,500	\$58,181
The Student Advocacy Center	The Student Advocacy Township Program	0.67	0.67	-1.00	0.67	1.00	1.00	0.67	0.67	1.00	1.00	6.33	\$20,000	
SOS Community Services	SOS Housing Stability Program	0.67	0.33	0.00	-1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-0.33	0.67	1.00	4.33	\$45,000	
Washtenaw Affordable Housing Corporation	Enhanced Resident Services	0.67	0.67	0.33	0.33	1.00	1.00	1.00	-0.33	0.00	1.00	5.67	\$15,000	
Ypsilanti Meals on Wheels	Home Delivered Meals	1.00	0.67	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.67	1.00	1.00	9.33	\$25,000	\$22,684
Total CDBG Funding Requested/Recommended:													\$326,082	\$177,233

Notes: The final scores for ranking were determined by averaging the scores from the three County staff members that ranked each of the proposals using the proposal ranking instructions. The six highest ranking agencies were selected for funding. However, their cumulative requests were \$9,267 more than was available in CDBG human services funding. The ranking & funding instructions indicated that, in the case that we couldn't fully fund the lowest ranked project in range of funding, we would not fund it and would divide up the remaining funding for those ranked above it. However, this year two agencies tied for the lowest rank in range of funding (MAP & NSS). If we followed the rules, we would have had to de-fund two projects (MAP & NSS), which wasn't the intent of the funding instructions. Therefore, in order to calculate final funding recommendations at the level available, the Office of Community Development deducted approximately \$2,316 from each of the recommendations for agencies requesting an increase from last year's funding (YMW, NHS, CCN, & NSS).