

RFP # 6108 OUTPATIENT TREATMENT FY 2003-04

APPLICANT – HELPSOURCE TOTAL SCORE: 35.5	Strengths	Weaknesses
<b>The proposal must contain all of the sections identified in the application instructions (10 Points).</b>		
Rate the completeness of the application. Does the proposal include all the items indicated on the inclusion checklist? Subtract points for missing or incomplete items. (5 points) <b>SCORE: 5.0</b>	Application is complete; Insurance certificate provided, license and accreditation included.	None.
Rate the clarity of the proposal in describing the goals and activities of the program? Do you clearly understand what the bidder intends to do? (5 points) SCORE: 3.5	Description of program activities is satisfactory.	None.
<b>The proposal must demonstrate the ability, capacity, and skill of the bidder to perform the contract in a cost-effective and quality manner. The proposal should support the efficacy of the treatment model, the reputation of the bidding agency and the qualifications of the treatment staff (20 points).</b>		
Rate the Qualifications and Reputation of the Bidder: Does the bidder have experience in providing treatment or other services to the offender population? How qualified are the staff to perform the service requested? You may consider letters of support or personal knowledge/experience in making this judgment. (5 points) SCORE: 3.0	Accredited, long history of serving offender population under MDOC contract in custody and in the community	Past issues related to billing / client progress reporting remain a concern.
<b>Rate the Efficacy of Treatment Model Does the proposal make a compelling case that the treatment model selected is the most appropriate, given the population served, the jail location, or the length of programming? Do the treatment objectives appear to meet the treatment goals? How well can the bidder support the effectiveness of their proposal with documentation of actual outcomes? Is there outside research on treatment effectiveness that supports the proposal? (Multiply Score X 2 = 10 points) SCORE: 6 .0</b>	Good balance between didactic and process groups. Treatment model is primarily cognitive behavioral. Application assumes efficacy of CBT with offender population without substantiating case.	Despite a self-described commitment to evaluation, the bidder appears to rely on satisfaction surveys and longstanding existing contracts with MDOC to argue effectiveness. 90 day outcome surveys of AA attendance (76%) are provided, but no other measures provided.
Rate the Cost Effectiveness of the Proposal. Is the proposal within the cost allocated for the program? Is the proposed budget top heavy with administrative costs? (5 points) SCORE 5.0	Within allotted budget. Good cost detail (\$29.99 per unit of service). Not heavy with administrative costs.	None.
The proposal shall facilitate continuity of services for clients and shall demonstrate capacity for collaboration with other programs and services of Washtenaw County Community Corrections. (20 points)		
Rate the Strength of the Assessment Piece in the Proposal. Does the program include an assessment piece that appears useful in building a post release case plan? (5 points) SCORE: 3.0	Referenced as a "rapid single session process...consistent with managed care expectations".	Former bids referenced specific assessment tools used.
Rate the Proposal in terms of Continuity of Services and Collaborative Programming. To what extent does the bidding agency have existing contractual links with Community Corrections or the community-at-large for programs and services that will remove barriers and/or expedite access to aftercare services? To what extent is the nature of the programming compatible with other support programming, such as AA/NA or cognitive behavioral therapy? (Multiply Score X 2 = 10 points) SCORE:7 .0	Agency has ties to a diverse array of individual and family services.	None.
Rate the Evaluation Component of the Proposal: To what extent do the outcome measures appear relevant to program goals and objectives? Will the outcome measures yield meaningful information about program effectiveness? (5 Points) SCORE: 3.0	Evaluation consists of Pre & Post tests, Client satisfaction exit interviews, and Follow-up instrument.	The follow up instrument piece has no clear indication of what will be measured. These measures are not provided as outcomes in current application.

RFP # 6108 OUTPATIENT TREATMENT FY 2003-04

APPLICANT – DAWN INC., TOTAL SCORE: 43.5	Strengths	Weaknesses
<b>The proposal must contain all of the sections identified in the application instructions (10 Points).</b>		
Rate the completeness of the application. Does the proposal include all the items indicated on the inclusion checklist? Subtract points for missing or incomplete items. (5 points) <b>SCORE: 4.5</b>	Application is complete; Insurance certificate provided, license and accreditation included.	The sheer volume of material requires searching for specific aspects of the application.
<b>Rate the clarity of the proposal in describing the goals and activities of the program? Do you clearly understand what the bidder intends to do? (5 points) SCORE: 4.5</b>	The curriculum is richly detailed – including facilitator notes.	None.
<b>The proposal must demonstrate the ability, capacity, and skill of the bidder to perform the contract in a cost-effective and quality manner. The proposal should support the efficacy of the treatment model, the reputation of the bidding agency and the qualifications of the treatment staff (20 points).</b>		
Rate the Qualifications and Reputation of the Bidder: Does the bidder have experience in providing treatment or other services to the offender population? How qualified are the staff to perform the service requested? You may consider letters of support or personal knowledge/experience in making this judgment. (5 points) <b>SCORE: 5.0</b>	Accredited, long history of serving offender population in custody and residential treatment. Recent community-based service delivery is viewed as a plus.	None.
<b>Rate the Efficacy of Treatment Model Does the proposal make a compelling case that the treatment model selected is the most appropriate, given the population served, the jail location, or the length of programming? Do the treatment objectives appear to meet the treatment goals? How well can the bidder support the effectiveness of their proposal with documentation of actual outcomes? Is there outside research on treatment effectiveness that supports the proposal? (Multiply Score X 2 = 10 points) SCORE: 7.50</b>	The statement of the efficacy of the treatment model is superior. The incorporated elements of motivational enhancement and cognitive behavioral therapies are identified. Makes a strong argument for TSF as a core philosophy. 2002 report cites 54% completion rate.	None.
Rate the Cost Effectiveness of the Proposal. Is the proposal within the cost allocated for the program? Is the proposed budget top heavy with administrative costs? (5 points) <b>SCORE: 5.0</b>	Delivers 8 sessions @ total CCAB cost of \$160 per completion. No administrative costs.	None
The proposal shall facilitate continuity of services for clients and shall demonstrate capacity for collaboration with other programs and services of Washtenaw County Community Corrections. (20 points)		
Rate the Strength of the Assessment Piece in the Proposal. Does the program include an assessment piece that appears useful in building a post release case plan? (5 points) <b>SCORE: 4.0</b>	The application includes several accepted assessment tools and self-evaluation measures.	None
Rate the Proposal in terms of Continuity of Services and Collaborative Programming. To what extent does the bidding agency have existing contractual links with Community Corrections or the community-at-large for programs and services that will remove barriers and/or expedite access to aftercare services? To what extent is the nature of the programming compatible with other support programming, such as AA/NA or cognitive behavioral therapy? (Multiply Score X 2 = 10 points) <b>SCORE: 9.0</b>	Agency has two other contracts with the CCAB, The agency provides a complete continuum of care: drug ed, outpatient, relapse prevention, detoxification, transitional housing & residential.	
Rate the Evaluation Component of the Proposal: To what extent do the outcome measures appear relevant to program goals and objectives? Will the outcome measures yield meaningful information about program effectiveness? (5 Points) <b>SCORE: 4.0</b>	The measures target key outcomes: post-abstinence, treatment success, recidivism.	Newly available data will allow better collaboration with CCAB for specific outcome reporting.

Recommendation: Approve Dawn Farm

CCAB Action Taken:

October 14<sup>th</sup> ,2003 – APPROVED DAWN FARM