

2006 CDBG Public Facilities RFP #6306

Proposal Review & Funding Recommendations

Ranking Criteria/ Method for Scoring:

Three Community Development staff members will review the proposals and rank each bid separately, based upon the criteria listed below. Then, the OCD will average the scores that each bidder received from the three reviewers. The highest averaged total score (out of a possible 30 points) will be selected by the OCD as the "most reasonable and responsive bid" and recommended to the Urban County Executive Committee for funding.

Method for Decision Making:

The Urban County Executive Committee will review OCD staff recommendations at their regular monthly meeting, and will make the final decision about the most reasonable and responsive bid at that time.

2006 CDBG Public Facilities RFP #6306 Funding Approved by Urban County: 8/24/06

	SBW	GM Engineers & Associates	HRC	Dynamic Consultants	Losse/Phillips
Base Cost Proposal (alternates extra by hourly rate)	\$29,778	\$47,970	\$47,852	\$44,980	\$32,820
1) Firm Background/Past Experience – Demonstrated experience that addresses scope of study and the capacity to accomplish (0-3 points)	3	3	3	3	1
2) Project Team – Experience & qualifications of project team are appropriate for work to be completed (0-5 points)	3	3	4	3	2
3) References - Positive references for similar projects funded by governmental entity (0-3 points)	2	2	2	2	2
4) Work Plan/ Timeline - Proposed timing and ability of project team to start immediately (0-7 points)	6	2	5	4	0
5) Implementation Approach – Demonstrates project understanding, appropriate team structure, and creativity (0-7 points)	6	4	5	4	0
6) Cost Proposal - Proposed cost for study as summarized in cost proposal compares favorably with other bidders and includes required components (0-5 points)	5	2	3	3	3
Total Score	25	16	22	19	9
FY 2006-07 CDBG Funding Recommendation Note: Funding Recommendation Pending D & B Report & Final Reference Checks	Yes	No	No	No	No