

WASHTENAW URBAN COUNTY EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

Tuesday, October 23, 2012 **1:30pm- 3:00pm**
Washtenaw County Learning Resource Center (LRC) Room B

AGENDA

I. Public Comment

II. Announcements

- A. Annual Action Plan has been approved by HUD
- B. Environmental Review Complete

III. Minutes

- A. 4/24/12 meeting minutes – review & approval **(ACTION)**

IV. General Administration

- A. Proposed By-laws Amendments **(ACTION)**
- B. Ratification of transfer of \$9,100 from Fair Housing Center's 2009 CDBG-R contract to Pittsfield Township's Sidewalk Improvement Program **(ACTION)**
- c. Consolidated Plan
- d. Project Updates

V. Adjournment

Next Meeting: Tuesday, November 27, 2012 - 1-3pm, Washtenaw County LRC



WASHTENAW URBAN COUNTY EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING
Tuesday, October 23, 2012 1:30pm- 3:00pm

AGENDA SUMMARY

Annual Action Plan

The Annual Action Plan has been approved by HUD and they have forwarded the County Grant Agreements. These Agreements are currently being executed by the County and are confirmation of FY 2012 funding awards programmed in the Annual Action Plan:

- \$1,035,341 HOME Investment Partnership Grants (affordable housing)
- \$1,995,012 Community Development Block Grants (affordable housing, human services, infrastructure in low-mod communities)
- \$172,306 Emergency Solutions Grants (Homeless assistance)

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Environmental Review

Notification was received by HUD that the Environmental Review that was submitted is complete for those projects where a specific location was identified.

Proposed By-Laws Amendments

With the expansion of the Urban County Executive Committee to 19 members (18 local unit jurisdictions in addition to the County representative), we have had two instances where the group was unable to reach a quorum to conduct business. County staff are concerned about the prospect of meeting the required meeting standard moving forward. We realize that all Urban County members are committed to the cooperative agreement, but nonetheless, due to relatively small target allocations, there may be times where attendance at the meetings is a lower priority.

To this end, staff has prepared three proposed amendments to the Urban County Executive Committee By-laws to address this issue:

1. The first is a proposal to amend the By-laws to require 8 members to reach a quorum for the purpose of conducting business. This would reduce the number of members required from the current level of 10 members.
2. The second proposed amendment is to amend the By-laws to remove the restriction of the number of alternates (delegates) that a Mayor, Supervisor, or President may designate.
3. The third proposed amendment would allow for designees to be identified for a cooperative period, rather than restricted to an annual basis.

These proposed changes are included in the attached version of the By-laws for your consideration and action at the meeting.

Ratification of Use of Remaining CDBG-R funds

In February of 2009, the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development released a special funding under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act called "CDBG-R." Like traditional CDBG funding, this money was allocated among the Washtenaw Urban County jurisdictions by the Urban County Executive Committee.

The final set of projects, approved by the Urban County, for the allocation of the CDBG-R funds was as follows:

- City of Ypsilanti – American Disability Act Curb Cuts

- Pittsfield Township – Sidewalk Improvements
- Ypsilanti Township – Road Improvements
- Urban County wide – Fair Housing Center of Southeastern Michigan - Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Report
- Urban County wide – Administration

All of the projects listed above were completed as planned, except for the Fair Housing Center's Analysis of Impediments, which was completed with \$9,100 left in its budget. According to the regulations, this remaining funding must be spent by September 30, 2012, and it must be spent on one of the projects on the list above.

To achieve this staff has explored several scenarios and has ultimately pursued the following approach:

- Move \$9,183.80 of remaining CDBG-R funding unused from Fair Housing Center to Pittsfield Township Sidewalk Improvements project.
- Move corresponding amount of funds from FY 2012 CDBG program (i.e. "regular" CDBG funds) from Pittsfield Township allocation to Ypsilanti Township Infrastructure Project.

These shifts are made to utilize the remaining CDBG-R funds in the required timeframe so as not to have these funds recaptured, while addressing a remaining gap in the Ypsilanti Township infrastructure projects.

Staff requests the Board ratify this approach through approval.

Washtenaw County FY 2011 CAPER

The FY 2011 Washtenaw County Comprehensive Annual Performance Evaluation Report (CAPER) is currently being finalized for submission. No feedback was received during the public comment period and the draft document can be viewed on the County website [here](#).

Consolidated Plan

It is time for the Urban County to begin development of the Consolidated Plan. This is the five-year plan document that provides an overview of our desires and approach to utilize HUD funds to achieve desired outcomes. Component to this process over the coming year, the Urban County Executive Committee will embark on a discussion of activity prioritization in connection with the recent and projected reductions in funding levels. These levels may not result in a sustainable model moving forward to conduct/provide all of the types of activities that the Urban County has in the past. We don't anticipate any specific action at the meeting, but want to introduce the discussion, and receive initial feedback from members on any information that would be helpful to frame this dialogue.

Project Updates

Below, is a summary of the projects completed by the Washtenaw County rehabilitation program over the last program year (July 1, 2011 to June 30, 2012).

Project Type	City of Ann Arbor	Salem Township	Scio Township	Superior Township	City of Ypsilanti	Ypsilanti Township	York Township	Totals
Single-Family Rehabilitation	7			1	6	6	1	21
Ramp	1	1			3	2		7
Mobile Home Rehabilitation			2	1		2		5
Emergency Repair	1		3	2	3	12		21
Energy Efficiency Project				1				1
Totals	9	1	5	5	12	22	1	55

Other - Federal Sequestration Act Summary (Courtesy of National Association of Housing and Redevelopment Officials)

The Sequestration Transparency Act, signed into law in August of 2012, required the administration to issue a report detailing the various effects sequestration would have on federal government programs. On September 14, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) released this (NOTE: LARGE DOCUMENT , 350 PAGES) [report](#), providing line-item estimates of cuts that will be made to each program if the sequester goes into effect on January 2, 2013, as mandated by current law. The report urges the Congress to take action to avoid the sequester, saying that “sequestration would undermine investments vital to economic growth, threaten the safety and security of the American people, and cause severe harm to programs that benefit the middle-class, seniors, and children.”

The sequester was authorized by the Budget Control Act of 2011 (BCA), the legislation which raised the debt ceiling, set top-line spending caps for each year through FY 2021, and required the federal government to enact measures to reduce the federal deficit substantially. The BCA established the bipartisan Joint Select Committee on Deficit Reduction, also known as the “Super Committee,” and charged it with developing a plan to reduce the deficit by at least \$1.2 trillion by 2021. To incentivize the Committee to find common ground, the BCA mandated a wildly unpopular alternative should the Committee fail: sequestration. If the committee did not reach agreement, a fate which ultimately did come to pass, sequestration would guarantee that automatic across-the-board cuts would go into effect. As designed in the BCA, these cuts would be spread equally between defense accounts and domestic discretionary spending, with each side being reduced by \$54.7 billion per year, starting in FY 2013.

The recent OMB report shows that, based on current budget estimates, all affected domestic discretionary programs (including housing and community development programs) would be cut uniformly by 8.2 percent to achieve the required savings. In addition, non-exempt defense programs discretionary and mandatory programs would be cut by 9.4 and 10 percent respectively, non-exempt nondefense mandatory programs would be cut by 7.6 percent, and Medicare would be cut by 2.0 percent. These calculations, as required by the Sequestration Transparency Act, are based on the assumption that discretionary appropriations are funded at the same rate of operations as in FY 2012. This assumption is likely to reflect reality, as the Continuing Resolution (CR) to fund the federal government through the first six months of FY 2013, which has already passed the House and continues to move through the Senate, contains very few anomalies (funding variations) compared to FY 2012 enacted levels. (The CR does include a 0.6 percent across-the-board increase for most programs that was not factored in the OMB estimates. The across-the-board increase could ultimately lower the sequester percentage for domestic discretionary programs by a modest amount, perhaps to 8.15 percent.)

Additional Information

If you have any questions on any of the information included in this summary, or would like additional information, please contact Brett Lenart, Housing and Community Infrastructure Manager at 622-9006 or lenartb@ewashtenaw.org.

WASHTENAW URBAN COUNTY EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

Tuesday, April 24th, 2012 1:00pm- 3:00pm
Washtenaw County Learning Resource Center (LRC)

Minutes

Members In Attendance:

Bill DeGroot
Joe Zurawski
Margie Teall
Paul Schreiber
Mike Radzik
Mike Moran
Yousef Rabhi
Mandy Grewal
Pat Kelly
Ron Mann
Ken Unterbrink
William McFarlane

Guests:

Gary Bruder
Rob Nissly
Tom Erickson
Christopher Bric
Bob Zinser
Michael Appel
Thomas Partridge

OCED Staff

Mary Jo Callan
Andrea Plevak
Mirada Jenkins
Brett Lenart
Ben Kraft

Meeting called to order: 1:05 pm

- I. **Public Comments** – OCED staff gave a brief explanation about the purpose of the public hearings scheduled for this meeting—the first being to obtain comments about the FY 12-13 Urban County Annual Action Plan, and the second being to approve an amendment to the FY 11-12 Urban County Annual Action Plan regarding changes in regulations to FY 11-12 Emergency Solutions Grant Funds. **Mike Moran made a motion** to amend the agenda to provide for a separate public hearing separate public hearing for the FY 11-12 Action Plan Amendment because it is a separate matter from the FY 12-13 Annual Plan hearing, and the existing agenda did not account for this. The motion was approved unanimously.

MOTION was made by Pat Kelly to open the Public Hearing for the FY 12-13 Annual Action Plan;

SECONDED by Mandy Grewal. The motion was approved unanimously.

A. Public Hearing for 2012-13 Annual Action Plan; Public Comments:

1. Christopher Bric, Executive Vice President, MHT Housing – Mr. Bric spoke on behalf of the “Burton Commons” affordable housing project (MHT submitted an application for the this project as part of an affordable housing Request for Proposals [RFP], but was not recommended by the Office of Community and Economic Development (OCED) staff for 2012-13 HUD funding). Mr. Bric addressed the perception that MHT housing may not have been local enough by stating that the company actually has 6500 affordable housing units throughout state. He added that this project has already been approved by the City of Ann Arbor and is shovel ready. He said that MHT has a commitment to building green and that this project meets the Enterprise Green Criteria that were part of the Urban County Request for Proposals (RFP) for affordable housing projects as well as the Michigan State Housing Development Authority’s scoring for Low-Income Housing Tax Credits

2. Bob Zinser, Consultant - MHT Housing – Mr. Zinser also spoke on behalf of the “Burton Commons” affordable housing project. Mr. Zinser explained that this project was approved in an earlier year through the same Request for Proposal process but was not able to begin work due to financing problems. He also wanted to make clarifications regarding the rationale for not recommending the project that was provided by OCED staff in the RFP Evaluation Summary which was presented at the previous Urban County Executive Committee meeting (took place on 3/27/12). He brought up the following issues:
 - The evaluation summary cited the fact that there is a saturation of similar affordable housing developments near the proposed Burton Commons site, but the developments mentioned in the Summary are aimed at very low income households and households that have Section 8 vouchers and need supportive housing, whereas the Burton Commons project is aimed at households at 60%-80% of area median income, a different group than the one served by the other projects named.
 - The evaluation states that this project is 80 units, which is a large project that further saturates affordable housing. However, only projects with many units are successful applicants for Low Income Housing Tax Credits.
 - The Summary states that the project is within 100 feet of a wetland, but that wetland no longer exists and the mitigation was accounted for during the site plan approval process with the City of Ann Arbor
 - The Summary states that the project’s proximity to Route 23 would result in the noise being over HUD’s allowable level, but that issue was also mitigated during the first proposal when engineering measures were implemented to reduce the noise level to 65 (I forget the actual acronym) which would be within HUD’s allowable levels.
3. Tom Erickson, HCI property management – Mr. Ericson spoke about how the funding request for Burton Commons was better leveraged in terms of its request as a percentage of the overall project cost than that of the other projects that were funded in this RFP.
4. Gary Bruder, Member of the Board of Directors, Avalon Housing Inc. – Mr. Bruder thanked the Executive Committee for voting to fund three Avalon units in the upcoming fiscal year and gave brief explanations of each project. Mr. Bruder is a resident of Webster Township, which will be a new Urban County member in FY 12-13, and he appreciates broad spectrum of projects that the Urban County funds.
5. Thomas Partridge, advocate for disabled and senior citizens – Mr. Partridge called on the Executive Committee to pass resolutions to incorporate local financiers such as bank presidents and principal loan officers into its planning activities and also for these activities to become more publicly open. Mr. Partridge explained that this meeting is not accessible for residents for whom the housing under discussion serves. Mr. Partridge also claimed that there exists a bias of Community Development professionals toward the word “affordable,” and he called for

reorientation away from this bias of discriminatory practices and for these meetings to be televised in the future.

The public hearing for the FY 12-13 Annual Action Plan was closed.

MOTION was made by Pat Kelly to open the Public Hearing for the Amendment to the 2008-2013 Consolidated Plan;

SECONDED by Ken Unterbrink. The motion was approved unanimously.

B. Public Hearing for Amendment to 2008-13 Consolidated Plan regarding changes to Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) regulations; Public Comment:

1. Thomas Partridge, advocate for disabled and senior citizens – Mr. Partridge is interested in this plan as well as all of the Urban County's plans. He believed that the presentation at the Urban County (referring to the April 24th Urban County Public Hearing) was disconnected from a network and was not well attended by members of the public. Mr. Partridge wishes to seek an extension from anybody as he believes this plan has had insufficient public hearings. He also believes the plan is devoid of specifics for units of rental housing.

The public hearing for the FY 11-12 Annual Action Plan Amendment was closed.

II. **Announcements – No Announcements**

III. **Minutes**

A. 3/27/12 meeting minutes – presentation & approval **(ACTION)**

MOTION was made by Joe Zurawski to approve the minutes from March 27th; SECONDED by Mandy Grewal. The motion was approved unanimously.

IV. **General Administration**

A. Review and Approval of 2012-13 Affordable Housing RFP Recommendations **(ACTION)** - Brett Lenart summarized the RFP review process and the recommendations made by OCED staff. Urban County Committee member discussed the size and nature of the Burton Commons request and the Urban County's goals as they pertain to funding affordable housing.

MOTION was made by Paul Schreiber to approve the 2012-13 Affordable Housing RFP Recommendations; SECONDED by Margie Teall. The motion was approved unanimously.

B. Presentation and Approval of Community Housing and Development Organization (CHDO) Operating Funds (ACTION) – Ben Kraft summarized the review process and recommendations, and clarified that applicants must be certified as CHDO's according to HUD guidelines and that the two agencies receiving funding recommendations had previously been certified as CHDO's and were re-certified this year.

MOTION was made by Joe Zurawski to approve the CHDO operating funds recommendations; SECONDED by Paul Schreiber. The motion was approved unanimously.

C. 2012-13 Annual Action Plan

- i. Review Summary of 2012-13 Planned Projects and Budgets
- ii. Approval of Draft Plan Projects and Budgets (ACTION) –
MOTION was made by Paul Schreiber to approve Draft Annual Action Plan projects and budgets; SECONDED by Margie Teall. The motion was approved unanimously.
- iii. Next Steps: BOC Public Hearing on 5/2; 30 day public comment period ends on 5/7; plan to Board of Commissioners on 5/16; submit annual plan to HUD on 5/17.

- D. Amendment to FY 11-12 Annual Action Plan re: new Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) regulations (ACTION) –** (note: on the agenda to this meeting, this item was erroneously described as an amendment to the Consolidated Plan rather than the Annual Action plan; also, the “S” in ESG now stands for “Solutions” rather than “Shelter” as was indicated in this meeting’s agenda) Andrea Plevak explained the necessity for and purpose of the amendment, namely that it reflects new ESG regulations as the program has shifted its goal from providing emergency housing to preventing the loss of shelter.
MOTION to approve amendment to FY 11-12 Annual Action Plan made by Paul Schreiber; SECONDED by Pat Kelly. The motion was approved unanimously.

MOTION to adjourn meeting made by Pat Kelly; SECONDED by Paul Schreiber. The motion was approved unanimously.

Meeting adjourned at 2:00.

FINAL BYLAWS

URBAN COUNTY EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

I. NAME AND PURPOSE

Name: The governing body for the Washtenaw Urban County shall be named the Urban County Executive Committee (“Committee”).

Purpose: The purpose of the Committee is to prioritize needs, review projects, make funding recommendations to the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners, and make policies that facilitate Washtenaw County’s administration of the Community Development Block Grant (“CDBG”), Home Investment Partnerships (“HOME”), Emergency Shelter Grant (“ESG”) and other State and Federal Programs awarded to the Committee. In addition, the Committee is responsible for hearing individual concerns/complaints, reviewing pertinent information and granting waivers of the Washtenaw County Program Guidelines.

II. AUTHORITY

The Bylaws were approved at the meeting of the Urban County Executive Committee on July 25, 2006, amended March 18, 2008, and amended February 28, 2012.

III. MEMBERSHIP AND TERM OF APPOINTMENT

Membership: The Committee shall be comprised of one member from each jurisdiction that has executed the most current Cooperative Agreement with Washtenaw County and passed a supporting Board or Council resolution to join the Washtenaw Urban County. For the period July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2015, the Committee shall have nineteen (19) members and shall include the Chair of the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners; the Township Supervisors of Ann Arbor Township, Bridgewater Township, Dexter Township, Lima Township, Manchester Township, Northfield Township, Pittsfield Township, Salem Township, Saline Township, Scio Township, Superior Township, Webster Township, York Township, and Ypsilanti Township; the Mayors of the Cities of Ann Arbor, Ypsilanti, and Saline; the President of the Village of Manchester.

Each member of the committee may appoint a designee(s), with full voting rights, to act in the absence of or request of the member at any meeting. The names of the designees shall be submitted in writing by each member at the first meeting at beginning of the ~~program~~ year/cooperative year period, which will be typically in July of every third calendar year. Members may remove designees or appoint additional designees at anytime after the initial submission.

Term: Members shall serve for terms that coincide with the term of their elected office. Any member may resign from the committee by filing a written resignation with the Office of Community & Economic Development.

IV. OFFICERS AND DUTIES; SUBCOMMITTEES

The Urban County Executive Committee will have the following officers and committees beginning July 1, 2012:

Chair: The Chair of the Urban County Executive Committee shall be the current Chair of the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners or his or her designee, and shall preside at all Committee meetings. The Chair shall decide on all points of order and procedure subject to the provisions of these rules and Robert's Rules of Order, Newly Revised. The Chair is a non-voting member of the committee.

Secretary: The duties of the Secretary shall be shared by the staff liaison from the Washtenaw County Office of Community & Economic Development . The Secretary shall keep the minutes of meetings.

Ad Hoc Subcommittees: The Committee may, by a resolution adopted by a majority, establish Subcommittees to carry on the Committee's work. The purpose, term, and members appointed for the Subcommittees shall be explicitly defined in the resolution. A minimum of two Committee members shall serve on each Subcommittee. The Subcommittee will bring recommendations about its work back to the Committee for review & discussion prior to implementation.

V. MEETINGS AND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Regular Meetings: Public meetings shall be held on a monthly basis and shall generally be on the fourth Tuesday of each month. The location of the meetings shall be at the Washtenaw County Learning Resource Center (LRC), 4135 Washtenaw Ave, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48107, unless otherwise noted in public hearing notices. The Washtenaw County Office of Community and Economic Development staff members will post notice of these meetings, according to the Open Meetings Act, on the Washtenaw County online Calendar of Meetings.

Order of Business: The order of business shall be determined by the Chair based on the actions needing review.

Meeting Agenda: Committee members shall submit any requested agenda items to the Secretary by the second Wednesday of the month. The Secretary will send meeting agendas and attachments to each of the Committee members by mail, e-mail, or other delivery method no less than one week before the meeting. The Secretary shall give notice of all cancelled meetings to all members and post the cancellation notice on the Washtenaw County online Calendar of Meetings.

Public Participation: Public comment shall be the first item on each meeting agenda. Each public commenter shall be allotted up to three minutes of speaking time.

Special Meetings: Additional meetings, as needed, may be called by the Chair, or by three (3) members of the Committee. All requests for special meetings must be made in writing at least 48 hours prior to the meeting time. The Secretary shall notify all Committee members by email of the Special Meeting request; its purpose; the names of the Committee members making the request; and the date, time, and place of the special meeting. The Secretary shall also post a notice of the special meeting on the Washtenaw County online Calendar of Meetings at least 24 hours before the meeting.

VI. ATTENDANCE, QUORUM, AND VOTING

Attendance: Committee members are expected to attend all regularly scheduled meetings and to notify the Secretary if they are unable to attend. In order for official actions to be approved by the Committee, a quorum of the Committee must be present.

Quorum: ~~A majority of the~~ Eight members currently serving on the Committee shall constitute a quorum. The concurring vote of a majority of the Committee members present shall be necessary to act on **routine, policy, procedural, or project-specific issues** brought to the meetings by Office of Community and Economic Development program staff members.

Voting: Each member of the Committee shall have one (1) vote. The Chair of the Committee shall not vote.

VII. ALLOCATION OF EXPENDITURES/ APPROVAL OF PROJECTS

Funding Allocations/ Expenditures: To the greatest extent practical, the Washtenaw County Office of Community and Economic Development will ensure that HOME, CDBG, & ESG project expenditures by jurisdiction reflect the population living in poverty, total population, and housing problems in each jurisdiction, as a percentage of the whole Urban County area as approved on March 18, 2008. The formula that calculates the percentage for each jurisdiction is as follows: **% of member allocation = [(% UC Population) + 2(% UC Poverty) + (% UC Housing Problems)]/4**. This percentage will be calculated every year as new data becomes available. Project spending by percentage and total project spending by jurisdiction will be reported by the Secretary to the Committee on a quarterly basis at regular meetings. It is expected that, over a three-year period, the Office of Community & Economic Development shall meet the targets for spending by jurisdiction.

Project Approvals: The Committee shall not approve any new HOME, ESG, or CDBG projects within the boundaries of a jurisdiction without the affirmative vote of the Committee member or Designee representing that jurisdiction present at the meeting.

VIII. VACANCY PROCEDURE

Vacancies resulting from expiration of members' terms, resignations or removal shall be filled as soon as reasonably possible to promote full Committee membership. The Chair and Secretary shall coordinate outreach to any new chief elected officials from the jurisdictions of the Urban County. Whenever a new community representative joins the Committee, the Secretary shall provide an orientation for that new member, which includes an introduction to the Chair, as well as information about funding types, eligible projects, past projects, allocation by jurisdiction, Committee bylaws, goals and vision, and budgets.

IVIII. STANDING RULES AND RESOLUTIONS

The Committee may adopt standing rules and resolutions by a majority vote at any meeting where a quorum is present as long as there is no conflict with the Bylaws.

IX. CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Policy: Employees, agents, consultants, officers, or elected or appointed officials of the County or Urban County Jurisdictions who meet the following criteria may not obtain a financial interest or benefit from a CDBG, HOME, or ESG-assisted activity, or have a financial interest in any contract, subcontract, or agreement with respect to a CDBG, HOME, or ESG-assisted activity, either for themselves or those with whom they have business or immediate family ties, during their tenure or for one year thereafter:

- A. Persons who exercise or have exercised any functions or responsibilities with respect to activities assisted with CDBG, HOME, or ESG funding; and
- B. Persons who are in a position to participate in a decision making process or gain inside information with regard to such activities.

The above restrictions shall apply to all activities that are a part of HOME, CDBG, or ESG-funded projects, and shall cover any such financial interest or benefit during, or at any time after, such person's tenure.

Disclosure: It is the responsibility of the employee, elected or appointed official, consultant, agent, or officer to disclose potential conflicts of interest to the Committee and Secretary. Washtenaw County will also request such information in all applications for assistance.

Waivers: A waiver of this policy can be requested from the U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development (HUD) by following the procedure developed by the Washtenaw County/City of Ann Arbor Office of Community Development. However, this waiver must be processed by HUD before any funding is expended on such a project.

X. AMENDMENT OF BYLAWS

These Bylaws may be amended at any regular joint meeting of the Committee by the concurring vote of a majority of the membership, provided that the amendment was submitted in time for the agenda of the meeting at which the amendment is to be considered.

Date of Initial Adoption by Committee: July 25, 2006

Date of Amendment by Committee: March 18, 2008

Date of Amendment by Committee: February 28, 2012

Proposed Amendments presented to Committee: October 23, 2012