

2003 CDBG/ HOME Housing & Human Services RFP

Proposal Review & Funding Recommendations

Funds Available:

FY 02 HOME Rental Rehabilitation: \$50,000

FY 03 HOME Rental Rehabilitation: \$250,000

Total Available: \$250,000

Method for Scoring:

- 1) A “+1” score signifies that the proposal/ proposing organization meets or exceeds the expectations of the criterion.
- 2) A “0” score signifies that the proposal/ proposing organization is neutral to determine if they meet the criterion.
- 3) A “-1” score signifies that the proposal/proposing organization does not meet the expectations of the criterion.

Method for Decision-making:

- 1) A staff team will tally the results using this scoring method, make funding recommendations, and bring the recommendations to the Urban County Executive Committee & the HOME Consortium Boards for a final decision.
- 2) The Urban County Executive Committee & the HOME Board will make the final decisions on awarding grants to bidder(s).

Ranking Criteria	Rating
	Community Housing Alternatives
Project to benefit low-income families or indiv.?	+1
Project meets needs in Con Plan?	0
Evidence of Past Experience	+1
Timeliness of Completion of Past Projects	+1
Evidence of Other Sources of Funding	-1
Total Units Under Mgmt.	+1
Level of Prior County Funding	+1
Degree to which project will improve neighborhood	+1
Totals	5
Recommended Funding Amounts	\$35,000
FY 02-03 Funds	\$0
FY 03-04 Funds	\$35,000
? County Match?	\$0

Community Housing

Alternatives: Proposal to rehabilitate a housing unit in Pittsfield Twp, which provides rental housing to 2 persons with disabilities.

Score: 5

Amount of Proposal: \$35,000

Pros: 1) proposal meets a need in the community by serving individuals with severe developmental disabilities; 2) preserves low-income units for this population

Cons: 1) County needs to find out if rent meets HOME limits and verify income eligibility of tenants.