



WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Wednesday, May 21, 2003

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

An adjourned session of the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners was held at the Library Learning Resource Center, 4135 Washtenaw Boulevard, in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on Wednesday, May 21, 2003.

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m. by Comm. Gunn, Chair.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Comms. Armentrout, Bergman, Brackenbury, Gunn, Irwin, Kern, Peterson, Sizemore, Solowczuk, Yekulis.

MEMBERS ABSENT: Comm. Prater.

OTHERS PRESENT: Bob Guenzel, Administrator, Frank Cambria, Deputy Administrator; Curtis Hedger, Corporation Counsel; Peter Ballios, Finance Director; Verna McDaniel, Bob Hubbard, Human Resources; Amy Klinke, Administration; Gordon Burger, Jennifer Watson, Finance; Greg Dill, Facilities; Trena Rusher, ETCS; Tony VanDerworp, Mary Udoji, Library; Dan Myers, Public Works; Barbara L. King, Deputy Clerk; various citizens; and members of the press.

Pledge of Allegiance

Approval of the Minutes of the Previous Meeting

Comm. Kern seconded by Comm. Solowczuk moved that the minutes of the May 7, 2003, Board of Commissioners meeting be approved. Motion carried.

Citizen Participation

None.

Commissioner Follow-Up to Citizen Participation

None.

Communications

Comm. Bergman seconded by Comm. Peterson moved that the communications be received and dealt with as recommended. Motion carried.

R-0088 RECEIVED: April 29, 2003. Letter to Chief Elected Official from John Ort, Captain, Deputy State Director of Emergency Management, Michigan State Police, dated April 25, 2003, re: State Homeland Security Grant Program. Received and filed; copy to Emergency Management and Administration.

R-0089 RECEIVED: May 1, 2003. Letter to Leah Gunn, Chair, from Steven Buhr, President, Washtenaw Alano Club, dated April 28, 2003, re: Request for exemption from the Clean Indoor Air Regulation. Received and filed; copy to each Commissioner.

R-0090 RECEIVED: May 5, 2003. Letter to Friends from Jim Cieslar, President, Washtenaw United Way, dated April, 2003, re: Washtenaw United Way's 2002 Year-in-Review. Received and filed.

R-0091 RECEIVED: May 5, 2003. Notice from the United States Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, dated April 25, 2003, re: Proposed restricted service list for a programmatic agreement for managing properties included in or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. Received and filed; copy to Planning & Environment.

Report of the Chair of the Board

03-0081 Comm. Bergman seconded by Comm. Peterson moved that the resolution of appreciation to Julie Londo, Eulalia Stewart, Laura Henderson and the Dexter Area Historical Society for their efforts on historic preservation initiatives in Washtenaw County, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 11. NAYS: None. ABSENT: None. Motion carried.

Special Order of Business

None.

Reports of Standing Committees

Comm. Bergman seconded by Comm. Peterson moved that the Ways & Means Committee Meeting report dated April May 7, 2003, the Agenda Meeting dated April 30, 2003, and the Board Working Session dated May 8, 2003, be approved and accepted. Motion Carried. (Complete report is on file in the County Clerk/Register's Office.)

Reports of Special Committees

Comm. Bergman seconded by Comm. Peterson moved that the following reports be received: Board of Public Works dated March 19, 2003, Brownfield Redevelopment Authority dated April 11, 2003, Building Authority dated April 1, 2003, Citizens Advisory Council for Children in the Family Court dated December 19, March 24, and April 22, 2003, Community Corrections Advisory Board dated March 11, 2003, Historic District Commission dated April 2, 2003, Library Board of Trustees dated March 10, 2003, Road Commissioners dated April 8, and April 22, 2003, Workforce Development Board dated March 14, 2003. Motion carried. (Complete reports are on file in the County Clerk/Register's Office.)

Other Reports

Comm. Bergman seconded by Comm. Peterson moved that the Solid Waste Finance Committee 04/05 Recommendations Report be received. Comm. Irwin answered questions of Board Members. Motion carried. (Complete report is on file in the County Clerk/Register's Office).

Report of the Treasurer

Comm. Bergman seconded by Comm. Peterson moved that the Cash position of Washtenaw County as of April 30, 2003, be received. Motion carried. (Complete report is on file in the County Clerk/Register's Office.)

Resolutions

Appointments

03-0082 Comm. Bergman seconded by Comm. Peterson moved that the resolution appointing members to the Washtenaw County Purchase of Development Rights Revision Committee, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 10. NAYS: None. ABSENT: 1 (Prater). Motion carried.

Ways & Means Committee – May 7, 2003

03-0083 Comm. Bergman seconded by Comm. Peterson moved that the resolution authorizing the County Administrator to sign the "Homeless Engagements for Living Project" (HELP) application for the Shelter Plus Care Program of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development in the amount of \$1,959,900 for a five year period to begin upon award and execution of this application, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 10. NAYS: None. ABSENT: 1 (Prater). Motion carried.

03-0084 Comm. Bergman seconded by Comm. Peterson moved that the resolution authorizing the County Administrator to sign the "Homeless Engagements for Living Project" (HELP) application for the Shelter Plus Care Program of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development in the amount of \$1,959,900 for a five year period to begin upon award and execution of this application, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 10. NAYS: None. ABSENT: 1 (Prater). Motion carried.

03-0085 Comm. Bergman seconded by Comm. Peterson moved that the resolution approving the Agreement with the Command Officers Association of Michigan and Washtenaw County for the five year period January 1, 2003, through December 31, 2007, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 10. NAYS: None. ABSENT: 1 (Prater). Motion carried.

Approval of Claims

03-0086 Comm. Bergman seconded by Comm. Peterson moved that the resolution authorizing payment of claims commencing with the last previously approved claim and continuing through the date of May 9, 2003, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 10. NAYS: None. ABSENT: 1 (Prater). Motion carried.

	FUND/COMPANY	SYSTEM CHECKS	UTILITIES/ CREDIT CARD	PRE-CLAIMS	TOTAL
1010	GENERAL FUND	\$1,774.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,774.00
1100	GYPSY MOTH SUPPRESSION	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1110	CHILDRENS SERVICES GRANTS-COOP	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

1310	OPERATION NIGHT CAP	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1320	COMMUNITY POLICING ENHANCEMENT	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1330	MDSS REINTEGRATION SERV.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1340	I-94 SHORE TO SHORE	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1400	SHERIFF ROAD PATROL	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1412	L.A.W.N.E.T.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1414	L.A.W.N.E.T. HIDTA GRANT	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1490	PA 302 TRAINING	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1590	SHERIFF SPECIAL SVCS. FUND	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1620	PROS ATTNY -CR	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1690	SHELTER PLUS CARE	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1710	BFI SOLID WASTE COORD.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1750	BUILDING INSPECTION & SOIL EROSION	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1760	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1770	ILLEGAL DUMPING PROGRAM	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1810	VETERANS TRUST FUND ADMIN.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1850	RRNWWD:STORM WATER GENERAL PE	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1870	MICHIGAN GREAT LAKES PROTECTION	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1880	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE COURT PILOT	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1881	VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1900	3 - 911 FUND	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2080	PARKS & RECREATION	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2060	LAW LIBRARY	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2110	COUNTY LIBRARY FUND	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2150	FRIEND OF THE COURT	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2370	JTPA ADMINISTRATION	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2410	NO WRONG DOOR	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2420	JTPA - FIRST	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2490	EMPLOYMENT SERVICES	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2510	CSA HEADSTART	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2600	CSBG	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2630	CSA SR NUTRITION	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2650	FOSTER GRANDPARENTS	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2700	CSA DOE - WEATHERIZATION	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2800	MDSS REINTEGRATION SERVICES	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2801	ZAAP	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2804	COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2810	JUVENILE ACCOUNTABILITY	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2820	STRONG FAMILIES/SAFE CHILDREN	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2920	WCHO	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2930	MENTAL HEALTH	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2940	SUBSTANCE ABUSE	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2960	HEALTH	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2961	HSRA COMMUNITY ACCESS GRANT	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2990	CHILD CARE/PROBATE CT.	\$10.05	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10.05
4010	CAPITAL PROJECTS	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4050	CAPITAL EQUIPMENT	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4520	MULTI-LAKE SEWER SYSTEM	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4860	B.A. E-911 CONSTRUCTION	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4900	B.A. SPACE PLAN PHASE II CONST.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
5720	INMATE ENTERPRISE FUND	\$16.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16.00
6280	DEL TAX REVOLVING #28	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

6310	FACILITIES MGMT - O & M	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
6320	FACILITIES MGMT - TRANSP	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
6330	FACILITIES MGMT - GEN SVC	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
6340	GEN SVCS - REVOLV.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
6440	SELF INSURANCE	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
6630	MEDICAL SELF INSURANCE	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
6650	FRINGE BENEFIT REVOLVING	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
6900	CENTREX ASSOCIATION	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
6930	MENTAL HEALTH	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
7350	T&A 14A DISTRICT COURT	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
7412	LAWNET - DRUG ENFORCEMENT	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
7413	LAWNET NON-FORFEITURE FUND	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
7520	EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT FUND	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
7550	MONEY PURCHASE PENSION PLAN	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
7570	VEBA HEALTH TRUST	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
7900	REGIONAL DISPATCH FUND	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
7950	HAZ MATL RESPONSE TEAM	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
7990	CO-OP EXTENSION SERVICE	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8005	PORTAGE-BASELINE LAKE LEVEL	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8007	WHITMORE LAKE LEVEL	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8008	FOUR MILE LAKE LEVEL	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8297	SWAN CREEK & PLINEY HARRIS	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
TOTALS		\$1,800.05	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,800.05

New Business

03-0087 Comm. Bergman seconded by Comm. Peterson moved that the resolution adopting comments from the Planning Advisory Board and directing the County Clerk to send comments on to the Village of Manchester and the contiguous local units of government, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 10. NAYS: None. ABSENT: 1 (Prater). Motion carried.

Report from the County Administrator

Mr. Guenzel reported that the Board Room is just about done. He said Administration will be moving back in their offices in early June, but would like to have some test runs before resuming Board Meetings there. He indicated the June 4th and 5th meetings will still be held at the Library, as well as July 16th and 17th because of the Art Fair. Meetings will be held in the new Board Room beginning in August.

Liaison Reports

Comm. Bergman reported on a Substance Abuse Advisory Council meeting, which she said was full of energy.

Comm. Kern reported on a meeting of the Washtenaw Area Transportation Study Committee.

Comm. Brackenbury reported that he and Comm. Sizemore attended a retreat for the Community Action Board.

Items for Current/Future Discussion

None.

Citizen Participation

None.

Commissioners Follow-up to Citizen Participation

None.

Adjournment

Comm. Solowczuk seconded by Comm. Peterson moved to adjourn until Wednesday, June 4, 2003, 6:45 p.m. at the Library Learning Resource Center. Motion carried.

The meeting adjourned at 7:22 p.m.

Comm. Leah Gunn

Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register
By: Barbara L. King, Deputy Clerk

Board Approved: 6/4/03

A RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION TO JULIE LONDO, EULALIA STEWART, LAURA HENDERSON AND THE DEXTER AREA HISOTRICAL SOCIETY FOR THEIR EFFORTS ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION INITIATIVES IN WASHTENAW COUNTY

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

MAY 21, 2003

WHEREAS, historic preservation awards are given to worthy individuals, organizations or rehabilitation projects in compliance with the Secretary of Interior's Standards and Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, the Washtenaw County Historic District Commission determined that four projects were eligible for honor; and

WHEREAS, the first recipient is the Salem Walker Church in Salem Township for rehabilitation work in 2002 to save this neglected National Register property from further deterioration and Julie Londo of the Salem Walker Cemetery Association directed the project; and

WHEREAS, the second recipient, Eulalia Stewart of Ann Arbor Township, persevered as a Friend of Popkins School to see that the stabilization work on this local historic district was completed in 2002; and

WHEREAS, the third recipient is the Hack House Museum under the direction of Laura Henderson in Milan for a successful rehabilitation project in 2001 that used Federal Funds with a match from the City of Milan; and

WHEREAS, the fourth recipient is the Dexter Area Historical Society for its efforts over the last two years to protect National Register resource and Washtenaw County Historic District Gordon Hall in Webster and Scio Townships; and

WHEREAS, the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners recognizes individuals and organizations for their efforts and contributions to the community and the citizens of Washtenaw County in making our community a better place to live;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby extends its appreciation to Julie Londo, Eulalia Stewart, Laura Henderson and the Dexter Area Historical Society for their efforts on historic preservation initiatives in Washtenaw County

COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A
Armentrout	X			Irwin	X			Sizemore	X		
Bergman	X			Kern	X			Solowczuk	X		
Brackenbury	X			Peterson	X			Yekulis	X		
Gunn	X			Prater			x				

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS 10 0 1

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on May 21, 2003, as it appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this 22nd day of May, 2003.

PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register

BY: _____

Deputy Clerk



Res. No. 03-0081

A RESOLUTION APPOINTING REPRESENTATIVES TO THE WASHTENAW
COUNTY PURCHASE OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS REVISION COMMITTEE

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

May 21, 2003

WHEREAS, on June 17, 1998 the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners adopted the Washtenaw County Purchase of Development Rights Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the County's Purchase of Development Rights ("PDR") program was to be primarily funded through a County millage that was ultimately defeated in November 1998; and

WHEREAS, both federal and state PDR legislation have been enacted and/or updated since 1998 with the federal legislation (Farm Security and Rural Investment Act) providing matching funds to purchase development rights for agricultural lands; and

WHEREAS, the County's PDR Ordinance has not been updated to reflect the recent federal and state legislation; and

WHEREAS, it is in the County's interest to update its PDR Ordinance to be consistent with existing state and federal law; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners created a committee comprised of ten (10) members representing the following categories: (1) one representative of environmental/conservation groups or natural resources professionals; (2) one representative from real estate interests; (3) one private sector/academic land use planning professional; (4) four representatives who are engaged in agricultural production or operate agricultural businesses; (5) two members of the general public; and (6) one County Commissioner

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners creates the Washtenaw County Purchase of Development Rights Revision Committee ("Committee") and charges it with the duty to review the existing County PDR Ordinance and make recommended changes to the Board of Commissioners to make the Ordinance consistent with existing state and federal law.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners appoints the following individuals to the Washtenaw County Purchase of Development Rights Revision Committee as follows:

Representing

Environ./conserve. Grp or natural resources profess.
 real estate interests
 private sector/academic land use planning professional
 agricultural production or operate agricultural businesses

general public

County Commissioner.

Member

- 1) Mike Garfield
- 2) William Milliken, Jr.
- 3) Elizabeth Brabec
- 4) Dale Lesser
- 5) Tom Bloomer
- 6) Jerry Heuhl
- 7) Kendall Rogers

- 8) Cindy Zucarro
- 9) John Westman

- 10) Stephen Solowczuk

COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A
Armentrout	X			Irwin	X			Sizemore	X		
Bergman	X			Kern	X			Solowczuk	X		
Brackenbury	X			Peterson	X			Yekulis	X		
Gunn	X			Prater			x				

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY **ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS** **10 0 1**

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
 COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on May 21, 2003, as it appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this 22nd day of May, 2003.

PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register

BY: _____

Deputy Clerk



Res. No. 03-0082

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO SIGN THE "HOMELESS ENGAGEMENTS FOR LIVING PROJECT" (HELP) APPLICATION FOR THE SHELTER PLUS CARE PROGRAM OF THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,959,900 FOR A FIVE YEAR PERIOD TO BEGIN UPON AWARD

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

MAY 21, 2003

WHEREAS, the Department of Planning and Environment has submitted an application to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development for a Shelter Plus Care grant in the amount of \$1,959,900 over a five year period to commence upon grant award and execution of a contract, and

WHEREAS, this Shelter Plus Care grant will provide rental assistance to formerly homeless persons being served by the Homeless Engagements for Living Project (HELP) being provided by the Washtenaw Community Health Organization (WCHO) as lead agency, and

WHEREAS, when fully operational this Shelter Plus Care program will provide rental assistance to 57 homeless individuals, who are being served by the Washtenaw HELP project, and

WHEREAS, the sponsor agency for the Shelter Plus Care vouchers is the Shelter Association of Washtenaw County, and

WHEREAS, the Department of Planning and Environment has administered other Shelter Plus Care vouchers since 2000,

WHEREAS, this Shelter Plus Care application was a necessary component of the community partnership formed by the Washtenaw Community Health Organization, Community Support and Treatment Services/Project Outreach Team (PORT), the Washtenaw Housing Alliance, the Shelter Association of Washtenaw County, Home of New Vision, the University of Michigan Department of Psychiatry, Washtenaw County Veteran Services, the Veterans Administration Health system of Ann Arbor, McKinley Associates, Avalon Housing, Synod Residential Services and the Ann Arbor YMCA, and

WHEREAS, this partnership will enable Washtenaw County to engage in state of the art, evidence-based practice with respect to serving the chronically homeless adult population, and

WHEREAS, this matter has been reviewed by Corporation Counsel, the Human Resources Department, the Finance Department, the County Administrator's Office and the Ways & Means Committee

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby authorizes the signature of the County Administrator on the application with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) in the

amount of \$1,959,900 for a five year period to begin upon award and execution of the "Homeless Engagements for Living Project," (HELP) application.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners takes the following actions contingent upon receipt of the grant award in conformity with the application:

1. Authorize the Administrator to sign the Notice of Grant Award
2. Approve the budget, as attached hereto and made a part hereof
3. Authorizing the Administrator to sign the delegate contracts upon review by Corporation Counsel, to be filed with the County Clerk.

COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A
Armentrout	X			Irwin	X			Sizemore	X		
Bergman	X			Kern	X			Solowczuk	X		
Brackenbury	X			Peterson	X			Yekulis	X		
Gunn	X			Prater			x				

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS 10 0 1

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
 COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on May 21, 2003, as it appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this 22nd day of May, 2003.

PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register

BY: _____

Deputy Clerk



Res. No. 03-0083

Department of Planning and Environment
Shelter Plus Care grant
Business Unit 1690032000

	Current Budget	Revised Budget	Variance
Revenue:			
50100 Federal Revenue	\$---	\$1,959,900	\$1,959,900
Total	\$---	\$1,959,900	\$1,959,900
Expenditures:			
80800 Consultants/Contracts			
	\$---	\$1,959,900	\$1,959,900
Total	\$---	\$1,959,900	\$1,959,900

A RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE CHAIR OF THE BOARD'S SIGNATURE ON 2003 EMPLOYMENT SERVICES PLAN APPLICATION TO THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CAREER DEVELOPMENT FOR THE PERIOD OF JULY 1, 2003 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2004 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$421,098 FOR THE EMPLOYMENT TRAINING AND COMMUNITY SERVICES GROUP; AUTHORIZING THE ADMINISTRATOR TO SIGN THE NOTICE OF GRANT AWARD; APPROVE THE BUDGET, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO SIGN THE DELEGATE CONTRACTS

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

May 21, 2003

WHEREAS, by Executive Order 1997-12, dated August 6, 1997, the Michigan Employment Security Agency, Employment Service, Labor Market Information and related functions were reorganized; and

WHEREAS, while the Michigan Department of Career Development will continue to provide central administrative and policy staff, most employment service field operations have been decentralized and integrated with the Workforce Development Boards; and

WHEREAS, the Employment Training and Community Services Group will serve employers and job seekers under State direction, through grants to local Michigan Works! Agencies, administered locally by the Washtenaw County Workforce Development, and

WHEREAS, the Employment Training and Community Services Group is required to submit an application to the Michigan Department of Career Development for the 2003 Employment Services plan funds, and

WHEREAS, this matter has been reviewed by the Washtenaw County Workforce Development Board, Corporation Counsel, Finance, Human Resources, the County Administrator's Office, and the Ways and Means Committee

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby ratifies the Chair of the Board's signature on the 2003 Employment Services plan application to the Michigan Department of Career Development for the Employment Training and Community Services Group, in the amount of \$421,098.42 for the period of July 1, 2003 through June 30, 2004, as on file with the County Clerk.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners takes the following actions contingent upon receipt of the grant award in conformity with the application:

1. Authorize the Administrator to sign the Notice of Grant Award
2. Approve the budget, as attached hereto and made a part hereof
3. Authorizing the Administrator to sign the delegate contracts upon review by Corporation Counsel, to be filed with the County Clerk.

COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A
Armentrout	X			Irwin	X			Sizemore	X		
Bergman	X			Kern	X			Solowczuk	X		
Brackenbury	X			Peterson	X			Yekulis	X		
Gunn	X			Prater			x				

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY **ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS** **10 0 1**

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on May 21, 2003, as it appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this 22nd day of May, 2003.

PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register

BY: _____
Deputy Clerk



Res. No. 03-0084

Washtenaw County ETCS
Business Unit 2490039100
Fund #2490 - Employment Services
Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2004

Revenues

50000

Federal Revenue

421,098

Expenditures

80000

Other Services and Charges

421,098

A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE AGREEMENT WITH THE COMMAND OFFICERS ASSOCIATION OF MICHIGAN AND WASHTENAW COUNTY FOR THE FIVE YEAR PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2003 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2007.

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

May 21, 2003

WHEREAS, beginning in February, 2002, Administration and Human Resources / Labor Relations brought to the Board of Commissioners a status of current negotiations with those labor union contract which expire 12/31/2001 and 12/31/2002; and

WHEREAS, again on May 2, 2002, and subsequently on September 18, 2002, the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners provided their approval of a recommended strategy for negotiations; and

WHEREAS, the collective bargaining agreement with the Command Officers Association of Michigan (COAM), expired December 31, 2002; and

WHEREAS, the Union has ratified an agreement.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby approves the agreement with the Command Officers Association and Washtenaw County for the period January 1, 2003 through December 31, 2007 as attached hereto and made a part hereof

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Labor Relations Manager is authorized to draft a new collective bargaining agreement to be presented and signed by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners

COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A
Armentrout	X			Irwin	X			Sizemore	X		
Bergman	X			Kern	X			Solowczuk	X		
Brackenbury	X			Peterson	X			Yekulis	X		
Gunn	X			Prater			x				

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS 10 0 1

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)^{SS}

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on May 21, 2003, as it appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this 22nd day of May, 2003.

PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register

BY: _____
Deputy Clerk

Res. No. 03-0085



TENTATIVE AGREEMENT

Washtenaw County & Command Officers Association of Michigan

AGREEMENT

Five (5) contract (2003 – 2007)

WAGES

1/1/2003	3%
1/1/2004	3%
1/1/2005	3%
1/1/2006	3%
1/1/2007	3%

RECLASSIFICATIONS – Effective 1/1/2003

Movement of all 2nd Lieutenants to 1st Lieutenants.

HEALTHCARE – Prescription Drugs – Effective 7/1/2003

Increase prescription drug co-pay to \$10 for generic and \$20 for brand names.

UNIFORM ALLOWANCE – Effective 1/1/2003

Increase uniform allowances by \$100 for all employees. Those employees that do not currently receive a uniform allowance shall be paid \$100.

EDUCATIONAL INCENTIVE – Effective 1/1/2004

1%	Associates Degree
3%	Bachelors Degree
4%	Masters Degree

SHIFT PREMIUM – Effective 1/1/2005

Increase shift premium to \$.45 for all hours worked between 6pm and 6am.

RETIREMENT / PENSION – Effective 1/1/2004

Agree to a pension re-opener for the purpose of negotiating the following pension benefits:

MERS	WCERS
FAC-3	COLA
E-2	Multiplier
Multiplier	
25 & Out	

In the event the pension issue is decided by an arbitrator, the initial cost of any pension improvement and the annual cost of the improved benefit(s) thereafter will be paid by the employees, whether or not the pension system is overfunded. The parties agree to use Arbitrator George Roumell Jr. All bargain unit members will be considered 312 eligible for this re-opener.

PERSONAL DAYS – Effective 1/1/2003

Increase the number of personal days for use to 3, of which 2 shall be deducted from the employee's sick bank.

NON-ECONOMIC ISSUES

1. Article XXIII

CHANGE: Title from “HOURS OF WORK” to “WORK SCHEDULES”

2. Section 23.1: Delete all language, insert the following paragraph:

Work Week and Schedule. In general, the workweek will include five days work, two days off in a week starting on Sunday and ending Saturday.

3. Section 23.2: Delete all language, insert the following paragraph:

Each Division Commander, in agreement with the union, may offer alternative scheduling to meet the operational needs of that division. Schedules will remain in effect for six (6) month periods, January–June, July–December.

4. Section 23.3: Eliminate “Communications” from the first sentence. End the second sentence at “seniority”, eliminating the following words from that sentence “provided such positions are similar to, and consistent in concept with, the above specified assignments”.

5. ADD Section 23.6: Exchange Time. Members of the Bargaining Unit shall be permitted to exchange time, with other members of the same classification, provided such request for change is in writing, signed by the employees involved, and written notification by use of the Application for Leave form (SD-130) made to a supervisor at least twenty-four (24) hours prior to such request. The Employee agreeing to work the affected shift in such exchange, shall be responsible for reporting to the assigned shifts.

6. Section 12.2: Eliminate all language, insert the following language:

When it is determined by the Sheriff that there is a vacancy in a lieutenant position classification or in a newly created position classification, members of the unit shall be eligible to transfer or to be promoted to said position classification. In the event that after a transfer/promotional process it is determined by the Sheriff that a qualified candidate does not exist in the membership, then the Sheriff may hire someone from outside of the bargaining unit.

7. APPENDIX A: Eliminate all references to “Records Supervisor”.

8. HAZARDOUS DUTY PAY. Members of the bargaining unit who engage in Underwater Search and Rescue Team (USRT) underwater diving for rescue or investigative dives, Special Operations Team (SWAT) tactical operations and Hostage Negotiations Team (HNT) tactical operations (excluding practice diving and training assignments), shall be paid as follows for all hours worked during underwater diving activities or tactical operations:

Parties will receive half time of their regular pay above the rate of pay they would otherwise receive for the hours worked during hazardous duty.

Examples:

- i. Personnel assigned to hazardous duty assignments during their regular work hours would receive a total of one and one half times their regular pay rate.
 - ii. Personnel called in for overtime to a hazardous duty assignment would receive a total of two times their regular pay rate.
9. Take Home Vehicles. Take Home Vehicles will be provided to any bargaining unit member subject to being on call. Members at the rank of Lieutenant are considered to be on call, as well as the SRP Sergeant and Detective Bureau Sergeant.

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF CLAIMS COMMENCING WITH THE LAST PREVIOUSLY APPROVED CLAIM AND CONTINUING THROUGH THE DATE OF MAY 9, 2003

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

May 21, 2003

WHEREAS, as filed with the County Clerk is a true copy of the record of claims commencing with the last previously approved claim and continuing through the date of May 9, 2003, inclusive; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners has been assured by the County Clerk that no claim received is withheld or rejected by the list, shows the name of the claimant, the amount of the claim and the date presented

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby authorizes the payment of claims commencing with the last previously approved claim and continuing through the date of May 9, 2003, inclusive, as listed in the statement of claims as attached hereto and made a part hereof

COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A
Armentrout	X			Irwin	X			Sizemore	X		
Bergman	X			Kern	X			Solowczuk	X		
Brackenbury	X			Peterson	X			Yekulis	X		
Gunn	X			Prater			x				

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS 10 0 1

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on May 21, 2003, as it appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this 22nd day of May, 2003.

PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register

BY: _____
Deputy Clerk



Res. No. 03-0086

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING COMMENTS FROM THE PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY CLERK TO SEND COMMENTS ON TO THE VILLAGE OF MANCHESTER AND THE CONTIGUOUS LOCAL UNITS OF GOVERNMENT

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

May 21, 2003

WHEREAS, Public Act 285 requires that the county planning commission or, in absence of the county planning commission, the county board of commissioners, shall submit to the Village of Manchester comments on the proposed basic plan; and

WHEREAS, Public Act 285 requires that the comments include, but not be limited to, a statement whether the proposed plan is considered to be inconsistent with the plan of any contiguous city, village, township or region, after considering comments from contiguous communities, and a statement whether the proposed plan is considered to be inconsistent with the county plan; and

WHEREAS the County Board of Commissioners created the Planning Advisory Board to review plans and recommend adoption by the Board of Commissioners; and

WHEREAS the Village of Manchester has submitted a master plan amendment; and

WHEREAS the Planning Advisory Board has reviewed and provided a statement of consistency and comments on the master plan amendment;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners approves the Village of Manchester Review Master Plan Report and approves the comments from the Planning Advisory Board, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners directs the County Clerk to send the comments on to the Village of Manchester and the contiguous local units of government.

COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A
Armentrout	X			Irwin	X			Sizemore	X		
Bergman	X			Kern	X			Solowczuk	X		
Brackenbury	X			Peterson	X			Yekulis	X		
Gunn	X			Prater			x				

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS 10 0 1

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on May 21, 2003, as it appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this 22nd day of May, 2003.

PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register

BY: _____

Deputy Clerk



Res. No. 03-0087

May 21, 2003

Jeff Wallace, Village Manager
Village of Manchester
912 City Road
Manchester, MI 48158

**RE: Washtenaw County Comments on the
Village of Manchester Master Plan Draft Amendment**

Dear Mr. Wallace:

This letter presents Washtenaw County's comments on the Village of Manchester Master Plan Amendment (Plan), as required by the Municipal Planning Act (Public Act 285). The Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners has approved the comments, which were prepared by the Department of Planning and Environment and reviewed by the Planning Advisory Board at the April 28, 2003 meeting. The Plan was reviewed for consistency with adjacent local unit of government plans and the County Land Use Policies Plan. The County finds the Plan to be consistent with the Manchester Township General Development Plan and Sharon Township Land Use Plan, as well as the County Land Use Policies Plan. A summary table for the consistency review is provided as Attachment 1.

The Plan was distributed to Bridgewater, Freedom, Manchester and Sharon Townships for comment. At this time, the County has received comments from Sharon Township (Attachment 2). Since the consultant who prepared the Village's Plan is currently preparing Manchester Township's Plan, we expect that there will be few, if any, inconsistencies between the two plans. Also, the County understands that the comment period has been extended for Bridgewater and Freedom Townships.

The Plan does an excellent job of promoting goals, objectives and policies that are consistent with those of adjacent Townships and the County. The vision created by the goals, objectives and policies is one of a vibrant, compact community:

- The Central Business District (CBD) is promoted as the primary commercial activity center for both Village residents as well as surrounding communities. Policies encourage mixed uses and the creation of a historic district, and discourage locating commercial stores in areas that detract from the CBD.

- The land use map shows increases in the amount of residential land and, in some areas, the density of existing residential areas. Building housing units at higher densities where services exist is a strategy encouraged by the County.

Other commendable components include strong emphasis on pedestrian pathway connections, historic preservation, protection and preservation of the River Raisin, and development of a greenway along the River Raisin.

Although the Plan provides a vision for the Village of Manchester consistent with that of the surrounding communities, we do offer the following comments for your consideration.

Comment 1: In the Environmental Resources section, the plan policies refer to utilizing zoning ordinances to minimize disruption to valuable wetlands and other natural feature areas, and enforcing regulations to minimize tree loss and disruption of valuable wooded areas especially on steep slopes and along the river. The Village currently does not have ordinances that protect woodlands, wetlands or floodplain areas.

Recommendation: Adopt woodland, wetland and floodplain protection ordinances.

Comment 2: Public Act 425 Agreements can be a useful tool. The comment received from Sharon Township reflects the desire to discuss such agreements (Attachment 2). The County understands that the Village is in close and open communication with the surrounding townships, and having a policy on land transfers would be helpful for both the Village and townships.

Recommendation: Develop a policy on intergovernmental and coordination agreements to be included in the Plan.

Comment 3: The Plan proposes projects that would be enhanced if extended beyond the Village boundaries. Two examples are pedestrian connections and development of a greenway system along the River Raisin. Again, the County acknowledges the Village's open communication with surrounding townships, and suggests that policies be added to such sections to promote partnerships with townships on cross-boundary projects.

Recommendation: In sections where sidewalk connections or greenway development is discussed, include a policy to promote partnerships on projects that extend beyond Village boundaries.

Comment 4: The land use map indicates two areas of potential conflict. In the southeast area, Sooten Road separates the Village General Industrial area (east) and a Township Residential area (west). The policies for General Industrial areas include measures for non-intrusive lighting and physical and visual protection; however, policies that reflect protection from noise and odor could be included. Also, the Village could consider a policy encouraging access to industrial uses from M-52, reducing truck traffic along Sooten Road.

Recommendation: Include a policy in the General Industrial section to the effect that performance standards for nuisances (noise, odor, emissions, etc.) will be enforced to minimize impact of industrial uses on residents.

Another area of potential conflict is the Research/Office (R/O) area surrounded by Medium Density Residential in the east-central part of the Village. The R/O land uses include very light industrial uses with minimal impact on surrounding area, light manufacturing and warehousing.

Recommendation: Since existing land uses are consistent with the R/O designation, the Village could include a policy to amend the land use plan in the event that existing uses cease operation or the area is redeveloped.

Comment 5: The need for higher density residential land uses in the Village is necessary and consistent with local and County policies. However, because densities are reduced at Township borders, particularly where Village Residential abuts Township Agricultural in northwestern area, there is the potential for residential and agricultural land use conflicts.

Recommendation: Include a policy which promotes clustering or PUDs where residential abuts agricultural uses to maximize distance and buffers between such uses, thereby reducing the potential for land use conflicts.

Comment 6: An objective in the Environmental Resources section is to protect and preserve the River Raisin. The Recreation Facilities section includes a policy to acquire land along the River Raisin to provide a greenway trail system as a major community park. A greenway along the river would be an asset to the Village, and there are land trusts and other funding mechanisms that could forward such a project.

Recommendation: Develop specific actions to promote development of a greenway along the River Raisin.

Comment 7: It is the County's understanding that a thoroughfare map and System Needs and Adequacy Assessment will be added to the final Plan. An analysis on the impact of land use map changes on the transportation network and ability of the network to accommodate future demands would help the Village plan for future road improvements.

Recommendation: Include a thoroughfare component in the Plan, and a policy to ensure that impacts to the system are considered with land use amendments and new development proposals.

Village of Manchester Master Plan Amendment Review

May 21, 2003

Page 5 of 4

The County is in support of the Village of Manchester Master Plan Amendment and appreciates your consideration of our comments and recommendations. If the County may be of assistance with the implementation of Plan policies, please contact Anthony VanDerworp, Director, Washtenaw County Department of Planning and Environment (734/222-6843).

Sincerely,

Leah Gunn, Chair
Board of Commissioners
Washtenaw County

cc: Bridgewater Township Planning Commission Chair
 Freedom Township Planning Commission Chair
 Manchester Township Planning Commission Chair
 Sharon Township Planning Commission Chair

ATTACHMENT 2

Sharon Township Comments

Comments were received by fax and are typed verbatim below.

“COMMENTS:

PC WAS PLEASED THAT THE NORTH END OF THE VILLAGE VERY MUCH COINCIDES WITH SHARON TWP LAND USE PLAN, ON OUR SOUTH SIDE. WE ARE GLAD THE GOAL IS TO KEEP THE DOWNTOWN THE MAIN COMMERCIAL AREA IN OUR TOWN. WE DID NOTE AN INCONSISTENCY BETWEEN YOUR PLAN AND THE SWWCOG PLAN. AT A RECENT SWWCOG MEETING, THE VILLAGE STATED THEY WERE INTERESTED IN 425 PLANS. HOWEVER IN THEIR PLAN, THEY STATE THEY ARE NOT INTERESTED AND WOULD WANT TO ANNEX ANY PROPERTY THAT WOULD BE INTERESTED IN USING AND SHARING THEIR UTILITIES

SHANNON FLECK, CHAIR, SHARON TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSION”

ATTACHMENT 1
Consistency Review Summary

Review Consideration	Plan Provisions	Consistent		Comments/Recommendations
		Adjacent Plans	County Plan	
Goals, objectives and policies of each element further or at least do not interfere with the goals, objectives and policies of adjacent plans or the County plan	GOPs promote a centralized business district, providing quality services for community members, providing for a range of housing options, increasing recreational opportunities, preserving historic and natural features, and facilitating an efficient transportation system as well as developing non-motorized linkages throughout the Village.	Yes, with comments	Yes	The proposed GOPs coincide with those of the County and Township plans promote the Village as the commercial node for the area, the preservation of natural resources, and the accommodation of a safe and efficient transportation system. <u>Comments:</u> consider developing a policy regarding consideration of 425-Agreements; discuss the past and existing inter-governmental efforts (such as the Washtenaw Recycling, transportation study) and the Village's willingness to continue future agreements.
The proposed land uses are compatible with regard to land use intensity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sharon Twp: proposed Public/Semi-Public (school) and Residential land uses to the north abut Sharon Township residential, Resource/Conservation and Commercial land uses to the south. • Manchester Township: proposed land uses of Residential, General Industrial, Recreation and Conservation abut Manchester Township Residential, Agricultural and Industrial land uses. • County master plan land use map closely resembles the proposed with regard to local of general industrial (or employment zone), central business district, and urban residential lands. 	Yes, with comments	Yes	The proposed land uses are compatible, with the exception of Township Residential land use located east of Booten Road from the Village General Industrial. An objective in the proposed plan is to minimize negative impacts of industrial areas on non-industrial areas, with supporting policies of non-intrusive lighting, physical and visual separations, and enforcement of codes. <u>Comment:</u> include a policy expressing the Village's sensitivity toward protecting residents from adverse impacts of industrial uses.

Review Consideration	Plan Provisions	Consistent		Comments/Recommendations
		Adjacent Plans	County Plan	
The proposed land uses are compatible with regard to land use density	The Village of Manchester's low-density residential land use has a suggested density of three dwelling units per acre or 13,000 square feet. The Manchester Township Residential densities are 1 du/acre in non-sewer service areas, or one-ten du/acre in areas that are within an adopted or planned sewer service district; and to discourage non-farm residential in Agricultural areas. Sharon Township's plan provides densities of 1 du/acre in non-services areas, and higher densities where public sewer would be provided.	Yes, with comments	Yes	Although three dwelling unit per acre developments are adjacent to Agricultural land uses (west side), township and the Village plan realize the need to increase densities where services exist or are proposed, else stated, in and around the Village. <u>Comment:</u> provide a policy to the effect that clustering or PUDs will be used to reduce potential land use conflicts between Village residential and township agricultural land uses.
The proposed land uses are compatible with regard to land use capital facilities	The location of public lands is compatible with surrounding land uses. Services are provided within the Village; a service area that extends beyond the Village boundaries is not included in the proposed plan. The proposed plan discusses street maintenance and improvements in relation to a Capital Improvement Plan and developing a 5-year building and maintenance plan.	Yes	Yes	Public and semi-public lands do not appear to conflict with county or adjacent community plans or policies; the school property is surrounded predominantly by residential land uses, and policies to promote safe pedestrian access to the schools.
The plan does not create threats to health and safety of individuals within the local unit or region	The proposed plan amendments do not appear to create threats to health and safety of individuals in the local unit or region.	Yes	Yes	Although the General Industrial adjacent to Township Residential is certainly not encouraged, if performance standards, landscaping and buffering, and code enforcement are implemented, the land use conflicts and the impact to the residents may be minimized. <u>Comment:</u> include a policy to ensure residents are protected. Note: the land use designation is consistent with the DRAFT Regional Plan map and the County land use map.

Review Consideration	Plan Provisions	Consistent		Comments/Recommendations
		Adjacent Plans	County Plan	
The plan does not reduce the existing or planned quality of life in the proposed local unit or region in reasonably identifiable and verifiable ways	The proposed plan amendments do not appear to reduce the existing or planned quality of life in the Village or the region.	Yes	Yes	The proposed plan amendments do not appear to reduce the existing or planned quality of life but to enhance through pedestrian pathway connections, historic preservation, preservation of the River Raisin, and promoting the downtown as a vibrant commercial area for the Village and surrounding townships. The Village has also increased the amount of land designated residential and allows for higher densities than surrounding townships, which furthers the regional and county goal of keeping development centralized and preventing it from encroaching on agricultural lands.