



Network Management Committee (NMC)
Meeting Minutes
May 24, 2007; 1:30-4:00 LLRC

K. Gauthier, T. Neville, S. Dunbar, R. Green, J. Kearney, M. Miller, R. Marhofer, H. Linky, M. McLain, S. Sheldon(guest) M. Vergith, G. Noel, S. Reitmeier,
(Bolded name indicates present at meeting and non-bolded indicates absent at meeting)

AGENDA ITEM	DISCUSSION POINTS	ACTION/OUTCOME	RESPONSIBILITY
I. Check In and Approve Agenda/Minutes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agenda additions/deletions Accreditation Waiver Monroe Update Provider Boards • Minute changes None 	<p>Agenda approved with additions</p> <p>Minutes approved without change</p>	
II. Q&A/Information Sharing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FI Project -Family Friend Respite Services Livingston has continued forward with Guardian Trac. Model agreement, orientation material, individual budgets, and training have been addressed. Employer rates have been calculated to include workers comp. Affiliation participation is needed to meet Guardian Trac quotas. The FI arrangement is designed for consumers that use respite services on a regular basis. The respite workgroup is piloting a tool for determining medical necessity of respite services. Phased in approach to begin 10/1. FI training remains an issue. • LIP Identification Verification Following up on a previous discussion, the scanning of driver's license into Encompass is a possibility for LIP identification verification. This verification is a JCAHO requirement. • Residential Provider for Challenging Consumer- Within 24 hrs of the discussion about Livingston's need for a residential provider for a challenging consumer, PRU identified and contacted several potential providers. PRU gave their contact information to Livingston clinical staff, but has not heard back. It was asked whether additional resources are needed. The consumer is now facing criminal charges; the Judge and 	<p>J. Scanlon is the Livingston contact for this respite project.</p> <p>Nothing further is needed from PRU at this time.</p>	<p>H. Linky will follow up with J. Scanlon on Livingston's plans to move forward with use of Guardian Trac for family friend respite services. PRU will consult with ORR on a condensed training alternative for respite staff.</p>

	<p>Prosecutor are reviewing possibilities. Mt. Pleasant may now be an option.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monroe Update S. Sheldon (WCHO Deputy Director) led discussions on the WCHO's decision to rescind delegated functions to the Monroe CMH Authority. Governance (board and CEO functions), finance, and UM delegated functions in Monroe were reviewed by the WCHO. The WCHO will take control of the Medicaid functions, effective July 1st. Meetings with the community, consumers, and providers will be conducted in June in Monroe. Monroe is still in the affiliation; J. Terwilliger is still the director of Monroe CMHA. Options are now being discussed, including a fee-for-service Medicaid contract between WCHO and Monroe CMHA. WCHO may be issuing contracts to Monroe providers; the contract builder would be able to assist in revising contracts. 	<p>The affiliation's long-term goal is for Monroe to recover its status as a CSSN.</p>	
III. Encompass Update	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Provider Profile phase of the PRU overhaul project is expected to be ready for testing at our meeting on 6/14. The planned completion date for Phases One and Two is 7/1/07. The project was delayed due to issues with another project (requiring PCE time), but is still on track. 	<p>Encompass changes will be demonstrated at our 6/14 meeting.</p>	
IV. Review of Q2 PI data – PRU and G&A (Jan-Mar)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tabled 		
V. Review of Q2 PI Data - Network Indicators	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tabled 		
VI. Accreditation Waiver	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MicHoldings is an impaneled provider that is interested in expanding their business; however, they are not accredited. They do have a CARF consultant and a plan to obtain accreditation. PRU is questioning how to score the "accreditation" section of our provider review tool and RFP applications. It was noted that the items on our full site visit tool is very similar to the items reviewed by CARF. 	<p>The site visit score will be used in place of an accreditation score for providers who are not accredited, but have a plan to obtain accreditation. When a contract is issued, it will contain language that requires the provider to continue moving towards accreditation.</p>	
VII. Provider Boards	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are several provider Boards that consist of an executive director and one to three members. This does not meet state requirements for a non-profit Board (for-profit companies are not required to adhere to board structure rules). Reporting issues for non-compliance need to be addressed. 		
VIII. Next meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> June 14, 2007 (LLRC), 1:30-4:00 	<p>Agenda Items</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Demo of Encompass (Phases 1&2) Review of Q2 PI data 	