# WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

## Wednesday, January 17, 2001

## RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

An adjourned session of the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners was held at the Administration Building, in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on Wednesday, January 17, 2001.

The meeting was called to order at 6:57 p.m. by Chair Shaw.

**MEMBERS PRESENT:** Comms. Armentrout, Bergman, Craiger, DeLong, Gunn, Irwin, Kern, Kestenbaum, Peterson, Prater, Shaw, Sizemore, Solowczuk, Yekulis.

**MEMBERS ABSENT:** Comm. Montague.

**OTHERS PRESENT**: Bob Guenzel, County Administrator, Frank Cambria, Deputy Administrator; Curtis Hedger, Corporation Counsel; Peter Ballios, Finance Director; Verna McDaniel, Diane Buisch, Human Resources; Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register; Mark McFadden, Planning; Herb Mahoney, Sheriff Department; Rebecca Head, DEIS, Dan Myers, Public Works, Tom Freeman, Facilities; Bob Hubbard, Retirement; Marc Breckenridge, Emergency Management; Barbara King, Deputy Clerk; various citizens; and members of the press.

**Pledge of Allegiance**

**Approval of the Minutes of the Previous Meeting**
Comm. Prater seconded by Comm. Sizemore moved that the minutes of the January 3, 2001, Board of Commissioners meeting be approved. Motion carried.

**Citizen Participation**
None.

**Commissioner Follow-Up to Citizen Participation**
None.

**Communications**
Comm. Gunn seconded by Comm. Prater moved that the communications be received and dealt with as recommended. Motion carried.

R-0023 RECEIVED: December 19, 2000. Copy of letter to Ted DeLeon, Office of Workforce Development, Michigan Department of Career Development, from Trenda Rusher, Executive Director, Washtenaw County Workforce Development & Community Action Boards, dated December 12, 2000, re: Response to his letter concerning the Single Audit Report for years ending December 31,1998 and December 31, 1999 (Mr. DeLeon's letter was R-0009 in the January 3, 2001, packet). Received and filed.

R-0024 RECEIVED: December 20, 2000. Letter to Interested Parties from Karla Lowrie, Departmental Technician, Operating Program Unit, Permits Section, Air Quality Division, Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, dated December 14, 2000, re: Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, Air Quality Division's Pending New Source Review Application Report. Received and filed.

R-0025 RECEIVED: December 26, 2000. Copy of letter to Metalforming Technologies, Inc. from Dennis Platte, Executive Director, Michigan State Tax Commission, dated December 7, 2000, re: Industrial Facility Exemption Certificate No. 1991-050. Received and filed.

R-0026 RECEIVED: December 26, 2000. Memorandum to District Court Administrators, et al, from Richard L. Baldermann, PA, Administrator, Local Audit and Finance Division, Michigan Department of Treasury, dated December 7, 2000, re: Public Act 35 of 1994 as amended (MCL 12.206) $150 Forensic Lab Fee, Collection and Accounting. Received and filed; copy to Administration, Prosecuting Attorney, and Trial Court Administrator.

R-0027 RECEIVED: December 26, 2000. Memorandum to Friends of the Court, et al, from Richard L. Baldermann, PA, Administrator, Local Audit and Finance Division, Michigan Department of Treasury, dated December 7, 2000, re: Public Act 146 of 1993 (MCL 722,717) $35 Filiation Fee, Collection and Accounting—MCL 3.605 (from GCR 772). Received and filed; copy to Friend of the Court, Prosecuting Attorney, Finance, and Administration.

R-0028 RECEIVED: December 26, 2000. Notice of Application Material Availability from the Michigan Department of Natural Resources, re: 2001 Recreation Grants Program. Received and filed; copy to Parks & Recreation.

R-0029 RECEIVED: December 26, 2000. Letter to County Commissioners, et al, from Stephen D. Madden, Lt. Colonel, Chair, Emergency Telephone Service Committee, dated December 14, 2000, re: Template Nondisclosure Agreement. Received and filed; copy to Corporation Counsel, Administration, and Emergency Management.

R-0030 RECEIVED: December 27, 2000. Letter to Great Lakes Colleagues from Gary V. Galician, Director, Great Lakes National Program Office, United States Environmental Protection Agency, dated December 20, 2000, re: Invitation to submit Preproposals for projects to be awarded from Fiscal Year 2001 funding. Received and filed; copy to DEIS.

R-0031 RECEIVED: December 28, 2000. Notice on proposed Building Code Rules, Mechanical Code Rules, and Plumbing Code Rules from Ronald M. Basso, Deputy Director, Michigan Department of Consumer and Industry Services. Received and filed; copy to DEIS.

R-0032 RECEIVED: December 28, 2000. Letter to Interested Stakeholders from Douglas E. Howard, Director, Michigan Family Independence Agency, dated December 21, 2000, re: Child Support Enforcement Program. Received and filed; copy to Friend of the Court, Trial Court, Finance, and Prosecuting Attorney.

R-0033 RECEIVED: December 29, 2000. Letter to Washtenaw County from Don Black, Allegan County District #7 Commissioner, dated December 26, 2000, re: Seeking support for the at-large position on the MAC Board of Directors. Received and filed.

**Report of the Chair of the Board**
None.

**Special Order of Business**

**Public Hearing for Consideration to Alter the Boundaries of the Village of Manchester**

Chair Shaw opened the public hearing for the consideration to alter the boundaries of the Village of Manchester.

With no one wishing to speak, Chair closed the public hearing.

**Reports of Standing Committees**
None.

**Reports of Special Committees**
Comm. Bergman seconded by Comm. Kern moved that the following reports be received: Historic District Commission dated November 2 and December 7, 2000, Head Start Policy Council dated September 12 and October 10, 200, Metropolitan Planning Commission dated November 9 and December 14, 2000, Washtenaw County Health Organization dated November 21, 2000. Motion carried. (Complete reports are on file in the County Clerk/Register's office.)

**Other Reports**
Comm. Bergman seconded by Comm. Kern moved that the Medical Examiner Annual Report be received. Motion carried. (Complete report is on file in the County Clerk/Register's Office).

**Report of the Treasurer**
Comm. Bergman seconded by Comm. Kern moved that the Cash position of Washtenaw County as of November 30 2000, be received. Motion carried. (Complete report is on file in the County Clerk/Register's Office).

**Report from the County Administrator**

Mr. Guenzel introduced Peggy M. Haines, County Clerk/Register of Deeds. Peggy, on behalf of elected County Officials, invited Commissioners to the official swearing in ceremony, which will take placed Monday afternoon, January 22, at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 2. She said after the ceremony, refreshments would be served in the Board Room.

**Appointments**

**01-0010** Comm. Prater seconded by Comm. Gunn moved that the resolution appointing members to various boards, Committees, Commissions and Agencies for terms ending December 31, 2001, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 14. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 1 - Montague. Motion carried.

**01-0011** Comm. Prater seconded by Comm. Gunn moved that the resolution appointing Comm. Irwin to the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority for Washtenaw County for the remainder of a three-year term expiring December 31, 2001, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 14. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 1 - Montague. Motion carried.

**01-0012** Comm. Prater seconded by Comm. Gunn moved that the resolution appointing Dianne S. Hill, Saline City Clerk, Luann Strieter Koch, Sylvan Township Clerk, and Andy Fanta, Ypsilanti School Board Member, to serve with Peggy M. Haines, Washtenaw County Clerk/Register as the Washtenaw County Election Scheduling Committee from January 1, 2001, through December 31, 2002, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 14. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 1 - Montague. Motion carried.

**01-0013** Comm. Prater seconded by Comm. Gunn moved that the resolution appointing Comm. Prater to the Health Code Appeals Board/Public Health Advisory Committee for the remainder of a five-year term expiring December 31, 2003, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 14. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 1 - Montague. Motion carried.

**01-0014** Comm. Yekulis seconded by Comm. Gunn moved that the resolution approving the appointment of a Historic District Study Committee as recommended by the Washtenaw County Historic District Commission for the purpose of evaluating the Gordon Hall property for Historic District Status, be adopted. Comm. Yekulis asked for a couple of typographical errors to be corrected and that Dick DeLong be added to be Study Committee, with himself as an alternate. This was accepted as a friendly amendment. Roll call vote: YEAS: 14. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 1 - Montague. Motion carried.

**01-0015** Comm. Prater seconded by Comm. Gunn moved that the resolution appointing Comm. Armentrout to the Intergovernmental Partnership Committee for the Gelman Groundwater Remediation Plan, be adopted. Roll call vote: Roll call vote: YEAS: 14. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 1 - Montague. Motion carried.

**01-0016** Comm. Prater seconded by Comm. Gunn moved that the resolution appointing Comm. Bergman as an alternate to the Board of Directors of the Michigan Association of Local Public Health for the remainder of a two-year term expiring December 31, 2001, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 14. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 1 - Montague. Motion carried.

**01-0017** Comm. Prater seconded by Comm. Gunn moved that the resolution modifying the membership to the Neighborhood Advisory Council for the Huron Street Shelter, be adopted. Comm. Armentrout suggested that the language be clarified by revising the first "Resolved" paragraph to read: "NOW THEREFORE BE ITI RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby modifies the membership of the Neighborhood Advisory Council for the Huron Street Shelter from two (2) Commercial Property owner/operator(s) within 100 yards to two (2) Commercial Property owner/operator(s) within ½ mile of the Huron Street Shelter. Roll call vote: YEAS: 14. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 1 - Montague. Motion carried.

**01-0018** Comm. Prater seconded by Comm. Gunn moved that the resolution appointing Comm. Sizemore to the Joint Willow Run Airport Zoning Board for the remainder of a three-year term expiring December 31, 2001, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 14. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 1 - Montague. Motion carried.

**01-0019** Comm. Prater seconded by Comm. Gunn moved that the resolution recommending a Commissioner name to the State Emergency Planning Community Right-to-Know Commission for appointment to serve on the Washtenaw County Local Emergency Planning Commission, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 14. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 1 - Montague. Motion carried.

**01-0020** Comm. Prater seconded by Comm. Gunn moved that the resolution appointing Comm. Kestenbaum to the River Raisin Watershed Council for the remainder of a two-year term expiring December 31, 2001, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 14. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 1 - Montague. Motion carried.

**01-0021** Comm. Prater seconded by Comm. Gunn moved that the resolution appointing Comm. Solowczuk to the Willow Run Airport Committee, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 14. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 1 - Montague. Motion carried.

**Ways & Means Committee – January 17. 2001**

**01-0022** Comm. Prater seconded by Comm. Gunn moved that the resolution adopting the Washtenaw County Tentative Enhanced 9-1-1 Plan, which reflects updates, and changes that are required by the State of Michigan's Emergency Telephone Service Committee, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 14. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 1 - Montague. Motion carried.

**01-0023** Comm. Prater seconded by Comm. Gunn moved that the resolution approving Great West Life & Annuity Insurance Company ("Great West") as a 457 Plan Deferred Compensation vendor and endorsing the county Administrator's letters to Great West and Michigan Association of Counties, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 14. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 1 - Montague. Motion carried.

**01-0024** Comm. Prater seconded by Comm. Gunn moved that the resolution approving the contract with the Township of Unadilla and the Township of Lyndon for the Multi Lakes Sanitary Sewer System Phase II, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 14. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 1 - Montague. Motion carried.

**Approval of Claims**

**01-0025** Comm. Prater seconded by Comm. Gunn moved that the resolution authorizing payment of claims commencing with the last previously approved claim and continuing through the date of January 3, 2001, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 14. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 1 - Montague. Motion carried.

| FUND/COMPANY | SYSTEM CHECKS | UTILITIES/CREDIT CARD | EXCEPTIONS & PRE-CLAIMS | TOTAL |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1010 GENERAL FUND | $5,307.38 | $3,994.14 | $0.00 | $9,301.52 |
| 1100 GYPSY MOTH SUPPRESSION | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 1110 CHILDRENS SERVICES GRANTS-COOP | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 1310 OPERATION NIGHT CAP | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 1320 COMMUNITY POLICING ENHANCEMENT | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 1330 MDSS REINTEGRATION SERV. | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 1340 I-94 SHORE TO SHORE | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 1400 SHERIFF ROAD PATROL | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 1412 L.A.W.N.E.T. | $0.00 | $399.67 | $0.00 | $399.67 |
| 1490 PA 302 TRAINING | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 1620 PROS ATTNY -CR | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 1690 SHELTER PLUS CARE | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 1710 BFI SOLID WASTE COORD. | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 1760 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 1770 ILLEGAL DUMPING PROGRAM | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 1870 MICHIGAN GREAT LAKES PROTECTION | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 1881 VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 1900 3 - 911 FUND | $0.00 | $996.75 | $0.00 | $996.75 |
| 2080 PARKS & RECREATION | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 2060 LAW LIBRARY | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 2110 COUNTY LIBRARY FUND | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 2150 FRIEND OF THE COURT | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 2370 JTPA ADMINISTRATION | $226.62 | $380.51 | $0.00 | $607.13 |
| 2410 NO WRONG DOOR | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 2420 JTPA - FIRST | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 2490 EMPLOYMENT SERVICES | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 2510 CSA HEADSTART | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 2600 CSBG | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 2630 CSA SR NUTRITION | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 2650 FOSTER GRANDPARENTS | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 2700 CSA DOE - WEATHERIZATION | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 2804 COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS | $0.00 | $404.22 | $0.00 | $404.22 |
| 2810 JUVENILE ACCOUNTABILITY | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 2930 MENTAL HEALTH | $0.00 | $1.40 | $0.00 | $1.40 |
| 2940 SUBSTANCE ABUSE | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2960 HEALTH | $0.00 | $7.93 | $0.00 | $7.93 |
| 2990 CHILD CARE/PROBATE CT. | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 4010 CAPITAL PROJECTS | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 4050 CAPITAL EQUIPMENT | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 4520 MULTI-LAKE SEWER SYSTEM | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 4860 B.A. E-911 CONSTRUCTION | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 5720 INMATE ENTERPRISE FUND | $474.63 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $474.63 |
| 5990 CO-OP EXTENSION SERVICE | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 6280 Del Tax Revolving #28 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 6310 FACILITIES MGMT - O & M | $0.00 | $8,063.20 | $0.00 | $8,063.20 |
| 6320 FACILITIES MGMT - TRANSP | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 6330 FACILITIES MGMT - GEN SVC | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 6340 GEN SVCS - REVOLV. | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 6440 SELF INSURANCE | $0.00 | $270.52 | $0.00 | $270.52 |
| 6630 MEDICAL SELF INSURANCE | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 6650 FRINGE BENEFIT REVOLVING | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 6900 CENTREX ASSOCIATION | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 6930 MENTAL HEALTH | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 7350 T&A 14A DISTRICT COURT | $595.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $595.00 |
| 7520 EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT FUND | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 7550 MONEY PURCHASE PENSION PLAN | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 7570 VEBA HEALTH TRUST | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 7900 REGIONAL DISPATCH FUND | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| 7950 HAZ MATL RESPONSE TEAM | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 | $0.00 |
| TOTALS | $6,603.63 | $14,518.34 | $0.00 | $21,121.97 |

**New Business**

**01-0026** Comm. Prater seconded by Comm. Gunn moved that the resolution determining and ordering a change in the boundaries of the Village of Manchester, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 14. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 1 - Montague. Motion carried.

**01-0027** Comm. Prater seconded by Comm. Gunn moved that the resolution setting a public hearing on February 21 2001, at a regular Board of Commissioners meeting at 6:45 p.m. in the Board Room, Administration Building, for consideration of alteration of the boundaries of the Village of Manchester, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 14. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 1 - Montague. Motion carried.

**Liaison Reports**

Comm. Yekulis reported on the Parks & Recreation Commission and their national search for a new Director.

Comm. Craiger commended the Parks Commission for purchasing the Frans Lake property.

Comm. Kern reminded Commissioners about the Planning Commission's annual planning workshop one week from tonight at 6:30 p.m.

**Items for Current/Future Discussion**

Comm. Armentrout said that she is concerned about accessibility to our building. She said the handicapped parking spot is covered with snow.

**Citizen Participation**

None.

**Commissioners Follow-up to Citizen Participation**

None.

**Adjournment**

Comm. DeLong seconded by Comm. Yekulis moved to adjourn until Wednesday, February 7, 2001 at 6:45 p.m. in the Board Room, Washtenaw County Administration Building. Motion carried.

The meeting adjourned at 7:15 p.m.

_____
Comm. Suzanne Shaw, Chair

_____
Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register
By: Barbara L. King, Deputy Clerk

Board Approved: February 7, 2001

A RESOLUTION APPOINTING MEMBERS TO VARIOUS BOARDS, COMMITTEES, COMMISSIONS AND AGENCIES FOR TERMS ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2001

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

January 17, 2001

WHEREAS, various appointments to boards, committees, commissions and external agencies; boards, committees and commissions are scheduled to be made in January of each year; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby confirms the appointments of the Chair of the Board Commissioners of members to the following Boards, Committees, Commissions and agencies for terms ending December 31, 2001:

Accommodations Ordinance Commission
1) Suzanne Shaw
2) Wesley Prater

Ann Arbor School Safety Committee
1) Richard DeLong

Ann Arbor-Ypsilanti Urban Area Transportation Study Committee
1) Martha Kern

Area Agency on Aging
1) Barbara Levin Bergman
2) Joseph Yekulis, Jr., alternate

Central Dispatch Authority
1) Joseph Yekulis, Jr.
2) Lawrence Kestenbaum, alternate

Community Action Board
1) P. Christina Montague
2) Stephen Solowczuk

Drainage Board
1) Suzanne Shaw (Chair of the Board specified by Drain Code another Comm. appointed
2) Lawrence Kestenbaum by the Chair)

Drug Forfeiture Committee
1) Jeff Irwin

Emergency Medical Services Commission
1) Suzanne Shaw
2) Martha Kern

Emergency Telephone District Board
1) Suzanne Shaw(Chair of the Board)
2) Joseph Yekulis, Jr.
3) Vivienne Armentrout, alternate

Foster Grandparent Advisory Board
1) Rolland Sizemore, Jr.
2) Barbara Levin Bergman, alternate

Head Start Policy Board
1) Leah Gunn
2) Ronnie Peterson, alternate

Local Development Finance Authority - Dexter
1) Richard DeLong

Local Development Finance Authority – Augusta Township
1) Rolland Sizemore Jr.

Michigan Township Association (Liaison)
1) Rolland Sizemore Jr.

Money Purchase Pension Plan
1) Wesley Prater
2) Jeff Irwin

Property Tax Foreclosure Prevention Task Force
1) Barbara Levin Bergman

Public Works Board (Liaison)
1) Vivienne Armentrout

Retirement Commission (Ex-Officio)
1) Richard DeLong
2) Suzanne Shaw

Road Commission (Liaison)
1) Richard DeLong
2) Dillard Craiger, alternate

Sheriff's Community Relations Advisory Board Ad Hoc Committee
1) Barbara Levin Bergman

2) Jeff Irwin
3) Stephen Solowczuk

Sheriff's Youth, Community Projects and Special Projects Fund
1) Joseph Yekulis, Jr.

Solid Waste Management Consortium
1) Dan Myers
2) Jeff Irwin

Southeastern Michigan Council of Governments (SEMCOG)

| **Member** | **Alternate** |
|---|---|
| 1) Jeff Irwin | 3) Lawrence Kestenbaum |
| 2) Martha Kern | 4) P. Christina Montague |

Washtenaw Development Council
1) Robert Guenzel
2) Leah Gunn, alternate

Workforce Development Board (Liaison)
1) Suzanne Shaw (Chair of the Board)
2) P. Christina Montague

800 Mhz (Liaison)
1) Joseph Yekulis, Jr.

| COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Armentrout | X | | | Irwin | X | | | Prater | X | | |
| Bergman | X | | | Kern | X | | | Shaw | X | | |
| Craiger | X | | | Kestenbaum | X | | | Sizemore | X | | |
| DeLong | X | | | Montague | | | X | Solowczuk | X | | |
| Gunn | X | | | Peterson | X | | | Yekulis | X | | |

**CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY** **ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS** **14 0 1**

---

**STATE OF MICHIGAN )**
**COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)** SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on January 17, 2001, as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

**PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register**

BY:_____
Deputy Clerk

Res . No. 01-0010

A RESOLUTION APPOINTING A MEMBER TO THE BROWNFIELD REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY FOR WASHTENAW COUNTY FOR THE REMAINDER OF A THREE YEAR TERM EXPIRING DECEMBER 31, 2001

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

January 17, 2001

WHEREAS, on June 9, 1999 the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners appointed members to the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority for staggered terms for implementation; and

WHEREAS, a vacancy exists on the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority Board for the remainder of a three year term expiring December 31, 2002 due to the resignation of Al Robinson

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby appoints the following member to the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority for the remainder of a three-year term expiring December 31, 2001:

| Organizational Category | Representative |
|---|---|
| Board of Commissioner | 1)Jeff Irwin |

| COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Armentrout | X | | | Irwin | X | | | Prater | X | | |
| Bergman | X | | | Kern | X | | | Shaw | X | | |
| Craiger | X | | | Kestenbaum | X | | | Sizemore | X | | |
| DeLong | X | | | Montague | | | X | Solowczuk | X | | |
| Gunn | X | | | Peterson | X | | | Yekulis | X | | |

**CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY** **ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS** **14 0 1**

**STATE OF MICHIGAN )**
**COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)** SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on January 17, 2001, as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____..

**PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register**

BY:_____
Deputy Clerk

Res . No. 01-0011

A RESOLUTION APPOINTING DIANNE S. HILL, SALINE CITY CLERK, LUANN STRIETER KOCH, SYLVAN TOWNSHIP CLERK, AND ANDY FANTA, YPSILANTI SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER, TO SERVE WITH PEGGY M. HAINES, WASHTENAW COUNTY CLERK/REGISTER AS THE WASHTENAW COUNTY ELECTION SCHEDULING COMMITTEE FROM JANUARY 1, 2001 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2002

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

January 17, 2001

WHEREAS, MCL 168.639 mandates the appointment of the County Election Scheduling Committee by the County Board of Commissioners at the first meeting of the board each odd-numbered year. The committee consists of the County Clerk and three (3) other members; and

WHEREAS, the Washtenaw County Clerk/Register has made recommendation in this matter,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby appoints Dianne S. Hill, Saline City Clerk, LuAnn Strieter Koch, Sylvan Township Clerk, and Andy Fanta, Ypsilanti School Board Member, to serve with Peggy M. Haines, Washtenaw County Clerk/Register as the Washtenaw County Election Scheduling Committee from January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2002.

| COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Armentrout | X | | | Irwin | X | | | Prater | X | | |
| Bergman | X | | | Kern | X | | | Shaw | X | | |
| Craiger | X | | | Kestenbaum | X | | | Sizemore | X | | |
| DeLong | X | | | Montague | | | X | Solowczuk | X | | |
| Gunn | X | | | Peterson | X | | | Yekulis | X | | |

**CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY** **ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS** **14** **0** **1**

**STATE OF MICHIGAN )**
**COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)** SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on January 17, 2001, as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

**PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register**

BY:_____
Deputy Clerk

Res . No. 01-0012

A RESOLUTION APPOINTING ONE MEMBER TO THE HEALTH CODE APPEALS BOARD/PUBLIC HEALTH ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR THE REMAINDER OF A FIVE-YEAR TERM EXPIRING DECEMBER 31, 2003

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

January 17, 2001

WHEREAS, one vacancy exists on the Washtenaw County Health Code Appeals Board/Public Health Advisory Committee for the remainder of a five-year term expiring December 31, 2003 due to the resignation of Raymond Schultz; and

WHEREAS, the Commissioner representative appointed to the Health Code Appeals Board/Public Health Advisory Committee also serves on the Hearing Board for the Health Department Food Service Regulations

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby makes the following appointment to the Washtenaw County Health Code Appeals Board/Public Health Advisory Committee for the remainder of a five-year term expiring December 31, 2003

Member
1)Wesley Prater

| COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Armentrout | X | | | Irwin | X | | | Prater | X | | |
| Bergman | X | | | Kern | X | | | Shaw | X | | |
| Craiger | X | | | Kestenbaum | X | | | Sizemore | X | | |
| DeLong | X | | | Montague | | | X | Solowczuk | X | | |
| Gunn | X | | | Peterson | X | | | Yekulis | X | | |

**CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY** **ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS** **14** **0** **1**

**STATE OF MICHIGAN )**
**COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)** SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on January 17, 2001, as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

**PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register**

BY:_____
Deputy Clerk

Res . No. 01-0013

A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE APPOINTMENT OF A HISTORIC DISTRICT STUDY COMMITTEE AS RECOMMENDED BY THE WASHTENAW COUNTY HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION FOR THE PURPOSE OF EVALUATING THE GORDON HALL PROPERTY FOR HISTORIC DISTRICT STATUS.

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

January 17, 2001

WHEREAS, the property located at 8341 Island Lake Road in Webster Township has potential to be nominated as a historic district; and

WHEREAS, the Gordon Hall property was constructed from 1841 to 1843 for Dexter village's founder, Judge Samuel W. Dexter and evidence exists which suggests that the property was used as a stop on the Underground Railroad; and

WHEREAS, the University of Michigan currently owns the property and wishes to have it properly designated in order to protect the property from future development; and

WHEREAS, Webster Township has asked the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners via the Washtenaw County Historic District Commission to have the property listed as a historic district; and

WHEREAS, under Michigan's Historic Districts Act (P.A. 169 of 1970, as amended in 1992), a historic district study committee must be established to evaluate the property and determine if it meets criteria to be included in a historic district; and

WHEREAS, under P.A. 169, the County Board of Commissioners has the authority to establish such a historic district committee; and

WHEREAS, as dictated by law, the Washtenaw County Historic District Commission is presenting a list of nominees to serve on this historic district committee; and

WHEREAS, the Washtenaw County Historic District Commission recommends that the following individuals be appointed to the Gordon Hall Historic District Study Committee: Carol Mull, Marnie Paulus, Alice Ralph, Grace Shackman, and Julie Truettner

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby appoints these individuals to the Gordon Hall Property Historic District Study Committee.

Carol Mull
Marnie Paulus
Alice Ralph
Grace Shackman
Julie Truettner
Richard DeLong
Joseph Yekulis (Alternate)

| COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Armentrout | X | | | Irwin | X | | | Prater | X | | |
| Bergman | X | | | Kern | X | | | Shaw | X | | |
| Craiger | X | | | Kestenbaum | X | | | Sizemore | X | | |
| DeLong | X | | | Montague | | | X | Solowczuk | X | | |
| Gunn | X | | | Peterson | X | | | Yekulis | X | | |

**CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY** **ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS** **14** **0** **1**

**STATE OF MICHIGAN )**
**COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)** SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on January 17, 2001, as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

**PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register**

BY:_____
Deputy Clerk

Res . No. 01-0014

A RESOLUTION APPOINTING A COMMISSIONER MEMBER TO THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL PARTNERSHIP COMMITTEE FOR GELMAN GROUNDWATER REMEDIATION PLAN

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

JANUARY 17, 2001

WHEREAS, the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners approved participation in the Gelman Groundwater Remediation Plan Intergovernmental Partnership and appointed representation; and

WHEREAS, Washtenaw County representation included two commissioners; and

WHEREAS, resolution 97-0156 appointed Commissioner DeLong; and

WHEREAS, resolution 00-0134 appointed Commissioner Irwin; and

WHEREAS, Commissioner Irwin has resigned from the Intergovernmental Partnership Committee for Gelman Groundwater Remediation Plan

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby appoints the following commissioner representative to the Intergovernmental Partnership Committee for Gelman Groundwater Remediation Plan:

1)Vivienne Armentrout

| COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Armentrout | X | | | Irwin | X | | | Prater | X | | |
| Bergman | X | | | Kern | X | | | Shaw | X | | |
| Craiger | X | | | Kestenbaum | X | | | Sizemore | X | | |
| DeLong | X | | | Montague | | | X | Solowczuk | X | | |
| Gunn | X | | | Peterson | X | | | Yekulis | X | | |

**CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY** **ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS** **14 0 1**

---

**STATE OF MICHIGAN )**
**COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)** SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on January 17, 2001, as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

**PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register**

BY:_____

Deputy Clerk

Res . No. 01-0015

A RESOLUTION APPOINTING A COMMISSIONER REPRESENTATIVE TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE MICHIGAN ASSOCIATION OF LOCAL PUBLIC HEALTH FOR THE REMAINDER OF A TWO YEAR TERM EXPIRING DECEMBER 31, 2001

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

January 17, 2001

WHEREAS, in 1985 an organization known as the Michigan Association of Local Health Department was established to represent the interests of public health at the community level to the Michigan legislature and the Michigan Department of Public Health; and

WHEREAS, Washtenaw County is entitled to two representatives to the Board of Directors, one of whom shall be the County Health Officer or his/her designee and the other can be either a County Commissioner or a member of the Board of Health; and

WHEREAS, a vacancy exists for a Commissioner representative who serves as the alternate on the Michigan Association of Public Health due to the resignation of Marlene Chockley; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby appoints the following commissioner representative to the Michigan Association of Local Public Health for the remainder of a two-year term expiring December 31, 2001:

1) Barbara Levin Bergman, alternate

| COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Armentrout | X | | | Irwin | X | | | Prater | X | | |
| Bergman | X | | | Kern | X | | | Shaw | X | | |
| Craiger | X | | | Kestenbaum | X | | | Sizemore | X | | |
| DeLong | X | | | Montague | | | X | Solowczuk | X | | |
| Gunn | X | | | Peterson | X | | | Yekulis | X | | |

**CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY** **ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS** **14** **0** **1**

**STATE OF MICHIGAN )**
**COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)**SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on January 17, 2001, as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

**PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register**

BY:_____
Deputy Clerk

Res . No. 01-0016

A RESOLUTION MODIFYING THE MEMBERSHIP TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD ADVISORY COUNCIL FOR THE HURON STREET SHELTER

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

January 17, 2001

WHEREAS, since 1996, the City and County have been leading groups of community members to review current programs and facilities and make recommendations for improvements to the Shelter on Huron Street; and

WHEREAS, supportive housing and affordable housing are cornerstones to less dependence and greater stability; and

WHEREAS, as member of a concerned community, homelessness is a shared responsibility and must be addressed collaboratively by all of the institutions, agencies, businesses and individual members in our community; and

WHEREAS, the City and the County have moved forward to addressing the needs of the homeless by approving the construction of a homeless shelter on Huron Street; and

WHEREAS, the Washtenaw Housing Alliance will operate the shelter and provide coordination of necessary supportive services; and

WHEREAS on July 5, 2000, (resolution 00-0131), the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners created a nine member Neighborhood Advisory Council for the Huron Street Shelter; and

WHEREAS, the nine members shall consists of a representative of the Old West Side Neighborhood Association (nominated by the association), West Park Neighborhood Association (nominated by the association), Huron-Jackson Neighborhood Association (nominated by the association), Chapin Street resident, Citizens Advisory Council (DDA) (nominated by the CAC), At Large, City of Ann Arbor designee (nominated by City Council) and two Commercial property owner/operators within 100 yards; and

WHEREAS, on November 15, 2000 the Board of Commissioners appointed representatives to the Neighborhood Advisory Council for three year terms staggered for implementation; and

WHEREAS, current vacancies exists on the Neighborhood Advisory Council for a representative of the West Park Neighborhood Association and the Commercial Property owner/operator within 100 yards; and

WHEREAS, there is an interest to expand the area of representation for the Commercial Property owner/operator from 100 yards to within ½ mile; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby modifies the membership of the Neighborhood Advisory Council for the Huron Street Shelter from two (2) Commercial Property owner/operator(s) within 100 yards to two (2) Commercial Property owner/operator(s) within ½ mile of the Huron Street Shelter

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners directs that the change in this representation be reflected in the upcoming public notice, seeking individuals interested in appointment.

| COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Armentrout | X | | | Irwin | X | | | Prater | X | | |
| Bergman | X | | | Kern | X | | | Shaw | X | | |
| Craiger | X | | | Kestenbaum | X | | | Sizemore | X | | |
| DeLong | X | | | Montague | | | X | Solowczuk | X | | |
| Gunn | X | | | Peterson | X | | | Yekulis | X | | |

**CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY** **ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS** **14** **0** **1**

**STATE OF MICHIGAN )**
**COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)** SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on January 17, 2001, as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

**PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register**

BY:_____
Deputy Clerk

Res . No. 01-0017

A RESOLUTION APPOINTING A MEMBER TO THE JOINT WILLOW RUN AIRPORT ZONING BOARD FOR THE REMAINDER OF A THREE YEAR TERM EXPIRING DECEMBER 31, 2001

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

January 17, 2001

WHEREAS, the Airport Zoning Act (MCLA 259.431 et seq.) provides for an airport hazard area extending in a ten mile radius from the airport; and

WHEREAS, the Act further mandates that when a publicly owned airport is located on property extending beyond the jurisdictional territory of the local entity which owns the airport, each of the governmental entities shall create a joint airport zoning board to enforce airport zoning regulations within the airport hazard area; and

WHEREAS, the Act provides that two of the three members to be appointed to the Airport Zoning Board must reside within the airport hazard area while the third appointee must reside outside the airport hazard area; and

WHEREAS, a vacancy exists on the Joint Willow Run Airport Zoning Board due to the resignation of Raymond Schultz for the remainder of a three year term expiring December 31, 2001; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby appoints the following individual to serve on the Joint Willow Run Airport Zoning Board for the remainder of a three-year term expiring December 31, 2001:

| Representing | Member |
|---|---|
| AREAS WITHIN THE AIRPORT HAZARD AREA: | 1)Rolland Sizemore Jr. |

| COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Armentrout | X | | | Irwin | X | | | Prater | X | | |
| Bergman | X | | | Kern | X | | | Shaw | X | | |
| Craiger | X | | | Kestenbaum | X | | | Sizemore | X | | |
| DeLong | X | | | Montague | | | X | Solowczuk | X | | |
| Gunn | X | | | Peterson | X | | | Yekulis | X | | |

**CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY** **ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS** **14** **0** **1**

---

**STATE OF MICHIGAN )**
**COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)** SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on January 17, 2001, as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

**PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register**

BY:_____

Deputy Clerk

Res . No. 01-0018

A RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING A NAMETO THE STATE EMERGENCY PLANNING COMMUNITY RIGHT-TO-KNOW COMMISSION FOR APPOINTMENT TO SERVE ON THE WASHTENAW COUNTY LOCAL EMERGENCY PLANNING COMMISSION

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
January 17, 2001

WHEREAS, the "Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act" (SARA) was enacted on October 17, 1986; and

WHEREAS, SARA Title III (The Emergency Planning and Community Right-To-Know Act of 1986) established requirements for reporting on hazardous and toxic chemicals; and

WHEREAS, the State Emergency Planning and Community Right-To-Know Commission was established in 1987; and

WHEREAS, local emergency management jurisdictions were adopted to serve as emergency planning districts under Title III of SARA; and

WHEREAS, Washtenaw County and the City of Ann Arbor each represent a district; and

WHEREAS, each Local Emergency Planning Committee shall include at a minimum elected state, elected local, law enforcement, fire fighting, first aid, health, civil defense, local environmental, hospital, transportation, broadcast and print media, community groups, owners and operators of facilities subject to the requirements under this Act; and

WHEREAS, the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners directed the County Administrator to seek recommendations from various organizations and agencies for names of individuals for consideration by the Board for submission to the State for a Local Emergency Planning Committee (87-0260); and

WHEREAS, establishing a three-year term to revisit the recommended membership will effectively update the State Emergency Planning and Community Right-To-Know Commission; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby recommends the following individual to the State Emergency Planning and Community Right-To-Know Commission for appointment to the Washtenaw County Local Emergency Planning Committee for the remainder of three year terms expiring December 31, 2001:

| REPRESENTING: | MEMBER |
|---|---|
| Elected Local | 1)Lawrence Kestenbaum |

| COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Armentrout | X | | | Irwin | X | | | Prater | X | | |
| Bergman | X | | | Kern | X | | | Shaw | X | | |
| Craiger | X | | | Kestenbaum | X | | | Sizemore | X | | |
| DeLong | X | | | Montague | | | X | Solowczuk | X | | |
| Gunn | X | | | Peterson | X | | | Yekulis | X | | |

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS 14 0 1

STATE OF MICHIGAN )
COUNTY OF WASHTENAW) SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on January 17, 2001, as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, **this** _____ **day of** _____, _____.

PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register

BY:_____

Deputy Clerk

Res . No. 01-0019

A RESOLUTION APPOINTING A MEMBER TO THE RIVER RAISIN WATERSHED COUNCIL FOR THE REMAINDER OF A TWO YEAR TERM EXPIRING DECEMBER 31, 2001

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

January 17, 2001

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners appoints two commissioner representatives and/or designee to the River Raisin Watershed Council for two-year terms expiring on December 31, 2001

WHEREAS, a vacancy exists due to the resignation of Michael DuRussel for the remainder of a two –year term expiring December 31, 2001

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby appoints the following member to the River Raisin Watershed Council for the remainder of a two-year term expiring December 31, 2001:

1)Stephen Solowczuk

| COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Armentrout | X | | | Irwin | X | | | Prater | X | | |
| Bergman | X | | | Kern | X | | | Shaw | X | | |
| Craiger | X | | | Kestenbaum | X | | | Sizemore | X | | |
| DeLong | X | | | Montague | | | X | Solowczuk | X | | |
| Gunn | X | | | Peterson | X | | | Yekulis | X | | |

**CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY** ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS 14 0 1

STATE OF MICHIGAN )
COUNTY OF WASHTENAW) SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on January 17, 2001, as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register

BY:_____
Deputy Clerk

Res . No. 01-0020

A RESOLUTION APPOINTING A MEMBER TO THE WILLOW RUN AIRPORT COMMITTEE

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

January 17, 2001

WHEREAS, Raymond Schultz was appointed to the Willow Run Airport Committee by resolution 94-0050; and

WHEREAS, a vacancy exists on the Willow Run Airport Committee due to the resignation of Raymond Schultz

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby appoints the following individual to serve on the Willow Run Airport Committee:

MEMBER
1)Rolland Sizemore Jr.

| COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Armentrout | X | | | Irwin | X | | | Prater | X | | |
| Bergman | X | | | Kern | X | | | Shaw | X | | |
| Craiger | X | | | Kestenbaum | X | | | Sizemore | X | | |
| DeLong | X | | | Montague | | | X | Solowczuk | X | | |
| Gunn | X | | | Peterson | X | | | Yekulis | X | | |

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS 14 0 1

STATE OF MICHIGAN )
COUNTY OF WASHTENAW) SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on January 17, 2001, as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

**PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register**

BY:_____
Deputy Clerk

Res . No. 01-0021

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE WASHTENAW COUNTY TENTATIVE ENHANCED 9-1-1 PLAN WHICH REFLECTS UPDATES AND CHANGES THAT ARE REQUIRED BY THE STATE OF MICHIGAN'S EMERGENCY TELEPHONE SERVICE COMMITTEE

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

January 17, 2001

WHEREAS, The State Emergency Telephone Service Committee has required that all Counties, in their Enhanced 9-1-1 Plan, address new funding and service related issues pertaining to the provision of cellular 9-1-1; and

WHEREAS, The State Emergency Telephone Service Committee has required that the plans must be updated and adopted as Final by April, 2001; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners intends to continue and enhance 9-1-1 service for the public of Washtenaw County; and

WHEREAS, in resolution 95-0214, the Board of Commissioners established the Washtenaw County Emergency Telephone District Board to study and recommend additional methods and technology to further enhance the operation of public safety communications; and

WHEREAS, The Emergency Telephone District Board has collectively reviewed the updates to the Enhanced 9-1-1 Plan and recommends its approval to the Board of Commissioners; and

WHEREAS, The Emergency Telephone District Board's recommendation calls for 75% of the wireless 9-1-1 surcharge proceeds to be distributed to Washtenaw County Central Dispatch, with the remainder to be held in escrow for future technology needs; and

WHEREAS, this matter has been reviewed by Corporation Counsel, the Finance Office, the County Administrator's Office and the Ways & Means Committee

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners adopts the Washtenaw County's Tentative Enhanced 9-1-1 Plan as recommended by the Emergency Telephone District Board, as attached hereto and made a part hereof

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners directs the County Clerk to distribute the Tentative Enhanced 9-1-1 Service Plan to the Clerk of every city, township and village in Washtenaw County

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners hereby adopts the timeline as attached hereto and made a part hereof

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners hereby sets a public hearing on April 18, 2001, pursuant to Public Act 32 of 1986 and in accordance with the prescribed 90 day waiting period.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners directs the County Clerk to publish two notices of the meeting in a newspaper of general circulation within the county. The first publication of the notice shall occur at least 30 days prior to the date of the hearing.

| COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Armentrout | X | | | Irwin | X | | | Prater | X | | |
| Bergman | X | | | Kern | X | | | Shaw | X | | |
| Craiger | X | | | Kestenbaum | X | | | Sizemore | X | | |
| DeLong | X | | | Montague | | | X | Solowczuk | X | | |
| Gunn | X | | | Peterson | X | | | Yekulis | X | | |

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS 14 0 1

STATE OF MICHIGAN )
COUNTY OF WASHTENAW) SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on January 17, 2001, as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register

BY:_____

Deputy Clerk

Res . No. 01-0022

WASHTENAW COUNTY
2001 REVISION OF
THE ENHANCED 9-1-1 PLAN

HISTORY

| | |
|---|---|
| December 16, 1988 | First plan recommended to the Board of Commissioners by the Enhanced 9-1-1 Task Force. |
| February 1, 1989 | Board of Commissioners approved the first Enhanced 9-1-1 Plan. |
| February 20, 1992 | Plan amended by the Enhanced 9-1-1 Task Force and Recommended to the Board of Commissioners. |
| July 8, 1992 | Amended plan approved by the Board of Commissioners. |
| October 10, 1995 | Emergency Telephone District Board approved the amended plan. |
| December 13, 1995 | Board of Commissioners approved the amended plan. |
| July 12, 2000 | Public Safety Answering Point subcommittee began work on amending the current Enhanced 9-1-1 plan to address Wireless 9-1-1 and for other purposes. |
| November 21, 2000 | Emergency Telephone District Board unanimously approved the Tentative Enhanced 9-1-1 Plan and forwarded to the Board of Commissioners for approval. |

In 1986 the Michigan State Legislature passed Public Act 32, which enabled Michigan counties to establish emergency telephone districts within all or part of the county. Washtenaw County was designated as a service district for Enhanced 9-1-1 service. In March of 1994, sections of Public Act 32 were amended by Public Act 29 of 1994. This 9-1-1 service plan addresses the following aspects of emergency telephone service as required by Public Act 32, as amended.

- Technical considerations of the service supplier, including but not limited to, system equipment for facilities to be used in providing emergency telephone service.

- Operations considerations, including but not limited to, the designation of PSAPs and secondary PSAPs, the manner in which 9-1-1 calls will be processed, the dispatch functions to be performed, plans for documenting closest public safety unit dispatching requirements, the dispatch of Michigan state police personnel, and identifying information systems to be used.

- Managerial considerations including the organizational form and agreements that would control technical, operational, and fiscal aspects of the emergency telephone service.

- Fiscal considerations including projected nonrecurring and recurring costs with a financial plan for implementing and operating the system.

Public Act 32 was amended again in 1999 by Public Acts 78,79,80 and 81. These amendments address the following aspects of wireless 9-1-1 telephone service as required by Public Act 32, as amended.

- The creation of a Commercial Mobile Radio Service (CMRS) emergency telephone fund for collection and disbursement of wireless surcharge monies as outlined in this plan.

- The responsibilities of CMRS vendors for operations and collections of surcharge monies.

- Fiscal considerations including an annual audit of a PSAP(s) or public agency(ies) that receives funds to implement and operate wireless 9-1-1 services.

By establishing Enhanced 9-1-1 service in Washtenaw County, we provide for (1) the use of one simple easy-to-remember telephone number for all emergencies in any location within the County, and (2) the use of automatic number identification (ANI), automatic location identification (ALI), and selective routing (SR) to increase the effectiveness of emergency services. In addition we attempt to decrease the risks faced by emergency response personnel through increased knowledge of the emergency situation.

This Enhanced 9-1-1 Service Plan was prepared in accordance with the Emergency Telephone Service Enabling Act (Michigan PA 32, 1986, as amended). All stipulations and requirements of the remaining clauses of PA 32, as amended are included in this plan whether or not specifically mentioned herein.

## I. EMERGENCY TELEPHONE DISTRICT BOARD

The County Board of Commissioners shall create a Emergency Telephone District Board to govern the fiscal operation of the District. The makeup of the Emergency Telephone District Board will be comprised of the Sheriff of the County, a representative of the Michigan State Police appointed by the Director of the Michigan State Police, and a firefighter, plus elected officers and/or chief administrative officers in the following distribution: three township representatives to be appointed by the Township Association; one representative each from Manchester, Dexter, and Chelsea Village; one representative each from the Cities of Ann Arbor, Ypsilanti, Saline, and Milan; and three Washtenaw County Government representatives appointed by the Board of Commissioners; and the E-9-1-1 Coordinator.

## II. TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS

The Enhanced 9-1-1 service as provided in Washtenaw County includes the following features: Selective Routing (SR), Automatic Number Identification (ANI), and Automatic Location Identification (ALI). The ALI Database will be maintained by the network providers.

There are three telephone companies providing service to Washtenaw County: Frontier Communications, General Telephone, and Ameritech.

Quarterly "Administrative Findings" will update Appendices D and E with the most current list of wireline and wireless telephone companies providing service to Washtenaw County. Appendix B will also be updated quarterly with the most recent recurring and non-recurring charges as well as the telephone line distribution by primary Public Safety Answering Points in Washtenaw County.

All telephone companies interested in providing Enhanced 9-1-1 service will cooperate to supply, in accordance with the Michigan Public Service Commission tariff rates, rules and regulations, the design, installation, and maintenance of the network for all facilities involved in providing emergency response telephone service. This will include modification of all pay telephones to provide free 9-1-1 service.

All calls in the Washtenaw County Emergency Telephone Service District shall be processed in the manner indicated in Appendix C. Specific answering procedures will be the responsibility of the agency operating the Public Safety Answering Point, including the manner in which 9-1-1 calls will be processed, the dispatch functions to be performed, plans for documenting closest public safety unit dispatching requirements, the dispatch of Michigan State Police personnel, and identifying information systems to be used.

Equipment for Public Safety Answering Point's will be provided, installed, and maintained by the vendor(s) of communications equipment contracted with the Public Safety Answering Points for such purposes. All Public Safety Answering Points shall include equipment for the hearing and speech impaired when selecting Public Safety Answering Point equipment. The use of a singular vendor will be given consideration as means for providing future inter-agency cooperation in both technical and fiscal matters.

## III. OPERATIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

Within five days of the adoption of the Tentative Amended Enhanced 9-1-1 plan by the Board of Commissioners, the County clerk delivered to each city, village or township, charter township, special service district, or state university of Washtenaw County a copy of both the adopting resolution and the Tentative Enhanced 9-1-1 plan.

Each city, village or township, charter township, special service district, or state university of Washtenaw County, if deciding against participation in the Enhanced 9-1-1 service district was requested, in accordance with Public Acts 32, as amended, to file a notice of exclusion from the district not later than 45 days after the receipt of this plan.

Any city, village or township, charter township, special service district, or state university of Washtenaw County not filing a notice of exclusion from the district was, in accordance with Acts 32, as amended included within the Enhanced 9-1-1 service district.

Each safety agency designated to serve as a Public Safety Answering Point was required to file a notice of their intent whether to serve or not as the primary Public Safety Answering Point no later than 45 days after the city village, township, charter township, special service district, or state university which the county serves received the plan. Failure to file a notice of intent resulted in the safety agency not being designated as a Public Safety Answering Point in this final Enhanced 9-1-1 plan.

If the Public Safety Answering Point designation mentioned above involves another public or private safety agency, the safety agency designated as the primary Public Safety Answering Point must accept the responsibility for either dispatching the appropriate emergency service vehicles within the area, or transferring 9-1-1 calls received to the public safety agency responsible for dispatching such services.

Each primary Public Safety Answering Point will be responsible for establishing the method with which it will use to transfer calls to any secondary Public Safety Answering Point existing within the jurisdiction served by the primary Public Safety Answering Point. These mutually agreed upon methods must include two of the following: direct dispatch, call transfer, or call relay.

While the plan is designed solely for the benefit of residents of Washtenaw County, other communities may be affected by the implementation of this plan. Prior to implementation of service, agreements were reached with these communities as to the proper forwarding of those 9-1-1 calls which originate beyond the boundaries of this Emergency Telephone Service District.

For the purpose of this plan, the boundaries of the 9-1-1 emergency telephone service district shall be the geographic boundaries of Washtenaw County, Michigan, including that portion of the corporate limits of the City of Milan lying within the County of Monroe.

Any entity which operates an independent Public Safety Answering Point shall not be prohibited from joining the Washtenaw County Central Dispatch Authority or it's successor by this plan. Likewise, this plan shall not prohibit any entity which is part of the Washtenaw County Central Dispatch Authority or it's successor from becoming an
independent Public Safety Answering Point. The costs for any change of status described above will be charged to the entity which requests the change.

Pursuant to Public Act 32, as amended, Section 501(1), after implementation of this plan, should a public safety agency serving a public agency within the 9-1-1 service district wish to be added to the 9-1-1 system as a PSAP or secondary PSAP, that agency shall present to the County clerk a notice of intent to function as a PSAP in accordance with Section 307. Within five days of receipt of the notice the county clerk shall forward the written notice to the service supplier. The pubic safety agency shall commence to function as a PSAP or secondary PSAP as soon as feasible after giving the written notice.

## IV. MANAGERIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Each public or private safety agency which files a notice of intent to function as a Public Safety Answering Point (either primary or secondary) accepts the responsibility for the management of the on-line public safety dispatch center including: the operational configuration, level of service, and equipment needs.

Management of each Public Safety Answering Point will be in accordance with the policies and procedures of the public safety agency or private safety entity which operates the Public Safety Answering Point. When more than one agency or
entity participates in the same Public Safety Answering Point, management procedures will be of a mutually agreed upon nature.

In addition each community or safety agency described above is responsible, in accord with P.A. 32, as amended, to assure an appropriate and timely implementation and maintenance of their individual Enhanced 9-1-1 system. Such activities may include, but not necessarily be limited to: system-wide planning, coordination, information, liaison, and approval of payment for system charges.

Each community or agency described above acknowledges that the rates, rules and regulations of the Michigan Public Service Commission's Enhanced 9-1-1 tariffs now in effect or as modified by the Commission in the future, govern the charges made for the Enhanced 9-1-1 network by each respective 9-1-1 service provider.

## V. FISCAL CONSIDERATIONS

The following are the estimated costs of the E-9-1-1 system for Washtenaw County

### A. Estimated Network Costs, Technical Surcharge

#### 1. P.A. 32 Caps for Consumer Costs

As provided in P.A. 32, as amended, Section 401(3):

"Except as provided in subsection (5), the amount of emergency telephone technical charge payable monthly by a service user for recurring costs and charges shall not exceed 2% of the highest monthly flat rate charged by a service provider for a 1-party access line within the 9-1-1 service district. The amount of emergency telephone technical charge payable monthly by a service user for nonrecurring costs and charges shall not exceed 5% of the highest monthly flat rate charged by the service supplier for a 1-party access line within the 9-1-1 service district. With the approval of the county board of commissioners, a county may assess an amount for recurring emergency telephone operational costs and charges that shall not exceed 4% of the highest monthly flat rate charged by a service supplier for a 1-party access line within the geographical boundaries of the assessing county. The percentage to be set for the emergency telephone operational charge shall be established by the county board of commissioners pursuant to section 312. The difference, if any between the amount of the emergency telephone technical charge computed under subsection (2) and the maximum permitted under this section shall be paid by the county from funds available to the county or through cooperative arrangements with public agencies within the 9-1-1 service district."

#### 2. Estimated Network Charges

The assessment for recurring and non-recurring charges will be updated quarterly and listed in Appendix B. Actual costs are calculated based on Michigan Public Service Commission tariff #2 on an annual basis. Funds are pooled among all participating telephone service providers.

#### 3. Operational Surcharge:

From P.A. 32, as amended, Sec. 401(3)

> Except as provided in subsection (5), the amount of emergency telephone technical charge payable monthly by a service user for recurring costs and charges shall not exceed 2% of the highest monthly flat rate charged by a service supplier for a 1-party access line within the 9-1-1 service district. The amount of emergency telephone technical charge payable monthly by a service user for nonrecurring costs and charges shall not exceed 5% of the highest monthly flat rate charged by the service supplier for a 1 party access line within the 9-1-1 service district. With the approval of the county board of commissioners, a county may assess an amount for recurring emergency telephone operational costs and charges that shall not exceed 4% of the highest monthly flat rate charged by the service supplier for a 1 party access line within the geographical boundaries of the assessing county. The percentage to be set for the emergency telephone operational charge shall be established by the county board of commissioners pursuant to section 312. The difference, if any, between the amount of the emergency telephone technical charge computed under subsection (2) and the maximum permitted under this section shall be paid by the county from funds available to the county or through cooperative arrangements with public agencies within the 9-1-1 service district.

(5) F or a 9-1-1 service district created or enhanced after June 27,1991, the amount of emergency telephone technical charge payable monthly by a service user for recurring costs and charges shall not exceed 4% of the highest monthly flat rate charged by the service supplier for a 1-party access line within the 9-1-1 district.

(6) A county may, with the approval of the voters in the county, access up to 16% of the highest monthly flat rate charged by a service supplier for a 1-party access line within the geographical boundaries of the assessing county or assess a millage or combination of the 2 to cover emergency operational costs. In a ballot question under this subsection the board of commissioners shall specifically identify how the collected money is to be distributed. An affirmative vote on a ballot question under this subsection shall be considered an amendment to the 9-1-1 service plan pursuant to section 312. Not more that 1 ballot question under this subsection may be submitted to the voters within any 12-month period and an assessment approved under this subsection shall be for a period not greater than 5 years.

Distribution of Wireless 9-1-1 funds.

I. Washtenaw County Central Dispatch shall be the primary Public Safety Answering Point for all wireless 9-1-1 calls within Washtenaw County. Requests for service will be managed by direct dispatch, call transfer, or call relay methods.

Washtenaw County Central Dispatch will be responsible for compliance with audit requirements for funds received in accordance with Public Act 32 as amended.

From P.A. 32, as amended, Sec. 409(1)

(1) All money collected and deposited in the CMRS emergency telephone fund created in section 407 shall be distributed as follows:

(a) Twenty-five cents of each monthly service charge collected under section 408 shall be disbursed to reimburse CMRS suppliers licensed by the federal communications commission for providing and installing equipment that implements the wireless emergency service order and this act.

(b) Except as provided in subsection (4), 10 cents of each monthly service charge collected under section 408 shall be disbursed equally to each county that has a final 9-1-1 plan in place that includes implementing the wireless emergency service order and this act. Money received by a county under this subdivision shall only be used to implement the wireless emergency service order and this act. Money expended under this subdivision for a purpose considered unnecessary or unreasonable by the committee or the auditor general to implement the wireless emergency service order and this act shall be repaid to the fund.

(c) Except as provided in subsection (4), 15 cents of each monthly service charge collected under section 408 shall be disbursed on a per capita basis to each county that has a final 9-1-1 plan in place that includes implementing the wireless emergency service order and this act. The committee shall certify to the department of treasury annually which counties have a final 9-1-1 plan in place. The most recent census conducted by the United States census bureau shall be used to determine the population of each county in

determining the per capita basis in this subdivision. Money received by a county under this subdivision shall only be used to implement the wireless emergency service order and this act. Money expended under this subdivision for a purpose considered unnecessary or unreasonable by the committee or the auditor general to implement the wireless emergency service order and this act shall be repaid to the fund.

II. The following section on training funds shall apply to all primary and secondary Public Safety Answering points in Washtenaw County as outlined in this plan and in accordance with P.A. 32, as amended, Sec. 409(1):

(d) One and one-half cents of each monthly service charge collected under section 408 shall be available to PSAPs for training personnel assigned to 9-1-1 centers. A written request for money from the fund shall be made by a public safety agency or county to the committee. The committee shall semiannually authorize distribution of money from the fund to eligible public safety agencies or counties. A public safety agency or county that receives money under this subdivision shall create, maintain, and make available to the committee upon request a detailed record of expenditures relating to the preparation, administration, and carrying out of activities of its 9-1-1 training program. Money expended by an eligible public safety agency or county for a purpose considered unnecessary or unreasonable by the committee or the auditor general shall be repaid to the fund. Money shall be disbursed to an eligible public safety agency or county for training of PSAP personnel through courses certified by the commission on law enforcement standards only for either of the following purposes:

(i) To provide basic 9-1-1 operations training.

(ii) To provide in-service training to employees engaged in 9-1-1 service.

The Emergency Telephone District Board shall have the power and authority to disburse monies collected pursuant to this plan. Disbursement shall be based on the percentage of telephone lines serviced by the Primary Public Safety Answering Points within the District. All monies disbursed shall be expended by the receiving agency pursuant to Section 406 of PA 32, as amended:

(1) Operational charge funds collected and expended pursuant to this act shall be used exclusively for the operation of the 9-1-1 system.

(2) Each PSAP or secondary PSAP shall assure that fund accounting, auditing, monitoring, and evaluation procedures are provided. The accounting procedures shall provide for accurate and timely recording of receipt and disbursement of funds by source.

(3) An annual audit shall be conducted by an independent auditor using generally accepted accounting principles and copies of the annual audit shall be made available for public inspection.

(4) An increase in 9-1-1 funds shall not be authorized or expended for the next fiscal year unless an annual audit has been performed for the previous fiscal year and expenditures are in compliance with this act. Except as provided in subsection (5), the PSAP shall continue to operate at the same funding level as the same funding level as the previous fiscal year until an audit is performed as required by this section.

(5) The recurring emergency telephone operating charges authorized under section 401 shall not be expended if an audit has not been performed as required by this section within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year.

Current telephone service user operational surcharges are updated quarterly and listed in Appendix B.

B. Public Safety Answering Point Equipment Costs

P.A. 32, as amended, Sec 303 (3) states that "The tentative 9-1-1 service plan shall require each public agency operating a PSAP under the 9-1-1 system to pay directly for all installation and recurring charges for terminal equipment, including customer premises equipment, associated with the public agency's PSAP , and may require each public agency operating a PSAP under the 9-1-1 system to pay directly to the service supplier all installation and recurring charges for all 9-1-1 exchange and tie lines associated with the public agency's PSAP."

Equipment to be required for primary PSAP's under this plan is set at a minimum:

- ANI and ALI terminal displays
- ANI and ALI master and auxiliary controllers
- A telephone system with adequate line capacity
- Telecommunications Device for the Deaf compatible with the E-9-1-1 telephone equipment.

Selection of Public Safety Answering Point equipment is the responsibility of the PSAP operators.

C. Fiscal Policy

The following reflects the financial considerations for operating and implementing this plan:

1. Any safety agency which operates an independent PSAP under this plan is responsible for the following fiscal details:
   a. Procurement, installation, maintenance, and replacement of ANI, ALI, or other PSAP equipment as required.
   b. Payment for any additional PSAP equipment and/or program features as determined by the agency, such as secondary PSAP's or increased number of incoming lines.
   c. Personnel and associated PSAP operation expenses other than those specified in section 102(h) of P.A. 32

2. Washtenaw County Government is responsible for the following fiscal items:
   a. Funding for staff and supplies to support the Emergency Telephone District Board.
   b. To act, on a cost recovery basis, as the fiduciary point for disbursement of P.A. 32 surcharges collected under this plan to each PSAP based on the providers report of number of billable access facilities.

3. Singular Multi-Agency PSAPs
   a. County government, public agencies, public safety agencies, and private safety entities which wish to participate in a singular PSAP will share on a proportional basis, the costs of the singular PSAP.
   b. Should the resultant singular PSAP be a county-wide or regional emergency dispatch that provides service to 75% of the law enforcement, fire fighting, emergency medical service, and other emergency services within the geographical area of the 9-1-1 service area,

      or,

      serve 75% or more of the population of the 9-1-1 service district, it shall fit the definition of a Consolidated Dispatch under PA 32, as amended, Sec 102.c. Such dispatch

operation shall provide dispatch service at no cost to the Michigan State Police Department for operations within the 9-1-1 service area.

4. Disbursement of Surcharge Monies

   a. On a cost recovery basis, Washtenaw County government shall administer receipt and disbursement of funds and/or equipment directly received and/or acquired as a result of funding from Section IV. A. 3. The cost recovery level is set at 5% (five percent) of received surcharge monies.

   b. Each participating PSAP in a defined Consolidated Dispatch operation acknowledges that monies collected from telephone surcharges for their geographic area of responsibility shall be deposited with the Authority Board created to govern that Consolidated Dispatch operation. Such deposits will be made quarterly, beginning on the first day of the fourth month following the creation of such Authority Board, and each quarter thereafter, until the expiration of P. A. 32, as amended, or replacement of this Plan.

      i. Upon the commitment of two or more PSAPs within the emergency telephone district to develop and operate a defined Consolidated Dispatch entity, notice shall be given to the Emergency Telephone District Board of such intent by the governing body of the pubic agencies served by the PSAPs committing.

      ii. Surcharge monies collected for the geographic area of the committed PSAPs shall then be made available for the development costs and charges associated with the development stage of such Consolidated Dispatch operation.

      iii. The committed PSAPs acknowledge that such funds will be directed to that development.

      iv. Any committed PSAP under this section may remove themselves from the development process and / or the commitment of funds by delivering to the Emergency Telephone District Board a resolution to that effect from the governing body of the public agency(ies) served by that PSAP.

   c. Any PSAP in the Washtenaw County E-9-1-1 Service District not participating in a defined Consolidated Dispatch operation shall have the monies collected from telephone surcharges for their geographic area of responsibility disbursed quarterly, beginning on the first day of the fourth month following the enactment of this Plan, and each quarter thereafter, until the expiration of P. A. 32, or replacement of this Plan.

   d. For the purpose of wireline disbursements, line counts for each PSAP shall be obtained from the service providers for the lines in service on a quarterly basis (INSTEAD OF “October 1 of each year”) and the percentage of the total lines serviced will be calculated for use of that quarter’s disbursement (INSTEAD OF “in the following year”.)

   e. For the purpose of Wireless 9-1-1 disbursements, Washtenaw County Central Dispatch will receive 75% of the wireless operations surcharge monies on a quarterly basis in compliance with current disbursement procedures.

f. The remaining 25% of the wireless 9-1-1 operations surcharge will be held in a separate account for the purpose of funding future wireless 9-1-1 technology needs. These remaining funds will be distributed as new wireless 9-1-1 technologies become available pursuant to the direction of the Emergency Telephone District Board. In the event that new technology is not needed for the implementation of Phase II wireless 9-1-1 service, or, if funds exceed the cost of new technology, any remaining money shall be disbursed to Washtenaw Central Dispatch.

g. When a PSAP accepts direct Phase II wireless 9-1-1 calls, surcharge funds may be disbursed to that PSAP based on the proportion of the number of calls they receive to the total number of wireless 9-1-1 calls received in Washtenaw County. If, for example, a PSAP receives 10% of the total number of wireless 9-1-1 calls placed in Washtenaw County, then they will receive 10% of the wireless surcharge received.

D. Disbursement Authorization Required

Sec. 102. (h) "Emergency telephone operational charge" means a charge for nonnetwork technical equipment and other costs directly related to the operation of 1 or more PSAPs including, but not limited to, dispatch personnel. Costs associated with non-PSAP operation such as response vehicles and personnel shall not be included in those assessments levied under this act.

The technical reference guidelines for Public Act 29 (amendatory act to P.A. 32) states under "Types of Charges" that "Operational Charges" can be spent for nonnetwork technical equipment such as radio communications, computers, computer aided dispatch software, etc. and personnel costs for dispatchers and 9-1-1 directors. However, personnel cost can only be spent for 9-1-1 personnel services. A person hired with 9-1-1 funds cannot be used for dual purposes or functions not related to 9-1-1 operations.

It is the intention of the County to insure that all expenditures of surcharge monies comply with Sec. 102(h) as stated above. Therefore, the following procedure shall be adhered to:

1. The Emergency Telephone District Board (ETDB) shall, by resolution of the Board of Commissioners, have the responsibility of determining the appropriateness of expenditure of the monies distributed to individual Public Safety Answering Points as relates to the Act, or in accordance with the mandates of the Board of Commissioners, which shall take precedence.

2. The Emergency Telephone District Board shall approve or disapprove the disbursement of surcharge monies based on:
   a. The annual audit of each Public Safety Answering Point which shall delineate actual costs for capital equipment, communications equipment, and dispatch personnel.
   b. Each PSAP shall provide, at the beginning of the calendar year, a proposed expenditure budget for the anticipated surcharges for their jurisdiction. The ETDB shall evaluate that budget and disbursement be approved for the following fiscal period.
   c. Should any PSAP expend surcharge monies for costs not allowed under Sec. 102(h), that PSAP's share of surcharge monies shall be held in escrow until such time as corrections to the expenditures shall be made and approved by the ETDB.

E. Telecommunications Service Priority Restoration

Washtenaw County has voluntarily applied for Telecommunications Service Priority Restoration Authorizations from the National Communications System for all 9-1-1 circuits from telephone central office locations to primary and secondary PSAPs identified in this plan. Washtenaw County authorizes the network provider(s) to collect the appropriate amount by tariff to pay for the maintenance of the restoration status and to charge the costs as part of the recurring network charges.

V. Attachments

A. Definitions

B. Billable Access Facilities as of October 2000

C. Public Agency and PSAP designations as of October 2000

D. Wireless 9-1-1 providers in Washtenaw County as of October 2000

APPENDIX A

DEFINITIONS

The following Information is based upon content of this plan and Public Act 29 Sec. 102 of 1994.

(a) "**Automatic location identification**" or "**ALI**" means a 9-1-1 service feature provided by the service supplier that automatically provides the name and service address or, for a CMRS service supplier, the location associated with the calling party's telephone number as identified by automatic number identification to a 9-1-1 public safety answering point.

(b) "**Automatic number identification**" or "**ANI**" means a 9-1-1 service feature provided by the service supplier that automatically provides the calling party's billing telephone number to a 9-1-1 public safety answering point.

(c) "**Commercial mobile radio service**" or "**CMRS**" means commercial mobile radio service regulated under section 3 of title I and section 332 of title III of the communications act of 1934, chapter 652, 48 Stat. 1064, 47 U.S.C. 153 and 332, and the rules of the federal communications commission or provided pursuant to the wireless emergency service order. Commercial mobile radio service or CMRS includes all of the following:

(i) A wireless 2-way communication device, including a radio telephone used in cellular telephone service or personal communication service.

(ii) A functional equivalent of a radio telephone communications line used in cellular telephone service or personal communication service.

(iii) A network radio access line.

(d) "CMRS connection" means each number assigned to a CMRS customer.

(e) "Consoli**dated dispatch**" means a countywide or regional emergency dispatch service that provides dispatch service for 75% or more of the law enforcement, fire fighting, emergency medical service, and other emergency service agencies within the geographical area of a 9-1-1 service district or serves 75% or more of the population within a 9-1-1 service district.

(f) "**Database service provider**" means a service supplier who maintains and supplies or contracts to maintain and supply an ALI database or a MSAG.

(g) "**Direct dispatch method**" means that the agency receiving the 9-1-1 call at the public safety answering point decides on the proper action to be taken and dispatches the appropriate available public safety service unit located closest to the request for public safety service.

(h) "**Emergency response service**" or "**ERS**" means a public or private agency that responds to events or situations that are dangerous or that are considered by a member of the public to threaten the public safety. An emergency response service includes a police or fire department, an ambulance service, or any other public or private entity trained and able to alleviate a dangerous or threatening situation.

(i) "**Emergency service zone**" or "**ESZ**" means the designation assigned by a county to each street name and address range that identifies which emergency response service is responsible for responding to an exchange access facility's premises.

(j) "**Emergency telephone charge**" means emergency telephone operational charge and emergency telephone technical charge.

(k) "**Emergency telephone district**" or "**9-1-1 service district**" means the area in which 9-1-1 service is provided or is planned to be provided to service users under a 9-1-1 system implemented under this act.

(l) "**Emergency telephone district board**" means the governing body created by the board of commissioners of the county or counties with authority over an emergency telephone district.

(m) "**Emergency telephone operational charge**" means a charge for nonnetwork technical equipment and other costs directly related to the dispatch facility and the operation of 1 or more PSAPs including, but not limited to, the costs of dispatch personnel and radio equipment necessary to provide 2-way communication between PSAPs and a public safety agency. Emergency telephone operational charge does not include non-PSAP related costs such as response vehicles and other personnel.

(n) "**Emergency telephone technical charge**" means a charge for the network start-up costs, customer notification costs, billing costs including an allowance for uncollectibles for technical and operational charges, and network nonrecurring and recurring installation, maintenance, service, and equipment charges of a service supplier providing 9-1-1 service under this act.

(o) "**Exchange access facility**" means the access from a particular service user's premises to the telephone system. Exchange access facilities include service supplier provided access lines, PBX trunks, and centrex line trunk equivalents, all as defined by tariffs of the service suppliers as approved by the public service commission. Exchange access facilities do not include telephone pay station lines or WATS, FX, or incoming only lines.

(p) "**Final 9-1-1 service plan**" means a tentative 9-1-1 service plan that has been modified only to reflect necessary changes resulting from any exclusions of public agencies from the 9-1-1 service district of the tentative 9-1-1 service plan under section 306 and any failure of public safety agencies to be designated as PSAPs or secondary PSAPs under section 307.

(q) "**Master street address guide**" or "**MSAG**" means a perpetual database that contains information continuously provided by a service district that defines the geographic area of the service district and includes an alphabetical list of street names, the range of address numbers on each street, the names of each community in the service district, the emergency service zone of each service user, and the primary service answering point identification codes.

(r) "**Obligations**" means bonds, notes, installment purchase contracts, or lease purchase agreements to be issued by a public agency under a law of this state.

(s) "**Person**" means an individual, corporation, partnership, association, governmental entity, or any other legal entity.

(t) "**Primary public safety answering point**", "**PSAP**", or "primary **PSAP**" means a communications facility operated or answered on a 24-hour basis assigned responsibility by a public agency or county to receive 9-1-1 calls and to dispatch public safety response services, as appropriate, by the direct dispatch method, relay method, or transfer method. It is the first point of reception by a public safety agency of a 9-1-1 call and serves the jurisdictions in which it is located and other participating jurisdictions, if any.

(u) "**Prime rate**" means the average predominant prime rate quoted by not less than 3 commercial financial institutions as determined by the department of treasury.

(v) "**Private safety entity**" means a nongovernmental organization that provides emergency fire, ambulance, or medical services.

(w) "**Public agency**" means a village, township, charter township, or city within the state and any special purpose district located in whole or in part within the state.

(x) "**Public safety agency**" means a functional division of a public agency, county, or the state that provides fire fighting, law enforcement, ambulance, medical, or other emergency services.

(y) "**Qualified obligations**" means obligations that meet 1 or more of the following:

(i) The proceeds of the obligations benefit the 9-1-1 district, and for which all of the following conditions are met:

(A) The proceeds of the obligations are used for capital expenditures, costs of a reserve fund recurring the obligations, and costs of issuing the obligations. The proceeds of obligations shall not be used for operational expenses.

(B) The weighted average maturity of the obligations does not exceed the useful life of the capital assets.

(C) The obligations shall not in whole or in part appreciate in principal amount or be sold at a discount of more than 10%.

(ii) The obligations are issued to refund obligations that meet the conditions described in subparagraph (i) and the net present value of the principal and interest to be paid on the refunding obligations, excluding the cost of issuance, will be less than the net present value of the principal and interest to be paid on the obligations being refunded, as calculated using a method approved by the department of treasury.

(z) "**Relay method**" means that a PSAP notes pertinent information and relays it by telephone, radio, or private line to the appropriate public safety agency or other provider of emergency services that has an available emergency service unit located closest to the request for emergency service for dispatch of an emergency service unit.

(aa) "**Secondary public safety answering point**" or "**secondary PSAP**" means a communications facility of a public safety agency or private safety entity that receives 9-1-1 calls by the transfer method only and generally serves as a centralized location for a particular type of emergency call.

(bb) "**Service supplier**" means a person providing a telephone service or a CMRS to a service user in this state.

(cc) "**Service user**" means an exchange access facility or CMRS service customer of a service supplier within a 9-1-1 system.

(dd) "**Tariff**" means the rate approved by the public service commission for 9-1-1 service provided by a particular service supplier. Tariff does not include a rate of a commercial mobile radio service by a particular supplier.

(ee) "**Tentative 9-1-1 service plan**" means a plan prepared by 1 or more counties for implementing a 9-1-1 system in a specified 9-1-1 service district.

(ff) "**Transfer method**" means that a PSAP transfers the 9-1-1 call directly to the appropriate public safety agency or other provider of emergency service that has an available emergency service unit located closest to the request for emergency service for dispatch of an emergency service unit.

(gg) "**Universal emergency number service**" or "**9-1-1 service**" means public telephone service that provides service users with the ability to reach a public safety answering point by dialing the digits "9-1-1".

(hh) "Univer**sal emergency number service system**" or "**9-1-1 system**" means a system for providing 9-1-1 service under this act.

(ii) "**Wireless emergency service order**" means the order of the federal communications commission, FCC docket No. 94-102, adopted June 12, 1996 with an effective date of October 1, 1996.

APPENDIX B

WIRELINE DISTRIBUTION BY ANSWERING POINT

WASHTENAW COUNTY WIRELINE COUNT
SECOND QUARTER 2000

Total lines = 307,211

| PSAP | LINES | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| ANN ARBOR | 127,363 | 41.458% |
| CHELSEA | 4,502 | 1.465% |
| MILAN | 3,469 | 1.129% |
| PITTSFIELD | 28,218 | 9.185% |
| SALINE | 8,201 | 2.670% |
| U OF M | 3,574 | 1.163% |
| WASHTENAW CO. | 116,402 | 37.890% |
| YPSILANTI | 15,024 | 4.890% |
| EMU | 458 | 0.149% |
| Total | 307,211 | 100.000% |

- AVERAGE QUARTER WIRELINE DISBURSEMENT FOR PREVIOUS YEAR = $280,529.30
- AVERAGE QUARTER WIRELINE COUNT FOR PREVIOUS YEAR = 293,640
- AS OF OCTOBER 1, 2000, RECURRING AND NON-RECURRING CHARGE AMOUNTS ARE $.26 PER ACCESS LINE IN WASHTENAW COUNTY
- CURRENT TELEPHONE SERVICE USER OPERATIONAL SURCHARGES ARE $.50 TO $.51 PER MONTH. (DEPENDANT UPON 4% OF THE HIGHEST MONTHLY FLAT RATE CHARGED BY A SERVICE SUPPLIER FOR A 1-PARTY ACCESS LINE WITHIN THE GEOGRAPHICAL BOUNDARIES OF WASHTENAW COUNTY).
- NOTE: LINE COUNTS FOR EASTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY AND UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN ARE BASED ON THE FIRST SEVEN LINES PLUS EVERY ELEVENTH LINE TO ACCOUNT FOR CENTREX TELEPHONE SYSTEMS.

* Revised Language & Line Counts *

APPENDIX C

DESIGNATION PUBLIC SAFETY ANSWERING POINTS

| PRIMARY PSAP | SECONDARY PSAP |
|---|---|
| Ann Arbor PD | Ann Arbor FD<br>HVA |
| Chelsea PD | HVA |
| Saline PD | HVA |
| Milan PD | HVA |
| Pittsfield Public Safety | HVA |
| Ypsilanti PD | HVA |
| U of M Public Safety | Ann Arbor Fire<br>HVA |
| Eastern Michigan University | Ypsilanti PD |
| Washtenaw Central Dispatch<br>(Provides dispatch services for:<br>Northfield Township PD, MSP 26,<br>Washtenaw County Sheriff) | HVA |

Note: Huron Valley Ambulance is contracted to provide dispatch services for the following fire departments:

Northfield Township Fire Department, Salem Township Fire Department, Superior Township Fire Department, Scio Township Fire Department, Augusta Township Fire Department, Dexter Area Fire Department, Manchester Township Fire Department. Due to contracts with other departments, Clinton Fire Department, Stockbridge Fire Department and Sand Lake Fire Department are also dispatched.

APPENDIX D

WIRELINE SERVICE PROVIDERS FOR THE ENHANCED 9-1-1 SYSTEM

Ameritech/SBC
TCG - Detroit
GTE North
GTE South
LCI
MCI
MediaOne
Frontier
McLeod USA

* Most Current List of Landline Providers as of August 2000 *

APPENDIX E

WIRELESS SERVICE PROVIDERS FOR THE ENHANCED 9-1-1 SYSTEM

AT&T Wireless PCS
Centennial Michiana
Century Cellunet of Southern Michigan
Centruy Personal Access Network
Cook Inlet/VS GSM II PCS
Detroit SMSA
Centurytel Wireless
New Par Airtouch
Nextwave Power Partners
Omnipoint Mi-Indiana
Toledo MSA
Wirelessco

* Most Current list of Wireless Providers as of August 2000 *

# Enhanced 9-1-1 Plan
# Amendment Timeline

| | |
|---|---|
| November 21, 2000 | Emergency Telephone District Board Approval and Recommendation of Amended 9-1-1 Plan |
| January 17, 2001 | Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners meets and adopts tentative Enhanced 9-1-1 Plan. |
| March 3, 2001 | 45 day limit for a "Notice of Inclusion" by Public Safety Answering Points into the Amended 9-1-1 Plan. |
| March 19, 2001 | The last day for the first public notification of intent to hold a public hearing on April 18, 2001, regarding the Tentative 9-1-1 Plan. A second notification needs to be published before the April 18 public hearing. The notices shall be published by the County Clerk in a newspaper of general circulation within the county. |
| April 17, 2001 | End of the 90 day minimum public notice requirement before the Board of Commissioners may hold the public hearing. |
| April 18, 2001 | Board of Commissioners holds public hearing and adopts the Amended Enhanced 9-1-1 Plan by Resolution. |
| Before April 31, 2001 | Plan is forwarded plan to the Emergency Telephone Service Commission, Local Governments and Public Safety Answering Points. |

Plan expires December 31, 2002

A RESOLUTION APPROVING GREAT WEST LIFE & ANNUITY INSURANCE COMPANY (“GREAT WEST”) AS A 457 PLAN DEFERRED COMPENSATION VENDOR AND ENDORSING THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR’S LETTERS TO GREAT WEST AND MICHIGAN ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

January 17, 2001

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Washtenaw County Michigan (hereinafter the "County") previously adopted the MAC Service Corporation Model Deferred Compensation Plan (hereinafter the MAC Plan); and

WHEREAS, the MAC Service Corporation Board is the trustee under the MAC Plan; and

WHEREAS, the MAC Service Corporation Board chose Great West as their vendor for the 457 Deferred Compensation Plan; and

WHEREAS, the MAC Service Corporation Board effective January 1, 2001 chose to eliminate Great West as their vendor for the 457 Deferred Compensation Plan and replace it with Diversified Investment Advisors; and

WHEREAS, the County was informed by the Michigan Association of Counties that all assets were to be transferred effective January 1, 2001 to Diversified Investment Advisors; and

WHEREAS, to preserve the status quo, the County Administrator sent letters to Michigan Association of Counties and Great West indicating the Counties intent to establish Great West as a new 457 Deferred Compensation provider and transferring those participants accounts currently held by MAC to Great West; and

WHEREAS, County wishes to adopt a new plan to be known as the Washtenaw County Michigan Deferred Compensation Plan (hereinafter the "Great West Plan") and transfer all assets of participants currently in the MAC Plan to the Great West Plan; and

WHEREAS, the County wishes to appoint Wells Fargo Bank West, N.A. (Wells Fargo) as the Custodian and deemed trustee of the Great West Plan; and

WHEREAS, the County wishes to retain the services of Great-West as recordkeeper and service provider; and

WHEREAS, the County wishes to transfer those existing accounts held by MAC and formerly managed by Great West, to Great West as a separate County 457 Deferred Compensation vendor; and

WHEREAS, this matter has been reviewed by the County Administrator’s Office, the Human Resources Department, the Finance Office, Corporation Counsel and the Ways & Means Committee

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby accepts Great West as a Washtenaw County Deferred Compensation service provider and recordkeeper for the new 457 Deferred Compensation Plan and endorses the letters sent by the County Administrator to Great West and the Michigan Association of Counties

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners hereby transfers those existing accounts held by MAC and formerly managed by Great West, to Great West as a separate County 457 Deferred Compensation vendor

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners hereby appoints Wells Fargo Bank West, N.A. as Custodian and deemed trustee of the Great West Plan

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that employees of Washtenaw County shall be allowed to make individual plan to plan transfers to the MAC Plan once the new investment options are in place. New employees will be allowed to choose to participate in any eligible deferred compensation plan sponsored by the County.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners hereby directs the County Administrator to execute any and all documentation which is necessary to establish the Great West Plan, including but not limited to the adoption agreement establishing the plan, the custodial account agreement appointing Wells Fargo Bank West, N.A. as Custodian, the Agreement for Recordkeeping and Communication Services with Great-West Life & Annuity Insurance Company, and to otherwise implement and effectuate the Plan.

| COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Armentrout | X | | | Irwin | X | | | Prater | X | | |
| Bergman | X | | | Kern | X | | | Shaw | X | | |
| Craiger | X | | | Kestenbaum | X | | | Sizemore | X | | |
| DeLong | X | | | Montague | | | X | Solowczuk | X | | |
| Gunn | X | | | Peterson | X | | | Yekulis | X | | |

**CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY** **ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS** **14** **0** **1**

---

**STATE OF MICHIGAN )**
**COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)** SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on January 17, 2001, as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

**PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register**

BY:_____

Deputy Clerk

Res . No. 01-0023

COUNTY OF WASHTENAW
BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

At a regular meeting of the Board of Commissioners of the County of Washtenaw held in Ann Arbor, Michigan, on January 17, 2001 at 6:45 p.m. Eastern Standard Time, there were:

PRESENT: Comms. Armentrout, Bergman, Craiger, DeLong, Gunn, Irwin, Kern, Kestenbaum,

Peterson, Prater, Shaw, Sizemore, Solowczuk, Yekulis.

ABSENT: Comm. Montague.

The following preamble and resolution were offered by Comm. Bergman and seconded by Comm. Kern.

RESOLUTION APPROVING THE CONTRACT
WITH THE TOWNSHIP OF UNADILLA AND THE TOWNSHIP
OF LYNDON FOR THE MULTI LAKES SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM PHASE II

WHEREAS, the County of Washtenaw (the "County") by resolution of its Board of Commissioners has approved the establishment of a project currently known as the "Multi Lakes Sanitary Sewer System Phase II" (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, it is necessary for the Washtenaw County Board of Public Works (the "Board of Public Works") to enter into a contract with the Townships of Unadilla and Lyndon (the "Townships") with respect to the Project, which contract is attached as Appendix 1;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF WASHTENAW as follows:

1. The contract attached as Appendix 1 is hereby approved with such changes, additions, or deletions as may be recommended by Corporation Counsel and approved by the Board of Public Works and the execution thereof by the designated officials is authorized.

2. All resolutions, or portions thereof, insofar as they may be in conflict with the foregoing, are hereby rescinded.

A vote on the foregoing resolution was taken and was as follows:

YES: Comms. Armentrout, Bergman, Craiger, DeLong, Gunn, Irwin, Kern, Kestenbaum,

Peterson, Prater, Shaw, Sizemore, Solowczuk, Yekulis.

NO: None.

ABSTAIN: None.

ABSENT: Comm. Montague.

**CERTIFICATION**

The undersigned, being the duly qualified and acting Clerk of the County of Washtenaw, hereby certifies that (1) the foregoing is a true and complete copy of a resolution duly adopted by the Board of Commissioners of the County at a regular meeting held on January 17, 2001 at which meeting a quorum was present and remained throughout, (2) that an original thereof is on file in the records of the County, (3) the meeting was conducted, and public notice thereof was given, pursuant to and in full compliance with the Open Meetings Act (Act No. 267, Public Acts of Michigan, 1976, as amended), and (4) minutes of such meeting were kept and will be or have been made available as required thereby.

_____
Peggy M. Haines
Washtenaw County Clerk/Register
By: Deputy Clerk

Res. No. 01-0024

SEAL

las.r4-was93

**APPENDIX 1**

**CONTRACT BETWEEN**

**THE COUNTY OF WASHTENAW**

**THE TOWNSHIP OF LYNDON**

**and**

**THE TOWNSHIP OF UNADILLA**

**MULTI-LAKES SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM PHASE II**

**WASHTENAW COUNTY, MICHIGAN**

**DATED AS OF NOVEMBER 1, 2000**

CONTRACT

THIS CONTRACT (the "Contract") is made as of November 1, 2000, by and between the COUNTY OF WASHTENAW, a Michigan county (the "County"), the TOWNSHIP OF UNADILLA, a Township located in the County of Livingston, and the TOWNSHIP OF LYNDON, a Township located in the County of Washtenaw (the "Townships").

W I T N E S E T H:

WHEREAS, the County has approved the construction of the Multi-Lakes Sanitary Sewer System Phase II (the "Project") pursuant to Act No. 185, Public Acts of Michigan, 1957, as amended ("Act 185"), to provide for improvements to the sanitary sewer system serving the Townships; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works of the County (the "DPW") under the control and direction of the Board of Public Works (the "Board") has been established to administer the powers conferred upon the County by Act 185; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary for reasons of public health and welfare that the Project be undertaken which includes improving the sanitary sewer system and appurtenances described in Appendix A all of which is hereafter referred to as the "Project"; and

WHEREAS, by the terms of Act 185, the County and the Townships are authorized to enter in to a contract for the acquisition, construction and financing of the Project and for the payment of all or a part of the cost of the Project by the Townships with interest, over a period not exceeding 25 years, and the County is then authorized, pursuant to a resolution of its Board of Commissioners and approval by the Board of Public Works, to issue its bonds to be secured by the full faith and credit of the Townships and the full faith and credit of the County; and

WHEREAS, the parties have concluded that the Project, which is urgently needed to provide the means of treating sewage originating within the Townships and thus to promote the health and welfare of the residents of the Townships, can be most economically and efficiently provided and financed by the County acting through the DPW pursuant to the provisions of Act 185; and

WHEREAS, Jones & Henry Engineers, Ltd. (the "Consulting Engineers") have prepared preliminary plans for the Project and also estimates of the cost and period of usefulness of the Project (such preliminary plans as time to time revised or the final plans, as the context may dictate, are referred to in this Contract as the "Plans"), all of which have been submitted to the Board of Commissioners of the County and the governing body of the Townships and placed on file with the Board of Commissioners in the office of the DPW; and

WHEREAS, in order to provide for the acquisition and construction of the Project by the County and its financing through the issuance of County Bonds, and for other related matters, it is necessary for the parties to enter into this Contract; and

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and the covenants of each other, the County and the Townships agree as follows:

1. Approval of Project. The County and the Townships approve and agree to the acquisition, construction and financing of the Project under and pursuant to Act 185. The parties approve the designation of "Multi-Lakes Sanitary Sewer System Phase II" as the name of the Project. The Townships, by way of compliance with Section 29, Article VII, Michigan Constitution of 1963, consent and agree to the establishment and location of the Project and any extension, improvement or enlargement of it within its corporate boundaries in accordance with the terms of this Contract or on land presently owned by and located in the Townships, and to the use by the County of the streets, highways, alleys, lands, rights-of-way or other public places in the Townships for the purpose and facilities of the Project and any improvements, enlargement or extension of it. The Townships further agree that, in order to evidence and effectuate this agreement and consent, they will obtain or assist the County in obtaining all easements, licenses, rights-of-

way and/or title to property necessary for completion of the Project and will execute and deliver to the County such easements, rights-of-way, licenses, permits or consents as may be requested by the County. The Cost of obtaining necessary easements, licenses, rights-of-way and/or title to property in connection with the Project shall be Costs of the Project payable from the proceeds of the Bonds as set forth below. The Townships further agree that they will comply with all applicable State and Federal regulations related to this Project.

2. Project Description. The Project shall consist of the public improvements described and specified in Appendix A and as are more particularly set forth in the Plans, which Plans are on file with the DPW and are hereby approved and adopted. The Project shall be acquired and constructed substantially in accordance with the Plans and in accordance with final plans and specifications prepared and submitted by Jones & Henry Engineers, Ltd., but variations from the Plans which do not materially change the location, capacity or overall design of the Project, and which do not require an increase in the total estimated Cost of the Project, may be permitted on the authority of the DPW. Other variations or changes may be made if approved by the DPW and by resolution of the governing body of the Townships and if provisions required by paragraph 5 below are made for payment or financing of any resulting increase in the total estimated cost. The estimates of the Cost of the Project, $5,500,000, and the period of usefulness of the Project, in excess of 25 years, are likewise approved and adopted.

3. Issuance of Bonds. The County and the DPW shall take or cause to be taken all actions required or necessary, in accordance with Act 185, to procure the issuance and sale of bonds by the County (the "Bonds"), in one or more series, in whatever aggregate principal amount is necessary to defray the Cost of the Project. The Bonds shall be issued in anticipation of, and be payable from, the payments to be made by the Townships to the County as provided in this Contract, shall be secured by the full faith and credit and limited taxing power of the Townships and the County, and shall be payable in annual maturities, the last of which shall be not more than 25 years from the date thereof.

4. Construction. The construction of the Project will be governed by the contracts to be awarded by the DPW after recommendation by Jones & Henry Engineers, Ltd..

5. Increase in Bonds. If, after the sale of the Bonds, it becomes necessary to increase the estimated Cost of the Project for any reason, or if the actual Cost of the Project shall exceed the estimated Cost, whether as the result of variations or changes made in the approved Plans or otherwise, then (without the execution of any further contracts or amendment of this Contract) additional bonds, after approval of an authorizing resolution by the Board of Public Works and upon the adoption of such authorizing resolution by the Board of Commissioners, shall be issued to defray such increased or excess Cost to the extent that funds for the same are not available from other sources; provided, however, that no such increase or excess shall be approved and no such additional bonds shall be authorized to be issued, nor shall the County enter into any contract for the acquisition or construction of the Project or any part thereof or incur any obligation for or pay any item of cost therefor, where the effect thereof would be to cause the total Cost of the Project to exceed by more than 5% the total estimated cost as hereinbefore approved, unless the governing body of the Townships shall have previously adopted a resolution approving such increase or excess and agreeing that the same (or such part thereof as is not available from other sources) shall be defrayed by the issuance of additional bonds in anticipation of increased or additional payments agreed to be made by the Townships to the County in the manner hereinafter provided; provided, further, that the adoption of such approving resolution by the governing body of the Townships shall not be required prior to or as a condition precedent to the issuance of additional bonds by the County, if the County has previously issued or contracted to sell bonds to pay all or part of the Cost of the Project, and the issuance of the additional bonds is necessary (as determined by the County) to pay such increased, additional or excess costs as are essential to completion of the Project according to the plans as last approved prior to the time when the previous Bonds were issued or contracted to be sold.

6a. Payments by Townships. The Cost of the Project will be defrayed by the issuance of Bonds as provided in paragraphs 3 and 5 above. The Townships covenant and agree to pay the principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the expenses and charges (including the DPW's administrative expenses) which are payable on account of the Bonds (such fees, expenses and charges being called "Bond Service Charges"). Payments shall be made to the County in semiannual installments which shall be due and

payable 10 days prior to the day specified in the Bonds as the interest payment dates with respect to the Bonds, in amounts at least sufficient to pay all principal, premium, and/or interest falling due on such interest payment dates and all Bond Service Charges then due and payable.

The Townships shall make their payments in proportion to their share of the overall project as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| The Township of Lyndon | 50.5% |
| The Township of Unadilla | 49.5% |

The DPW shall, within 30 days after delivery of the Bonds, supply the County and the Townships with a complete schedule of the payments of principal of and interest on the Bonds, and the DPW shall also, at least 30 days before each payment is due to be made by the Townships, advise the Treasurer of the Townships of the amount payable to the County on such date. If the Townships fail to make any payment to the County when due, the same shall be subject to a penalty of 1% of the amount due for each month or fraction of a month that such amount remains unpaid after it is due. Failure of the DPW to furnish the schedule or give notice as above required shall not excuse the Townships from the obligation to make payment when due. Payments shall be made by the Townships when due whether or not the Project has then been completed or placed in operation. The foregoing obligations shall apply to all Bonds issued by the County to defray the Cost of the Project.

In the event the County is required to pay any amounts to the United States Department of Treasury (the "Treasury") because of regulations issued by the Treasury or the Internal Revenue Service, the Townships shall reimburse the County for such amounts.

6b. Townships Special Assessments. The Townships have confirmed special assessments in the total amounts set forth on Appendix C, which special assessments became due beginning December 1, 2000 and will be collected on December 1, 2001 through and including December 1, 2019.

7. Advance Payments. If the Townships pay the Cost of the Project or any portion of it prior to the issuance of the Bonds, then the obligations of the Townships shall be adjusted accordingly. The Townships may pay in advance of maturity all or any part of a semiannual installment due to the County on the Bonds by surrendering to the County bonds issued hereunder of a like principal amount maturing in the same calendar year or by paying cash to the County and requesting the County to purchase any Bonds or call any Bonds in accordance with their terms.

8. Use of Excess Bond Proceeds. The proceeds of the sale of the Bonds shall be used solely and only to pay the Cost of the Project. After completion of the Project and payment of all Project Costs, any surplus remaining for the sale of the Bonds shall, at the option of the Townships, be (a) used, with the review and approval of the DPW (which review and approval shall be in accordance with the same planning standards employed to review the Project originally, subject to all applicable laws, regulations and governmental standards then in effect), to extend, enlarge or improve the Project or any other project which has been constructed and/or maintained by the County for the benefit of the Townships, (b) retained by the DPW for the payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds or (c) used to purchase the Bonds on the open market. In the event such surplus is used for principal and interest or used to purchase Bonds, the contract obligation of the Townships with respect to such Bonds or maturities shall be reduced accordingly.

9. Full Faith and Credit. The Townships, pursuant to the authorization of Section 12(2) of Act 185, hereby pledge its full faith and credit for the prompt and timely payment of its obligations expressed in this Contract. Each year the Townships shall levy a tax in an amount which, taking into consideration estimated delinquencies in tax collections, will be sufficient to pay its obligations under this Contract coming due before the time of the following year's tax collections, provided, however, that if at the time of making its annual tax levy, the Townships shall have on hand in cash other funds or reasonably expects to receive other funds (from special assessments (including received or anticipated prepayments thereof), user charges, connection fees or otherwise) which have been or will be set aside and pledged or are otherwise available for the payment of such contractual obligations falling due prior to the time of the next collection, then the annual tax levy may be reduced by such amount. In the event amounts pledged to or otherwise

earmarked for payment of the Bonds are received in amounts so great as to jeopardize the status of the County's Bond Payment Fund as a bona fide debt service fund for purposes of federal tax regulations, the County shall, within 30 days prior to the next scheduled payment of principal on the Bonds, devote such excess sum toward the purchase of Bonds on the open market. Any taxes levied by the Townships shall be subject to the applicable statutory and constitutional tax limitations.

10. Failure to Pay; Remedies. In the event that the Townships fail for any reason to pay to the DPW the amounts required to be paid under this Contract when due the County shall have the rights as by provided in and governed by Section 17 of Act 185. In addition to the foregoing, the County shall have all other rights and remedies provided by law to enforce the obligations of the Townships to make payments to the County under this Contract.

11. Change in Townships. No change in the jurisdiction over territory in the Townships shall in any manner impair the obligations of this Contract. In the event all or any part of the territory of the Townships is incorporated as a new city or is annexed to or becomes a part of the territory of another municipality, the municipality into which such territory is incorporated or to which such territory is annexed shall assume the proper proportionate share of the contractual obligations and right to capacity in the Project of the Townships from which such territory is taken in accordance with law.

12. a. Additions to or Extensions of Project. The County shall not be obligated to acquire or construct any facilities other than those described in paragraph 2 above. The responsibility for providing such additional facilities as may be needed shall be that of the Townships who shall have the right to cause to be constructed and maintained, either directly or through the County, such necessary additional facilities. No extensions of the Project shall be made without DPW approval, which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld or conditioned.

b. Refunding and Advance Refunding. The Bonds may be refunded in the event it appears advantageous in the opinion of the County's Financial Consultant to issue bonds to refund any series of bonds issued by the County pursuant to this Contract (including advance refunding bonds) and the Townships consents to such refunding.

13. Ownership of Project.

(a) The County hereby leases the Project to the Townships and the Townships hereby lease the Project from the County for operation, maintenance and administration for a term commencing upon the completion of the Project or any substantial part of it and ending upon the expiration of this Contract. The Townships shall be responsible for the operation, maintenance and administration of the Project. The County shall have the right to take over operation of the Project and serve individual customers in the event of any default under this Contract by the Townships as provided in paragraph 13(c) below.

(b) So long as not in default hereunder, the Townships shall have the exclusive right and option to establish, maintain, revise and collect rates and charges for sanitary sewer system service to its inhabitants or other persons using any facilities of the Project, and the Townships shall have the exclusive right to determine how the funds derived from the collection of such rates and charges shall be expended. The Townships shall operate and maintain the Project in compliance with all applicable rules and regulations of the Environmental Protection Agency and other authorities.

(c) (i) In the event of any default under this Contract by the Townships, after thirty (30) days written notice to the Townships, the County shall have the right to set a date (the “Transfer Date”) on and after which the County will have the right to take over operation of the entire sanitary sewer system which uses any of (1) the facilities acquired by the Project (the “Sanitary Sewer System”) within the Townships.

(ii) The Transfer Date set by the County shall be on the first of any month not more than ten months after the date of the notice and not less than sixty days after the notice provided for in subparagraph 13(c) (i) above.

(iii) Unless the default is cured 15 days before the Transfer Date, the County shall have the right, but not the duty, to take over operation of the sanitary sewer system on the Transfer Date, to establish, maintain, revise and collect rates and charges for sanitary sewer system service to all inhabitants connected to or to be connected to the sanitary sewer system in the Townships.

(d) The parties agree that the Project shall be acquired, constructed, operated, maintained and administered for the sole use and benefit of the Townships and its various sanitary sewer system users, and the Townships shall pay all costs in connection with the same, the County remaining the titular owner of the Project only to comply with the provisions of Act 185. So long as no default exists hereunder, the Townships shall have the exclusive right and discretion, subject only to review by the County on the basis of sound public utility operational procedures and the other terms of this Contract, to determine policy for the use, expansion, improvement, operation, maintenance and administration of the

14. Costs and Expenses. The parties agree that the costs and expenses of any lawsuits arising directly or indirectly out of this Contract or the construction or financing of the Project, to the extent that such costs and expenses are chargeable against the County or the DPW, shall be deemed to constitute a part of the Cost of the Project and shall be paid by the Townships in the same manner as provided in this Contract with respect to other Costs of the Project. In the event of such litigation, the DPW shall consult with the Townships and shall retain legal counsel agreeable to the County and the Townships to represent the County. If the County and the Townships cannot agree as to such representation within a reasonable time, the DPW shall exercise its discretion as to the retention of such counsel.

15. Townships Indemnification. The parties hereto hereby expressly agree that the County shall not be liable for and the Townships shall pay, indemnify and save the County harmless of, from and against all liability of any nature whatever regardless of the nature in which such liability may arise, for any and all claims, actions, demands, expenses, damages, and losses of every conceivable kind, whatsoever (including, but not limited to, liability for injuries to or death of persons and damages to or loss of property) asserted by or on behalf of any person, firm, corporation or governmental authority arising out of, resulting from, or in any way connected with the ownership, acquisition, construction, operation, maintenance and repair of the System, this contract, or the issuance, sale and delivery of the bonds herein described. It is the intent of the parties that the County be held harmless by the Townships from liability for such claims, actions, demands, expenses, damages, and losses, however caused or however arising including, but not limited to, to the extent not prohibited by law, such claims, actions, demands, expenses, damages, and losses even though caused, occasioned or contributed to by the negligence, sole or concurrent, of the County or by negligence for which the County may be held liable. In any action or proceeding brought about by reason of any such claim or demand, the Townships will also pay, indemnify and save the County harmless from and against, all costs, reasonable attorneys' fees, and disbursements of any kind or nature incidental to or incurred in said defense, and will likewise pay all sums required to be paid by reason of said claims, demands or any of them, in the event it is determined that there is any liability on the part of the County. Upon the entry of any final judgment by a court of competent jurisdiction or a final award by an arbitration panel against the County on any claim, action, demand, expense, damage or loss contemplated by this Section and notwithstanding that the County has not paid the same, the Townships shall be obligated to pay to the County upon written demand therefor, the amount thereof not more than sixty (60) days after such demand is made. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing contained in this Section 15 shall be construed to indemnify or release the County against or from any liability which it would otherwise have arising from the wrongful or negligent actions or failure to act on the part of the County's employees, agents or representatives with respect to matters not related to the ownership, acquisition, construction, operation, maintenance or repair of the System, this contract or the issuance, sale or delivery of the bonds herein described.

16. DPW Authority. All powers, duties and functions vested by this Contract in the County shall be exercised and performed by the DPW, for and on behalf of the County, unless otherwise provided by law or in this Contract.

17. Invalidity of Provisions. In the event that any one or more of the provisions of this Contract shall for any reason be held to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable in any respect, such invalidity, illegality or

unenforceability shall not affect any other provisions of this Contract, but this Contract shall be construed as if such invalid, illegal or unenforceable provisions had never been contained herein.

18. No Impairment of Bondholder's Interests. The County and the Townships each recognize and declare that the holders from time to time of the Bonds issued by the County under the provisions of Act 185, and secured by the full faith and credit limited tax pledge of the Townships to the payment of the principal of and interest on the Bonds as set forth in this Contract, will have contractual rights in this Contract and it is therefore covenanted and agreed by each of the parties that so long as any of the Bonds remain outstanding and unpaid, the provisions of this Contract shall not be subject to any alteration of or revision which would in any manner adversely affect either the security of the Bonds or the prompt payment of principal of or interest on the Bonds. The right to make changes in this Contract, by amendment, supplemental contract or otherwise, is nevertheless reserved insofar as the same do not have such adverse affect. The Townships and the DPW further covenant and agree that they will each comply with their respective duties and obligations under the terms of this Contract at the times and in the manner set forth in this Contract, and will not suffer to be done any act which would in any way impair the Bonds, the security for them, or the prompt payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds.

19. County Treasurer. It is understood that the County Treasurer may act as the Treasurer of the DPW and that the County Treasurer will have the responsibility to invest all funds coming into the County's possession in connection with the Project. The Treasurer is accordingly authorized to invest any such surplus funds in any obligations permitted by law and credit investment earnings for the benefit of the Townships to the fund earning the same.

20. Undertaking to Provide Continuing Disclosure. The County and the Townships hereby covenant and agree, for the benefit of the beneficial owners of the Bonds, to enter into a written undertaking (the "Undertaking") required by SEC Rule 15c2-12 promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Rule") to provide continuing disclosure of certain financial information and operating data and timely notices of the occurrence of certain events in accordance with the Rule. The undertaking shall be substantially in the form attached hereto as Appendix B. This Undertaking shall be enforceable by the beneficial owners of the Bonds or by the Purchaser(s) on behalf of such beneficial owners (provided that the right of the Purchasers and beneficial owners to enforce the provisions of this Undertaking shall be limited to a right to obtain specific enforcement of the obligations hereunder and any failure by the County and the Townships to comply with the provision of this Undertaking shall not be an event of default with respect to the Bonds).

The County Treasurer or Clerk, and the Townships Treasurer or Clerk, or other officer of the County or Townships charged with the responsibility for issuing the Bonds, shall provide a Continuing Disclosure Certificate for inclusion in the transcript of proceedings, setting forth the details and terms of the County and Townships' Undertaking.

21. Miscellaneous.

a. Effective Date. This Contract shall become effective after approval by the legislative bodies of the Townships and by the Board of Commissioners of the County, and execution by the authorized officials of the parties. It shall terminate upon the earlier payment in full of all principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Bonds, at which time the full right, title and ownership to the Project shall revert to the Townships. The County shall take any and all necessary actions to fully transfer ownership of the Project to the Townships, at no cost to the Townships, upon the termination of this Contract.

b. Counterparts. This Contract may be executed in several counterparts each of which shall be deemed one and the same agreement. It shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the parties to it and their respective successors and assigns.

c. Contingency. This Contract is contingent upon the County issuing its Bonds to defray the cost of acquiring and constructing the Project, and nothing contained in this Contract shall require the County to acquire or construct the Project if it is unable, after use of its best efforts, to sell the Bonds to finance the same.

d. Governing Law. This Contract shall be interpreted under the laws of the State of Michigan.

e. Authority. Each party warrants and represents that the execution and performance of this Contract have been duly authorized by all necessary action and do not contravene any policy, resolution or controlling rule.

f. Entire Agreement. This Agreement sets forth the entire agreement between the County and the Townships with respect to the subject matter of this Contract.

g. Captions and Bylines. The captions and bylines used in this Contract are for the convenience of reference only and in no way define, limit or describe the scope of intent of any provision of this Agreement.

h. Use of the Singular. The use in this Contract of the singular shall be deemed to be and include the plural (and vice versa) where applicable.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused this Contract to be executed and delivered, by their respective duly authorized officers, all as of the day and year first above written.

TOWNSHIP OF UNADILLA

By:_____
Its: Supervisor

By:_____
Its: Clerk

COUNTY OF WASHTENAW

By Its Board of Public Works

By:_____
Its: Chairman

By:_____
Its: Secretary

TOWNSHIP OF LYNDON

By:_____
Its: Supervisor

By:_____
Its: Clerk

las.r4-was93

## APPENDIX A

### PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project will consist of construction of new sanitary sewers, pumping stations and force mains to serve portions of the properties surrounding Bruin Lake, Joslin Lake, and Half-Moon Lake located in Lyndon Township in Washtenaw County and Patterson Lake located in Unadilla Township in Livingston County. The new sewers will connect to the existing Multi-Lakes sewer system. Treatment of the sewage will be accomplished at the Multi-Lakes wastewater treatment facility, which has sufficient capacity to handle the flows generated by the Project. This Project will also allow for the connection of sanitary sewers from the Village of Gregory located in Unadilla Township, Livingston County.

### TOTAL PROJECT ESTIMATE OF COSTS

The estimated cost for this project is as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| Engineering | $ 385,000 |
| Construction | $3,238,000 |
| Connection Fee | $1,336,000 |
| Miscellaneous | $ 170,000 |
| Contingency | $ 371,000 |
| **TOTAL COST** | $5,500,000 |

**APPENDIX B**

**CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE**

This Continuing Disclosure Certificate (the "Disclosure Certificate") is executed and delivered by the _____ (the "Issuer") [_____ (the "Obligated Municipality")] in connection with the issuance of $_____ [Name of Issue] (the "Securities"). The Securities are being issued pursuant to a _____ Resolution adopted by the Governing Body of the Issuer on _____, ____; a _____ Resolution adopted by the governing body of the Issuer [Obligated Municipality]; and an Award Resolution adopted by the Governing Body of the Issuer on _____, _____ (collectively the "Resolution") and delivered to _____ (the "Purchaser") on the date hereof. Pursuant to the Resolution, the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] has covenanted and agreed to provide continuing disclosure of certain financial information and operating data and timely notices of the occurrence of certain events. In addition, the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] hereby specifically covenants and agrees as follows:

Section 1. Purpose of the Disclosure Certificate. This Disclosure Certificate is being executed and delivered by the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] for the benefit of the holders of the Securities in order to assist the Participating Underwriters within the meaning of the Rule (defined herein) in complying with SEC Rule 15c2-12(b)(5). This Disclosure Certificate constitutes the written Undertaking required by the Rule.

Section 2. Definitions. In addition to the defined terms set forth in the Resolution, which apply to any capitalized term used in this Disclosure Certificate unless otherwise defined in this Section, the following capitalized terms shall have the following meanings:

"Annual Report" means any annual report provided by the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] pursuant to, and as described in, Sections 3 and 4 of this Disclosure Certificate.

"Audited Financial Statements" means the Issuer's [Obligated Municipality's] annual financial statements, which are currently prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles [GAAP for governmental units as prescribed by GASB] and which the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] intends to continue to prepare in substantially the same form.

"Fiscal Year" means the fiscal year of the Issuer [Obligated Municipality].

"Final Official Statement" means the final official statement dated _____, _____ delivered in connection with the Securities, which is available from the MSRB.

"Governing Body" means the _____ of the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] or such other body as may hereafter be the chief legislative body of the Issuer.

"Issuer" means _____ which is the obligated person with respect to the Securities.

["Obligated Municipality" means _____ which is an obligated person with respect to the Securities.]

"Issuer Contact" means the [Clerk, or _____] of the Issuer who can be contacted at _____.

["Obligated Municipality Contact" means the [Clerk, or _____] of the Obligated Municipality who can be contacted at _____.]

"Material Event" means any of the events listed in Section 5(a) of this Disclosure Certificate.

"MSRB" means the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board located at 1150 18th Street, N.W., Suite 400, Washington, D.C. 20036.

"NRMSIR" means any nationally recognized municipal securities information repository as recognized from time to time by the SEC for purposes of the Rule.

"Participating Underwriter" means any of the original underwriter(s) of the Securities (including the Purchaser) required to comply with the Rule in connection with the offering of the Securities.

"Repository" means each NRMSIR and each SID, if any.

"Rule" means SEC Rule 15c2-12(b)(5) promulgated by the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as the same may be amended from time to time.

"SEC" means the Securities and Exchange Commission.

"SID" means any public or private repository or entity designated by the State of Michigan as a state information depository for the purpose of the Rule.

Section 3. Provision of Annual Financial Information and Audited Financial Statements.

(a) The Issuer [Obligated Municipality] shall, not later than two hundred seventy (270) days after the end of the Fiscal Year, commencing with the year that ends _____, _____, provide each Repository with annual financial information which is consistent with the requirements of Section 4 of this Disclosure Certificate. The annual financial information may be submitted as a single document or as separate documents comprising a package, and may cross-reference other information as provided in Section 4 of this Disclosure Certificate; provided that the Audited Financial Statements of the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] may be submitted separately from the balance of the annual financial information; and provided further that unaudited financial statements will be included with the other financial information, if audited statements have not already been furnished.

(b) If the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] is unable or fails to provide to the Repositories an Annual Report by the date required in subsection (a), the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] shall send a notice of that fact in a timely manner to the NRMSIRs, the MSRB and any SID.

(c) The Issuer [Obligated Municipality] shall determine each year prior to the date for providing the Annual Report the name and address of each NRMSIR and each SID, if any.

Section 4. Content of Annual Reports. The Issuer's [Obligated Municipality's] Annual Report shall contain or incorporate by reference the following:

Updates of the "State Equalized Valuation", “Taxable Valuation”, “County and Township Tax Rates and Levies", "Tax Collection Record", "General Fund Revenues and Expenditures", and "Debt Statement (Direct and Overlapping Debt)" contained in the Final Official Statement and the current Audited Financial Statements.

Any or all of the items listed above may be incorporated by reference from other documents, including official statements of debt issues of the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] or related public entities, which have been submitted to each of the Repositories or the SEC. If the document incorporated by reference is a final official statement, it must be available from the MSRB. The Issuer [Obligated Municipality] shall clearly identify each such other document so incorporated by reference.

Section 5. Reporting of Material Events.

(a) This Section 5 shall govern the giving of notices of the occurrence of any of the following events in a timely manner if material with respect to the Securities:

1. Principal and interest payment delinquencies;
2. Non-payment related defaults;
3. Unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties;
4. Unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties;
5. Substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform;
6. Adverse tax opinions or events affecting the tax-exempt status of the Securities;
7. Modification to rights of holders of the Securities;
8. Securities calls;
9. Defeasances;
10. Release, substitution or sale of property securing repayment of the Securities; and
11. Rating changes.

(b) Whenever a Material Event occurs, the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] shall promptly file a notice of such occurrence with either all NRMSIRs or with the MSRB and with any SID. Notwithstanding the foregoing, notice of Material Events described in subsections (a) (8) and (9) need not be given under this subsection any earlier than the notice (if any) of the underlying event is required to be given to holders of affected Securities pursuant to the Resolution.

(c) Unless otherwise required by law and subject to technical and economic feasibility, the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] shall employ such methods of information transmission as shall be requested or recommended by the designated recipients of the Issuer's [Obligated Municipality's] information.

Section 6. Termination of Reporting Obligation. The Issuer's [Obligated Municipality's] obligations under the Resolution and this Disclosure Certificate shall terminate upon the defeasance, prior redemption or payment in full of all the Securities.

Section 7. Issuer [Obligated Municipality] Contact; Agent. Information may be obtained from the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] Contact. Additionally, the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] may, from time to time, appoint or engage a dissemination agent to assist it in carrying out its obligations under the Resolution and this Disclosure Certificate, and may discharge any such agent, with or without appointing a successor dissemination agent. The initial dissemination agent shall be the Municipal Advisory Council of Michigan, 1445 First National Building, Detroit, Michigan 48226.

Section 8. Amendment; Waiver. Notwithstanding any other provision of the Resolution or this Disclosure Certificate, as provided in this Section 8, and any provision of this Disclosure Certificate may be waived, if such amendment or waiver is supported by an opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel to the effect that such amendment or waiver would not, if and of itself, cause the undertakings to violate the Rule. The provisions of this Disclosure Certificate constituting the Undertaking or any provision hereof, shall be null and void in the event that the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] delivers to each then existing NRMSIR and the SID, if any, an opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel to the effect that those portions of the Rule which require this Disclosure Certificate are invalid, have been repealed retroactively or otherwise do not apply to the Securities. The provisions of this Disclosure Certificate constituting the Undertaking may be amended without the consent of the holders of the Securities, but only upon the delivery by the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] to each then existing NRMSIR and the SID, if any, of the proposed amendment and an opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel to the effect that such amendment, and giving effect thereto, will not adversely affect the compliance of this Disclosure Certificate and by the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] with the Rule. Any such amendment may only be made in connection with a change in circumstances that arises from a change in legal requirements, change in law, or change in the identity, nature, or status of the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] for other obligated person, as defined in the Rule), or type of business conducted. No such amendment may be made unless the Undertaking, as amended,

would have complied with the requirements of the Rule at the time of the primary offering of the Securities, after taking into account any amendments or interpretations of the Rule, as well as any change in circumstances. No such amendment shall be made unless it does not materially impair the interests of holders of the Securities, as determined by nationally recognized bond counsel. The annual financial information containing any amended operating data or amended financial information will explain, in narrative form, the reasons for the amendment and the impact of the change in the type of operating data or financial information being provided.

If an amendment is made to the Undertaking specifying the accounting principles to be followed in preparing financial statements, the annual financial information for the year in which the change is made will present a comparison between the financial statements or information prepared on the basis of the new account principles and those prepared on the basis of the former accounting principles. The comparison will include a qualitative discussion of the differences in the accounting principles and the impact of the change in the account principles on the presentation of the financial information , in order to provide information to investors to enable them to evaluate the ability of the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] or any obligated person to meet its obligations. To the extent reasonably feasible, the comparison will also be quantitative. A notice of the change in the accounting principles will be sent to each then existing NRMSIR or the MSRB, and to the SID, if any.

Section 9. Additional Information. Nothing in this Disclosure Certificate shall be deemed to prevent the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] from disseminating any other information, using the means of dissemination set forth in this Disclosure Certificate or any other means of communication, or including any other information in any Annual Report or notice of occurrence of a Material Event, in addition to that which is required by this Disclosure Certificate. If the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] chooses to include any information in any Annual Report or notice of occurrence of a Material Event in addition to that which is specifically required by this Disclosure Certificate, the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] shall have no obligation under this Disclosure Certificate to update such information or include it in any future Annual Report or notice of occurrence of a Material Event.

Section 10. Default. In the event of a failure of the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] to comply with any provision of this Disclosure Certificate any holder of the Securities may take such actions as may be necessary and appropriate, including seeking mandate or specific performance by court order, to cause the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] to comply with its obligations under the Resolution and this Disclosure Certificate. A default under this Disclosure Certificate shall not be deemed an event of default with respect to the Securities and the sole remedy under this Disclosure Certificate in the event of any failure of the Issuer [Obligated Municipality] to comply with this Disclosure Certificate shall be an action to compel performance.

Section 11. Beneficiaries. This Disclosure Certificate shall inure solely to the benefit of the Issuer [Obligated Municipality], the Participating Underwriters and holders from time to time of the Securities, and shall crate no rights in any other person or entity.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have executed this Certificate in my official capacity effective the _____ day of _____, ____.

_____
[Executive Officer]

_____
Clerk/Secretary

[SEAL]
las.r4-was93

**APPENDIX C**

SCHEDULE OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS

| Township | Date Roll to be Confirmed | Due Date of Installments | Amount of Roll | 12/1/00 Installment |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Unadilla | 10/12/00 | December 1 | $2,592,215.00 | $129,610.75 |
| Lyndon | 10/10/00 | December 1 | $2,645,330.00 | $132,266.50 |
| | | | $5,237,545.00 | $261,877.25 |

**TOWNSHIP OF UNADILLA**

At a regular meeting of the Township Board of the Township of Unadilla, County of Livingston, held in the Township Hall at 18806 Dexter, on _____, 2000, at _:__ _.m. Eastern Standard Time, there were:

PRESENT: _____

_____

ABSENT: _____

The following preamble and resolution were offered by _____ and seconded by _____.

**RESOLUTION APPROVING THE CONTRACT**
**WITH THE COUNTY OF WASHTENAW FOR THE**
**MULTI-LAKES SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM PHASE II**

WHEREAS, the County of Washtenaw by resolution of its Board of Commissioners (the "County") on September 20, 2000 has approved the establishment of a project which included construction of the Multi Lakes Sanitary Sewer System Phase II (the "Project").

WHEREAS, to proceed with this Project it is necessary for the Township of Unadilla in Livingston County and Township of Lyndon in Washtenaw County to enter into a contract (the "Contract") with the County of Washtenaw with respect to that part of the Project which involves the construction of a sanitary sewer system to serve the Township of Lyndon and the Township of Unadilla, which Contract is attached as Appendix 1.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Contract attached as Appendix 1 is hereby approved by the Township Board of the Township of Unadilla for execution by the designated officials.

2. The Township hereby authorizes the DPW, or its Bond Counsel, to notify the Michigan Department of Treasury of the County's intent to issue the bonds described herein, to pay the related fee and to request an order, if applicable, providing an exception for the bonds from prior approval by the Department of Treasury. The County's Bond Counsel is hereby authorized to execute a similar request on behalf of the Township.

3. All resolutions or portions thereof, insofar as they may be in conflict with the foregoing, are hereby rescinded.

A vote on the foregoing resolution was taken and was as follows:

YES: _____

_____

NO: _____

ABSTAIN: _____

**CERTIFICATION**

I, the undersigned Township Clerk of the Township of Unadilla hereby certify that the foregoing resolution is a true and complete copy of a resolution adopted at a regular meeting of the Township Board of the Township of Unadilla held on _____, 2000, the original of which is on file in my office, and that notice of such meeting was given, and the meeting was conducted, pursuant to and in compliance with the Act No. 267, Michigan Public Acts of 1976, as amended.

_____
Unadilla Township Clerk

SEAL

las.r4-was93

**TOWNSHIP OF LYNDON**

At a regular meeting of the Township Board of the Township of Lyndon, County of Washtenaw, held in the Township Hall at 17751 N. Territorial Road, on _____, 2000, at _:__ _.m. Eastern Standard Time, there were:

PRESENT: _____

_____

ABSENT: _____

The following preamble and resolution were offered by _____ and seconded by _____.

**RESOLUTION APPROVING THE CONTRACT**
**WITH THE COUNTY OF WASHTENAW FOR THE**
**MULTI-LAKES SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM PHASE II**

WHEREAS, the County of Washtenaw by resolution of its Board of Commissioners (the "County") on September 20, 2000 has approved the establishment of a project which included construction of the Multi Lakes Sanitary Sewer System Phase II (the "Project").

WHEREAS, to proceed with this Project it is necessary for the Township of Unadilla in Livingston County and the Township of Lyndon in Washtenaw County to enter into a contract (the "Contract") with the County of Washtenaw with respect to that part of the Project which involves the construction of a sanitary sewer system to serve the Township of Lyndon and the Township of Unadilla, which Contract is attached as Appendix 1.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Contract attached as Appendix 1 is hereby approved by the Township Board of the Township of Lyndon for execution by the designated officials.

2. The Township hereby authorizes the DPW, or its Bond Counsel, to notify the Michigan Department of Treasury of the County's intent to issue the bonds described herein, to pay the related fee and to request an order, if applicable, providing an exception for the bonds from prior approval by the Department of Treasury. The County's Bond Counsel is hereby authorized to execute a similar request on behalf of the Township.

3. All resolutions or portions thereof, insofar as they may be in conflict with the foregoing, are hereby rescinded.

A vote on the foregoing resolution was taken and was as follows:

YES: _____

_____

NO: _____

ABSTAIN: _____

**CERTIFICATION**

I, the undersigned Township Clerk of the Township of Lyndon hereby certify that the foregoing resolution is a true and complete copy of a resolution adopted at a regular meeting of the Township Board of the Township of Lyndon held on _____, 2000, the original of which is on file in my office, and that notice of such meeting was given, and the meeting was conducted, pursuant to and in compliance with the Act No. 267, Michigan Public Acts of 1976, as amended.

_____

Lyndon Township Clerk

SEAL

las.r4-was93

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF CLAIMS COMMENCING WITH THE LAST PREVIOUSLY APPROVED CLAIM AND CONTINUING THROUGH THE DATE OF JANUARY 3, 2001

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

January 17, 2001

WHEREAS, as filed with the County Clerk is a true copy of the record of claims commencing with the last previously approved claim and continuing through the date of January 3, 2001, inclusive; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners has been assured by the County Clerk that no claim received is withheld or rejected by the list, shows the name of the claimant, the amount of the claim and the date presented

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby authorizes the payment of claims commencing with the last previously approved claim and continuing through the date of January 3, 2001, inclusive, as listed in the statement of claims as attached hereto and made a part hereof

| COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Armentrout | X | | | Irwin | X | | | Prater | X | | |
| Bergman | X | | | Kern | X | | | Shaw | X | | |
| Craiger | X | | | Kestenbaum | X | | | Sizemore | X | | |
| DeLong | X | | | Montague | | | X | Solowczuk | X | | |
| Gunn | X | | | Peterson | X | | | Yekulis | X | | |
| **CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY** | | | | | **ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS** | | | | **14** | **0** | **1** |

**STATE OF MICHIGAN )**
**COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)** SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on January 17, 2001, as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

**PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register**

BY:_____
Deputy Clerk

Res . No. 01-0025

A RESOLUTION DETERMINING AND ORDERING A CHANGE IN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE VILLAGE OF MANCHESTER

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

January 17, 2001

WHEREAS, the Village of Manchester has petitioned that the boundaries of the Village of Manchester be changed to include certain lands in Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, Corporation Counsel and the County Clerk have examined all documents pertaining to the proposed annexation and find them to be in good order; and

WHEREAS, the Equalization Department has verified the legal description contained in the petition; and

WHEREAS, this matter has been reviewed by the County Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, a hearing before the Board of Commissioners has been scheduled for January 17, 2001 concerning this matter; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Act No. 3 of the Public Acts of 1895 (MCLA 74.6), the County Board of Commissioners has the authority to approve the proposed annexation

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners, pursuant to the authority granted them by MCLA 74.6, hereby orders that the boundaries of the Village of Manchester be changed to include the property described in Exhibit A, attached hereto and made a part hereof

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners directs that this Order shall be entered upon the County records and certified copies shall be transmitted to the Clerk of the Village of Manchester and the Michigan Secretary of State.

| COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Armentrout | X | | | Irwin | X | | | Prater | X | | |
| Bergman | X | | | Kern | X | | | Shaw | X | | |
| Craiger | X | | | Kestenbaum | X | | | Sizemore | X | | |
| DeLong | X | | | Montague | | | X | Solowczuk | X | | |
| Gunn | X | | | Peterson | X | | | Yekulis | X | | |

**CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY** **ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS** **14 0 1**

**STATE OF MICHIGAN )**
**COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)** SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on January 17, 2001, as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

**PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register**

BY:_____

Deputy Clerk

Res . No. 01-0026

Exhibit A

*OLD SID – P 16-011-005-00 MA 11-3-B COM AT NW COR OF SW ¼ OF NW ¼, TH N 89 DEG E 214.5 FT FOR PL OF BEG. THE CONT N 89 DEG E 440.88 FT, TH S 1 DEG 30’ E 230 FT, TH S 89 DEG W 440.88 FT, TH N 1 DEG 30’ W 230 FT TO PL OF BEG. BEING PART OF SW ¼ OF NW ¼ SEC 11 T4S-R3E 2.33 AC.

A RESOLUTION SETTING A PUBLIC HEARING ON FEBRUARY 21, 2001, AT A REGULAR BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS MEETING AT 6:45 P.M. IN THE BOARD ROOM, ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, FOR CONSIDERATION OF ALTERATION OF THE BOUNDARIES OF THE VILLAGE OF MANCHESTER

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

January 17, 2001

WHEREAS, Act. No. 3 of the Public Acts of 1895, as amended, requires that the Board of Commissioners hold a public hearing before ordering annexation of property to a unit of government within the County; and

WHEREAS, the Village of Manchester has requested a hearing date of February 21, 2001, for consideration of the alteration of the Village boundary; and

WHEREAS, this matter has been reviewed by the County Clerk, the County Administrator's Office and the Corporation Counsel

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby establishes a public hearing on February 21, 2001 at a regular Board of Commissioners' meeting at 6:45 p.m. in the Board Room, Administration Building, Ann Arbor, Michigan, for consideration of alteration of the boundaries of the Village of Manchester

| COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A | COMMISSIONER | Y | N | A |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Armentrout | X | | | Irwin | X | | | Prater | X | | |
| Bergman | X | | | Kern | X | | | Shaw | X | | |
| Craiger | X | | | Kestenbaum | X | | | Sizemore | X | | |
| DeLong | X | | | Montague | | | X | Solowczuk | X | | |
| Gunn | X | | | Peterson | X | | | Yekulis | X | | |

**CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY** **ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS** **14 0 1**

**STATE OF MICHIGAN )**
**COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)** SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on January 17, 2001, as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

**PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register**

BY:_____

Deputy Clerk

Res . No. 01-0027