



WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Wednesday, June 7, 2000

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

An adjourned session of the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners was held at the Administration Building, in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on Wednesday, June 7, 2000.

The meeting was called to order at 10:15 p.m. by Chair Montague.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Comms. Acevedo, Armentrout, Bergman, Chockley, Craiger, DeLong, DuRussel, Gunn, Irwin, Kern, Montague, Robinson, Schultz, Shaw, Yekulis.

MEMBERS ABSENT: None.

OTHERS PRESENT: Robert Guenzel, County Administrator; Frank Cambria, Deputy Administrator; Curtis Hedger, Corporation Counsel; Peggy M. Haines, Karen Edmund, Barbara King, Clerk/Register; Peter Ballios, Gordon Burger, Finance; Verna McDaniel, Human Resources; Ron Schebil, Carol Bernard, Mark Ptaszek, Jerry Clayton, Sheriff, Trena Rusher, ETCS; Tom Freeman, Facilities; Mark McFadden, Planning; Lloyd Powell, Public Defender; Alan Israel, Prosecuting Attorney; Judge John N. Kirkendall; Linda Edwards-Brown, Friend of the Court; various citizens, and members of the press.

Pledge of Allegiance

Approval of the Minutes of the Previous Meeting

Comm. Gunn seconded by Comm. Chockley moved that the minutes of the May 17, 2000, Board of Commissioners meeting be approved. Motion carried.

Citizen Participation

Lawrence Kestenbaum introduced himself as a commissioner candidate.

Roger Rail, Scio Township resident, provided a brief update on the Pall-Gelman issue.

Doug Winters, Ypsilanti Township attorney, encouraged the Board to support the Courthouse proposal.

Marsha Ottoman, Dexter Township resident, addressed the Board in opposition to the Road Patrol resolution.

Harry Valentine, president of the Washtenaw County Deputy Sheriff's Association, told the Board there isn't any rush to get this (Road Patrol issue) done.

Mike Hollis, Scio Township resident, said the Board needs to study what the effects of this (Road Patrol proposal) are going to be. He urged Commissioners to vote this down and let the Sheriff work with the townships.

Ron Schebil, Sheriff, urged the Board to have the questions he raised, answered. He asked them not to rush to judgment; that there is no rush to vote without answering these questions.

William McFarland, Superior Township Supervisor, said there is a possibility that everyone will walk away a winner with this (Road Patrol) proposal.

Commissioner Follow-Up to Citizen Participation

Comms. Yekulis, Acevedo, Schultz, Irwin, DuRussel, Montague, and Kern responded.

Communications

Comm. Shaw seconded by Comm. Gunn moved that the communications be received and dealt with as recommended. Motion carried.

R-011 RECEIVED: May 5, 2000. Resolution adopted by the Lake County Board of Commissioners on April 12, 2000, encouraging all counties to contact state legislators and request changes in HB 5228 to ensure that the creation of a central file is "revenue Neutral" to counties and accessible through the Register of Deeds Office. Received and filed.

R-011 RECEIVED: May 8, 2000. Copy of House Bill No. 5708 from Ruth Ann Jamnick, State Representative, re: a bill to amend 1989 PA 292, entitled "Metropolitan Councils Act." Received and filed; copy to Corporation Council.

R-0112 RECEIVED: May 8, 2000. Letter to Colleagues from Nancy E. Gist, Director, U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, dated March 29, 2000, re: Announcing the launch of the new Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) Web site. Received and filed; copy to Department Heads.

R-0113 RECEIVED: Memorandum to County Commission Board Chairs from Robert J. Lacinski President, Michigan Association of Counties, dated May 4, 2000, re: Area Agency on Aging Rule. Received and filed; copies to Administration, Comm. Bergman, and Comm. Yekulis.

R-0114 RECEIVED: May 12, 2000. Resolution adopted by the Michigan Association of County Clerks on April 29, 2000, endorsing Marlene M. Bruns in her campaign for re-election as Lapeer County Clerk. Received and filed.

R-0115 RECEIVED: May 12, 2000. Letter to Christina Montague from Jeffrey H. Tamkin, President, Public Facilities Investment Corporation, dated May 9, 2000, re: soliciting business for Public Facilities which specializes in the privatized development and financing of jails and juvenile detention centers for counties. Received and filed; copy to Facilities and Purchasing.

R-0116 RECEIVED: May 12, 2000. Copy of letter to Russell J. Harding, Department of Environmental Quality, from Christina Montague, Chair, dated May 11, 2000, re: Supporting the recommendation of Scio Township Supervisor Tickle. Received and filed. Copy to each Commissioner.

R-0117 RECEIVED: May 15, 2000. Memorandum to All Friends of the Court and Prosecuting Attorneys from Wallace N. Dutkowski, Director, Office of Child Support, dated May 5, 2000, re: Friend of the Court Letter 2000-009 and Prosecuting Attorney Letter 2000-004. Received and filed; copy to Friend of the Court and Administration.

R-0118 RECEIVED: May 16, 2000. Resolution adopted by the Osceola County Board of Commissioners on May 9, 2000, re: Opposing HB 5228 which would revise the Uniform Commercial Code to require all notices of security interest be filed with the Secretary of State rather than the Register of Deeds Office.

R-0119 RECEIVED: May 16, 2000. Meeting Notice of State Boundary Commission, announcing a meeting to be held Thursday, June 8, 2000, at 1:30 p.m. Received and filed..

R-0120 RECEIVED: May 17, 2000 (by fax). Memorandum to Robert Guernsey from Robert A. Slone, Jr., Ypsilanti City Clerk, dated May 17, 2000, re: Sheriff Road Patrol funding. Received and filed; copy to each Commissioner.

R-0121 RECEIVED: May 17, 2000 (by fax). Letter to P. Christina Montague from Maureen Sloan, Executive Officer, Home Builders Association of Washtenaw County, dated May 17, 2000, re: Police Protection in Washtenaw County. Received and filed; copy to each Commissioner.

R-0122 RECEIVED: May 17, 2000 (by fax). Letter to Ms. Montague from Regina A. Byron, Webster Township resident, dated May 16, 2000, re: Sheriff Road Patrols. Received and filed; copy to each Commissioner.

R-0123 RECEIVED: May 17, 2000. Resolution adopted by the Arenac County Board of Commissioners on May 9, 2000, re: Support of the Community Development Block Grant and Home Investment Partnership Program for fiscal year 2001. Received and filed; copy to Planning and Finance.

R-0124. RECEIVED: May 22, 2000. Letter to Christina Montague, Chairperson, from John P. Hansen, State Representative, 52nd District, dated May 16, 2000, re: Thanks for the letter regarding the Administrative Law Judge's decision in the Pall-Gelman Sciences case. Received and filed.

R-0125 RECEIVED: May 26, 2000. Memorandum to Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners from Ellen Clement, Health Officer and Stan Reedy, M.D., dated May 24, 2000, re: Ypsilanti Adult Bookstore Action. Received and filed; copy to each Commissioner.

Report of the Chair of the Board of Commissioners

00-0116 Comm. Gunn seconded by Comm. Bergman moved that the resolution of appreciation to Michigan State Trooper Wayne McKalpain for 23 years of service to the citizens of Washtenaw County and the State of Michigan, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 15. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 0. Motion carried.

Liaison Reports

Comm. Shaw reported that the Accommodations Ordinance Commission sends their thanks for the resolution on Tourism Week.

Comm. Montague passed out copies of "Career Pathways", a program of the Saline Area Schools and described the program. She congratulated Saline Area Schools for being a leader in this field.

Public Hearing on Public Safety & Court Facilities Plan & Financing

Chair opened the Public Hearing.

With no one wishing to speak, the Chair closed the public hearing.

Reports of Standing Committees

Comm. Gunn seconded by Comm. Bergman moved that the minutes of the Agenda Meeting dated May 10, 2000, the Board Working Session dated May 18, 2000, and the Ways & Means Committee dated May 17, 2000, be approved. Motion carried. (Complete report on file in the County Clerk/Register's Office).

Reports of Special Committees

Comm. Gunn seconded by Comm. Bergman moved that the following reports be received: Brownfield Redevelopment Authority dated April 14, 2000, Community Corrections Advisory Board dated March 18, 2000, Family Independence Agency dated March 22, 2000, Health Code Appeals Board dated April 21, 2000, Head Start Policy Council dated April 11, 2000, Historic District Commission dated May 4, 2000, Michigan Townships Association dated April 27, 2000, Money Purchase Pension Plan dated March 16, 2000, Road Commission dated April 26, 2000, Statutory Drainage Board dated April 20, 2000, VEBA Board of Trustees dated May 4, 2000. Motion carried. (Complete reports on file in the County Clerk/Register's Office).

Other Reports

Comm. Gunn seconded by Comm. Bergman moved that the 1999 Money Purchase Pension Plan Annual Report be received. Motion carried. (Complete report on file in the County Clerk/Register's Office.)

Report of the Treasurer

Comm. Gunn seconded by Comm. Bergman moved that the Cash Position of Washtenaw County as of April 30, 2000, be received. Motion carried. (Complete report on file in the County Clerk/Register's Office.)

Report from the County Administrator

None.

Resolutions

Ways & Means – May 3, 2000

00-0117 Comm. Gunn seconded by Comm. Bergman moved that the resolution authorizing the transfer of positions from the Police Services budget to the Corrections budget to reflect the true expenditures; appropriating \$547,372 to cover a contracts shortfall for 2001; and amending the budget, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 15. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 0. Motion carried.

00-0118 Comm. Gunn seconded by Comm. Bergman moved that the resolution recommending the creation of a new methodology for contracting police services, be adopted. Comm. DuRussel seconded by Comm. Yekulis moved that this resolution be tabled until July 5. Roll call vote on motion to table: YEAS: 5. NAYS: 10 (Acevedo, Armentrout, Bergman, Craiger, Gunn, Irwin, Kern,

Montague, Schultz, Shaw). ABSENT: None. Motion failed. Discussion. Roll call vote on original motion: YEAS: 10. NAYS: 5 (Chockley, DeLong, DuRussel, Robinson, Yekulis). ABSENT: 0. Motion carried.

00-0119 Comm. Gunn seconded by Comm. Bergman moved that the resolution authorizing the County Administrator to sign the contract with Plante & Moran LLP to conduct an Operational Review for the Family Court, Washtenaw County Trial Court in an amount not to exceed \$89,000, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 15. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 0. Motion carried.

00-0120 Comm. Gunn seconded by Comm. Bergman moved that the resolution ratifying the County Administrator's signature on an application to the Michigan Department of Community Health, Office of Crime Victim Services Commission, for Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) for the Rape Crisis Program for the Health Services Department in the amount of \$101,454 annually for the three year period of October 1, 2000, through September 30, 2003, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 15. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 0. Motion carried.

Ways & means – June 7, 2000

00-0121 Comm. Gunn seconded by Comm. Bergman moved that the resolution authorizing the County Administrator to sign a contract with Motorola Inc. to upgrade the Central Electronics Bank (CEB) of the Washtenaw 800 MHz Radio System in the amount of \$556,351, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 15. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 0. Motion carried.

00-0122 Comm. Gunn seconded by Comm. Bergman moved that the resolution authorizing the creation and elimination of positions and amending the budget for the Juvenile Center, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 15. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 0. Motion carried.

Approval of Claims

00-0123 Comm. Gunn seconded by Comm. Bergman moved that the resolution authorizing payment of claims commencing with the last previously approved claim and continuing through the date of May 26, 2000, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 15. NAYS: 0. ABSENT: 0. Motion carried.

<u>Fund</u>	<u>Checks</u>	<u>Utilities/Credit Cards</u>	<u>Exceptions & Pre-Claims</u>	<u>Total</u>
1010 General Fund	\$10,067.98	\$0.00	\$32.97	\$10,100.95
1100 GYPSY MOTH SUPPRESSION	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1110 CHILDRENS SERVICES GRANTS	50.71	0.00	0.00	50.71
1310 OPERATION NIGHT CAP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1320 COMMUNITY POLICING ENHANCEMENT	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1330 MDSS REINTEGRATION SERV.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1340 I-94 SHORE TO SHORE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1400 SHERIFF ROAD PATROL	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1490 PA 302 TRAINING	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1620 PROS ATTNY -CR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1690 SHELTER PLUS CARE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1710 BFI SOLID WASTE COORD.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1760 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1770 ILLEGAL DUMPING PROGRAM	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1870 MICHIGAN GREAT LAKES PROTECTION	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1881 VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1900 3-911 FUND	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2080 PARKS & RECREATION FUND	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2060 LAW LIBRARY	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2110 COUNTY LIBRARY FUND	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2150 FRIEND OF THE COURT	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2370 JTPA ADMINISTRATION	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2410 NO WRONG DOOR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2420 JTPA - FIRST	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2490 EMPLOYMENT SERVICES	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2510 CSA HEADSTART	54.89	0.00	0.00	54.89
2600 CSBG/HUMAN SVCS	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2630 CSA SENIOR NUTRITION	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2650 CSA FOSTER GRANDPARENTS	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2700 CSA DOES WEATHERIZATION	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2804 COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2810 JUVENILE ACCOUNTABILITY	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2930 MENTAL HEALTH	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

2940	SUBSTANCE ABUSE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2960	HEALTH	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2990	CHILD CARE/PROBATE CT	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4010	CAPITAL PROJECTS	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4050	CAPITAL EQUIPMENT	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4520	MULTI-LAKE SEWER SYSTEM	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4860	M.A. E-911 CONSTRUCTION	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5720	INMATE ENTERPRISE	2,177.32	0.00	0.00	2,177.32
5990	CO-OP EXTENSION SERVICE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6280	DEL TAX REVOLVING #28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6310	FACILITIES MGMT - O & M	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6320	FACILITIES MGMT - TRANSP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6330	FACILITIES MGMT - GEN SVC	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6340	GEN. SVCS - REVOLV.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6440	SELF INSURANCE FUND	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6630	MEDICAL SELF INSURANCE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6650	FRINGE BENEFIT REVOLVING	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6900	CENTREX ASSOCIATION	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6930	MENTAL HEALTH	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7520	EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT FUND	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7550	MONEY PURCHASE PENSION PLAN	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7570	VEBA HEALTH TRUST	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7900	REGIONAL DISPATCH FUND	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7950	HAZ MATL RESPONSE TEAM	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TOTALS		\$12,368.50	\$0.00	\$32.97	\$12,401.47

New Business

00-0124 Comm. Gunn seconded by Comm. Bergman moved that the resolution adopting the revised Washtenaw County Historic District Commission Ordinance, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 14. NAYS: 1 (Yekulis). ABSENT: 0. Motion carried.

00-0125 Comm. Gunn seconded by Comm. Bergman moved that the resolution amending the 2000 Annual Calendar for the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners, canceling the Agenda Meeting scheduled for June 29, 2000, and rescheduling for June 27, 2000, at 5:00 pm, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 14. NAYS: 1 (Yekulis). ABSENT: 0. Motion carried.

00-0126 Comm. Robinson nominated Willie Campbell to be appointed as a Commissioner to District #4. Comm. Gunn nominated Lawrence Kestenbaum. Roll call vote: 6 votes cast for Campbell. 9 votes cast for Kestenbaum. Lawrence Kestenbaum so appointed.

00-0127 Comm. Armentrout seconded by Comm. Acevedo moved that the resolution urging that the effective cleanup and containment of 1, 4-Dioxane from the Pall/Gelman Sciences Inc. groundwater contamination site in Washtenaw County be expedited, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 15. NAYS: None. ABSENT: 0. Motion carried.

00-0128 Comm. Gunn seconded by Comm. Bergman moved that the resolution appointing a private sector representative to the Washtenaw County Workforce Development Board for the remainder of a three-year term expiring December 31, 2001, be adopted. Roll call vote: YEAS: 15. NAYS: None. ABSENT: 0. Motion carried.

00-0129 Comm. Gunn seconded by Comm. Irwin moved a unanimous ballot approving the resolution of appreciation to Carlos J. Acevedo for his dedicated service to the citizens of Washtenaw County. With no one opposing, motion carried.

Items for Current/Future Discussion:

None.

Citizen Participation

None.

Commissioners Follow-up to Citizen Participation

None.

Adjournment

Comm. Shaw seconded by Comm. Chockley moved to adjourn until Wednesday, July 5, 2000 at 6:45 p.m. in the Board Room, Washtenaw County Administration Building. Motion carried.

The meeting adjourned at 11:33 p.m.

Christina Montague, Chair

Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register
By: Barbara L. King, Deputy Clerk/Register

Approved: July 5, 2000

A RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION TO MICHIGAN STATE TROOPER WAYNE MCKALPAIN FOR 23 YEARS OF SERVICE TO THE CITIZENS OF WASHTENAW COUNTY AND THE STATE OF MICHIGAN

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

June 7, 2000

WHEREAS, Trooper Wayne McKalpain enlisted with the Michigan State Police Post in 1977 and has completed assignments at the Ypsilanti, Detroit, Bridgeport, and Northville Posts during his tenure as a Michigan State Trooper; and

WHEREAS, Trooper Wayne McKalpain has received numerous awards while serving as a State Trooper – among them a meritorious award, lifesaving award, and the Fletcher Trophy for outstanding Marksmanship; and

WHEREAS, Trooper Wayne McKalpain served as the post Firearms Instructor, and served as an instructor for the E.S. Team; and

WHEREAS, Trooper Wayne McKalpain has served the citizens of Washtenaw County and the state of Michigan with excellence and integrity

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby expresses it's appreciation to Trooper Wayne McKalpain for twenty-three years of service to the citizens of Washtenaw County and the state of Michigan and wishes him continued success in all future endeavors.

COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A
Acevedo	X			DeLong	X			Montague	X		
Armentrout	X			DuRussel	X			Robinson	X		
Bergman	X			Gunn	X			Schultz	X		
Chockley	X			Irwin	X			Shaw	X		
Craiger	X			Kern	X			Yekulis	X		

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS 15 0 0

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
 COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on June 7, 2000, as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register

BY: _____
 Deputy Clerk



Res. No. 00-0116

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE TRANSFER OF POSITIONS FROM THE POLICE SERVICES BUDGET TO THE CORRECTIONS BUDGET TO REFLECT THE TRUE EXPENDITURES; APPROPRIATING \$547,372 TO COVER A CONTRACTS SHORTFALL FOR 2001; AND AMENDING THE BUDGET

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

JUNE 7, 2000

WHEREAS, it is requested that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners authorize the movement of positions from the Police Services budget to the Corrections budget and authorize the adjustment of the 2000/2001 budget to reflect the positions changes; and

WHEREAS, it is additionally requested that the Board of Commissioners authorize a \$547,372 transfer from the General Fund Fund Balance to cover a medical contracts shortfall for 2001; and

WHEREAS, Guiding Principle #5 is, "Ensure adequate provision of mandated services"; and

WHEREAS, operating the County jail is a mandated service and the Sheriff and County Administrator recommend the separation of the Police Services and Corrections budgets to have a true reflection of expenditures and positions; and

WHEREAS, during the 2000-2001 budget process the Sheriff brought forward several budget requests which facilitated a discussion around a number of areas in the Sheriff's budget, including the way the County contracted for Police Services, and funding mandatory services within the jail; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners asked the Budget Department and Sheriff's Department to work collaboratively to develop a Sheriff's budget that would adequately and appropriately meet statutory requirements; and

WHEREAS, through discussions between the Sheriff's and Budget departments it was determined that 15 positions from Police Services needed to be moved to Corrections; and

WHEREAS, it was also determined that jail staffing standards were adequate until further beds were available, the jail commander developed a overtime budget assuming full staffing and the increase in medical contracts would be a major issue; and

WHEREAS, the Sheriff's and Budget department developed a comprehensive budget that was presented to the Board at the February 17, 2000 working session; and

WHEREAS, a total of \$916,603 transferred from the Police Services budget to the Corrections budget and in the 2001 County Budget an adjustment will be needed in the amount of \$547,372 to cover the shortfall in medical contracts; and

WHEREAS, this matter has been reviewed by Corporation Counsel, the Finance Department, Human Resources, the County Administrator's Office and the Ways & Means Committee

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby authorizes the transfer of positions from the police services budget to the corrections budget to reflect the true expenditures, as attached hereto and made a part hereof

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners hereby appropriates \$547,372 from the general fund fund balance in 2001 to cover a medical contracts shortfall and amends the budget as attached hereto and made a part hereof

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that no future transfers shall be made between Police Services and Corrections without the authorization of the Board of Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A
Acevedo	X			DeLong	X			Montague	X		
Armentrout	X			DuRussel	X			Robinson	X		
Bergman	X			Gunn	X			Schultz	X		
Chockley	X			Irwin	X			Shaw	X		
Craiger	X			Kern	X			Yekulis	X		

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY

ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS 15 0 0

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
 COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)^{SS.}

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on June 7, 2000 as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann this _____ day of _____, _____.

PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register

BY: _____

Deputy Clerk



Res . No. 00-0117

POLICE SERVICES
10105020

ELIMINATE

Position #	Position Title	Grade	FTE
9906-0001	Sheriff	99	.50
9407-0001	Undersheriff	94	.50
2104-0001	Administrative Assistant	21	.50
6601-0001	Commander	66	1.0
6302-0001	Executive Lieutenant	63	.50
6202-0003	2 nd Lieutenant	62	1.0
5706-0001	Human Resources Technician – Sheriff	57	1.0
5701-0067	Deputy	57	1.0
5701-0003	Deputy	57	1.0
5701-0096	Deputy	57	1.0
5501-0002	Account Clerk II	55	1.0
5507-0002	Community Service Officer	55	1.0
5507-0001	Community Service Officer	55	1.0
5507-0018	Community Service Officer	55	1.0
5401-0001	Specialist II	54	1.0
5103-0004	Specialist I	54	1.0
5002-0002	Office Assistant	50	1.0

CORRECTIONS
10105100

CREATE

Position #	Position Title	Grade	FTE
9906-0001	Sheriff	99	.50
9407-0001	Undersheriff	94	.50
2104-0001	Administrative Assistant	21	.50
6601-0001	Commander	66	1.0
6302-0001	Executive Lieutenant	63	.50
6202-0003	2 nd Lieutenant	62	1.0
5706-0001	Human Resources Technician – Sheriff	57	1.0
5701-0067	Deputy	57	1.0
5701-0003	Deputy	57	1.0
5701-0096	Deputy	57	1.0
5501-0002	Account Clerk II	55	1.0
5507-0002	Community Service Officer	55	1.0
5507-0001	Community Service Officer	55	1.0
5507-0018	Community Service Officer	55	1.0
5401-0001	Specialist II	54	1.0
5103-0004	Specialist I	54	1.0
5002-0002	Office Assistant	50	1.0

**2000 BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS
SHERIFF ADMIN
10105020**

EXPENDITURES	CURRENT	REVISED	VARIANCE
70050 Personnel Services	\$10,083,122	\$9,243,295	(\$839,827)
94000 InternalServiceCharges	\$1,938,487	\$1,861,711	(\$76,776)
Total	\$12,021,609	\$11,105,006	(\$916,603)

**CORRECTIONS
10105100**

70050 Personnel Services	\$5,619,145	\$6,458,972	\$839,827
94000 InternalServiceCharges	\$1,222,461	\$1,299,237	\$76,776
Total	\$6,841,606	\$7,758,209	\$916,603

2001 BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS

Sheriff Admin

10105020

EXPENDITURES	CURRENT	REVISED	VARIANCE
70050 Personnel Services	\$10,386,365	\$9,516,868	(\$869,497)
94000 InternalServiceCharges	\$1,996,273	\$1,915,658	(\$80,615)
Total	\$12,382,638	\$11,432,526	(\$950,112)

CORRECTIONS

10105100

70050 Personnel Services	\$5,853,608	\$6,770,563	\$916,955
94000 InternalServiceCharges	\$1,222,461	\$1,303,076	\$80,615
72600 Supplies/OtherServices	\$1,378,971	\$1,878,885	\$499,914
Total	\$8,455,040	\$9,952,524	\$1,497,484

GENERAL FUND

10109810

REVENUES	CURRENT	REVISED	VARIANCE
69000 Fund Balance	\$0	\$547,372	\$547,372

A RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING THE CREATION OF A NEW METHODOLOGY FOR CONTRACTING
POLICE SERVICES

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

June 7, 2000

WHEREAS, the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners has been committed to providing police services in an efficient and effective manner since 1985; and

WHEREAS, with Washtenaw County becoming more urbanized the demand for contract deputies throughout the County has drastically risen; and

WHEREAS, the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners have a made a long term commitment to ensuring the adequate provision of the County jail, a mandated service; and

WHEREAS, it is requested that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners authorize the creation of a new methodology for contracting police services with the local jurisdictions; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to create a new methodology for police service contracts to ensure fair and equitable compensation for services and to ensure the general safety, health and welfare of the citizens of Washtenaw County; and

WHEREAS, Guiding Principle #7 is, "Providing leadership on intragovernmental, intergovernmental and intersectoral cooperation and collaboration aimed at improving services to the citizens of Washtenaw County; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners wishes to make a long term commitment to fund County Police Services and is willing to commit .5 mills (\$4,536,000 for 2000); and

WHEREAS, it is the position of the Board of Commissioners that to receive the benefit of police services the local jurisdictions must share paying the responsibility for the service; and

WHEREAS, in July of 1999 the Board of Commissioners selected Northwestern University Traffic Institute to conduct a police services study which focused on determining the appropriate level of road patrol and review the cost methodology for contract deputies; and

WHEREAS, the findings from the report state that the responsibility for police service delivery is not well defined, the mix of contract/non contract policing is very confusing, the current cost methodology is inadequate and the Sheriff's Department is understaffed; and

WHEREAS, the recommendations forwarded by the NW Study concluded that the General Fund road patrol should be better defined and that contracting communities should be required to employ adequate numbers of deputies to meet standard performance requirements; and

WHEREAS, the NW report stated that the current method used for contract positions should be revised and gave three alternative models; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners established several ad hoc committees to investigate and address the issue of police service contracts; and

WHEREAS, to solicit public input and comment, the Board of Commissioners initiated and held several public hearings and working sessions throughout the County to facilitate the discussion surrounding police service contracts; and

WHEREAS, after much deliberation and discussion among Board members and Administration a proposal was constructed; and

WHEREAS, the County will disperse grants which will cover 34% of the total reimbursement cost charged to jurisdictions; County grants will be available to jurisdictions up to the midpoint of PAM staffing levels and anything above that level the contracting jurisdiction will reimburse the full amount; and jurisdictions will contract for Police Service Units.

WHEREAS, the new method of contracting for police services will start on January 1, 2002 and will coincide with the County's budget process; and

WHEREAS, should state this matter has been reviewed by Corporation Counsel, the Finance Department, Human Resources, the County Administrator's Office and the Ways & Means Committee

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby authorizes that the new methodology for contracting police services be adopted and begin on January 1, 2002, as set forth in the attachment, which is made a part hereof.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County Administrator is authorized to negotiate contracts beginning for the year 2002 and provision for those contracts be included in the 2002/2003 budget.

COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A
Acevedo	X			DeLong		X		Montague	X		
Armentrout	X			DuRussel		X		Robinson		X	
Bergman	X			Gunn	X			Schultz	X		
Chockley		X		Irwin	X			Shaw	X		
Craiger	X			Kern	X			Yekulis		X	

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY

ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS 10 5 0

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
 COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)^{SS.}

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on June 7, 2000 as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann this _____ day of _____, _____.

PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register

BY: _____
 Deputy Clerk



Res . No. 00-0118

Washtenaw County Police Services Summary of approach to contracting for Police Services

The figures presented in this document are based on 2000 costs and will be revised on a biennial basis to coincide with the County's budget process.

Overview

- County would continue support of Police Services at a level of .5 mills (\$4,536,000 for 2000)
 - Administrative and program support of \$812,000
 - Allocation of County grants to contracting jurisdictions of \$3,724,000
- County grants would cover 34% of the total reimbursement cost charged to jurisdictions.
- County grants available to jurisdictions up to the midpoint of PAM staffing levels. Above that level they would reimburse the full amount, except as set forth on page 3.
- Jurisdictions would contract for reimbursement of Police Services Units (PSU). One PSU would include all support costs.

County Support of Police Services

- Police Services budget will receive .5 mills (\$4,536,000 for 2000) in General Fund support
 - \$177,000 in Department oversight costs
 - .5 Sheriff
 - .5 Under Sheriff
 - .5 Executive Lieutenant
 - .5 Administrative Assistant
 - \$635,000 for six special assignment positions
 - 2 LAWNET
 - 1 Gangs
 - 1 DARE
 - 2 Special Investigation
 - \$3,724,000 in County grant allocation to contracting jurisdictions
 - All other costs would be reimbursed by contracting jurisdictions.
- Grants not used by the jurisdictions will remain in a reserve and will be allocated by the Board of Commissioners to address needs (current or future) within the Sheriff's budgets.

Police Services Units

- Jurisdictions would not contract for Deputies but for Police Services Units (PSU). One PSU consists of
 - Deputy
 - Supervision (Sergeant, Lieutenant, Commander)
 - Investigation support
 - Clerical support
 - Dispatch services
 - Transportation costs
 - Non personnel support costs
- Because all personnel support costs are included, the authorization of support positions would be determined strictly by the number of PSUs contracted (see Exhibit A).

Reimbursement rate

- The reimbursement rate is based on Method 2 of the Northwestern study. This method included all support costs with an indirect cost rate of 88%.
- The rate for 2000 would be calculated as follows:

Direct cost for one Deputy position	\$57,989
Support costs (88%)	<u>\$51,030</u>
Total Reimbursement Cost	\$109,019
Less: Washtenaw County grant (34%)	<u>\$37,239</u>
Net reimbursement cost to jurisdiction	\$71,781

- Direct costs for one Deputy for 2000 would be calculated as follows:

Salary	\$39,749
Fringes @ 37%	\$14,707
Uniform	\$750
O/SP/H	\$2,782
Total	\$57,989

- In as much as local station requirements are not included in the PSU cost, it is understood that the provision of such facilities is the responsibility of the local jurisdiction to provide without cost to the County.
- The County grant would be available up to the midpoint of the minimum and ideal staffing levels identified in the Northwestern study (see Exhibit A). Above that level, full reimbursement would be charged.

- Minimum, ideal and midpoint staffing levels will be rounded up to the nearest .5 PSU.
- For the first four years, until the next PAM study is done, a total of 100 PSUs are available at midpoint (see Exhibit A). If each jurisdiction does not contract for all of its available PSUs they would be put into a reserve and other jurisdictions may contract at the reduced rate until all 100 PSUs were allocated. In addition those jurisdictions which current contract at levels above midpoint (Ypsilanti Township, Dexter Village and Manchester Village) will be “grand fathered” to contract at the reduced rate regardless of the number of positions in the pool.

Phase In

- Contracts for reimbursement under this proposal would begin 1/1/2002. This would provide jurisdictions time to levy additional millage if needed.
- Figures presented in this proposal are based on 2000 costs. Actual rates for 2002 will be supplied in June of 2001 based on 2002/2003 costs.
- Contracts would be for two year periods and coincide with the County’s budget process to enable planning for staffing levels. Jurisdictions must notify the County of how many positions they wish to contract for by July 1, 2001 in order to determine the pool of PSUs available. Contracts for 2002/2003 would need to be signed by August 1, 2001.
- Contract rates for each year will be provided at the beginning of the contract period based on budgeted increases in salary costs with the understanding that if actual wage adjustments vary from what is budgeted, contract rates will be adjusted retroactively.
- A new PAM calculation will be done every four years. At that time new midpoints and subsequent grant amounts would be calculated.
- ***Jurisdictions are encouraged to collaborate and share the cost and benefits of police services to better provide coverage for their citizens.***

	Minimum Staffing <u>Level</u>	Midpoint Staffing <u>Level</u>	Ideal Staffing <u>Level</u>
Deputies			
AA Twp	1.50	4.00	6.50
Augusta Twp	1.50	3.00	4.50
Dexter Twp	1.50	3.00	4.50
Dexter Village	1.00	1.50	2.50
Lodi Twp	1.50	2.50	4.00
Manchester Village	1.00	1.50	2.50
Scio Twp	5.00	6.50	8.50
Superior Twp	5.00	9.00	13.00
York	1.50	4.00	6.00
Ypsilanti Twp	28.00	39.50	50.50
Bridgewater Twp	0.50	2.00	3.50
Freedom Twp	0.50	2.00	3.50
Lima Twp	1.00	3.00	4.50
Lyndon Twp	1.00	2.50	4.00
Manchester Twp	1.00	2.50	4.00
Salem Twp	2.00	3.50	5.00
Saline Twp	0.50	2.00	3.50
Sharon Twp	1.00	2.50	4.00
Sylvan Twp	1.50	3.00	4.50
Webster Twp	1.50	2.50	4.00
Total General Fund Deputies	58.00	100.00	143.00
Support Positions			
Sergeant	9.01	15.53	22.21
Lieutenant	2.82	4.85	6.94
Investigation	5.07	8.74	12.50
Clerical	6.76	11.65	16.66
Dispatch	9.01	15.53	22.21
Total Support Positions	32.66	56.31	80.52

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO SIGN THE CONTRACT WITH PLANTE & MORAN LLP TO CONDUCT AN OPERATIONAL REVIEW FOR THE FAMILY COURT, WASHTENAW COUNTY TRIAL COURT IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$89,000.

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

June 7, 2000

WHEREAS, the Friend of the Court has implemented the new state mandated computer system CSES (Child Support Enforcement System); and

WHEREAS, the Friend of the Court initially requested an additional 7.0 FTE positions due to the implementation of CSES; and

WHEREAS, the County Administrator and Court Administration suggested an Operational Review be conducted on the Friend of the Court offices and the Juvenile Division of the Washtenaw County Trial Court; and

WHEREAS, the Administrator, Trial Court, and Finance Department drafted a Request for Proposal to potential vendors to conduct the Operational Review; and

WHEREAS, it is the joint decision of the Administrator and the Trial Court that Plante & Moran is the most suited to conduct the Operational Review; and

WHEREAS, Plante & Moran will conduct the Operational Review for a cost not to exceed \$89,000; and

WHEREAS, this matter has been reviewed by the Finance Office, County Administrator's Office, Court Administrator, Human Resources and Corporation Counsel and the Ways and Means Committee

A RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S SIGNATURE ON AN APPLICATION TO THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH, OFFICE OF CRIME VICTIM SERVICES COMMISSION, FOR VICTIMS OF CRIME ACT (VOCA) FOR THE RAPE CRISIS PROGRAM FOR THE HEALTH SERVICES DEPARTMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$101,454 ANNUALLY FOR THE THREE YEAR PERIOD OF OCTOBER 1, 2000 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2003

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

June 7, 2000

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners is requested to ratify the signature of the County Administrator on the Michigan Department of Community Health, Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) application in the amount of \$101,454 annually for the three year period of October 1, 2000 through September 30, 2003; and

WHEREAS, the Rape Crisis program with funding from VOCA will continue to provide services to crime victims within Washtenaw County; and

WHEREAS, services will include providing victims with informed and supportive crisis counseling when they place a call to the rape hotline, reducing the effects of sexual victimization upon the survivor and assisting victims in their recovery from rape; and

WHEREAS, in 1999 the agency served 223 primary and secondary survivors, including 183 sexual assault victims and 40 significant others. Additionally, 1,284 calls were received by the agency's crisis line; and

WHEREAS, the Prosecuting Attorney's office issued warrants in 90 cases of criminal sexual conduct and the Ann Arbor Police Department handled 150 reports of sexual assault; and

WHEREAS, VOCA funding was awarded initially in 1988 to the Department of Community Mental Health, Assault Crisis Center; and

WHEREAS, on January 1, 2000 the Assault Crisis Center and the VOCA grant were transferred to the Public Health Department as approved by the Board of Commissioners on December 8, 1999; and

WHEREAS, this matter has been reviewed by Corporation Counsel, the Finance Department, Human Resources, the County Administrator's Office and the Ways & Means Committee

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby ratifies the signature of the Administrator on the application with the Michigan Department of Community Health, Office of Crime Victim Services for the Rape Crisis Program in the amount of \$101,454 for the period October 1, 2000 through September 30, 2003 for the Health Services Department, as on file with the County Clerk

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners takes the following actions contingent upon receipt of the grant award in conformity with the grant application:

1. Authorizing the Administrator to sign the Notice of Grant Award
2. Amending the budget, as attached hereto and made a part here of
3. Authorizing the continuation of positions as attached hereto and made a part hereof
4. Authorizing the Administrator to sign delegate contracts upon review of Corporation Counsel to be filed with the County Clerk

COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A
Acevedo	X			DeLong	X			Montague	X		
Armentrout	X			DuRussel	X			Robinson	X		
Bergman	X			Gunn	X			Schultz	X		
Chockley	X			Irwin	X			Shaw	X		
Craiger	X			Kern	X			Yekulis	X		

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS 15 0 0

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
 COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on June 7 2000 as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register

BY: _____
 Deputy Clerk



Res . No. 00-0120

Public Health Department
Assault Crisis Center – VOCA Grant

Position #	Position Title	Grade	Group	BU
2123-0002 (G)	Family Violence & Sex Abuse Counselor	21	11	29607900
2135-0001 (G)	Volunteer Services Coordinator	21	11	29607900

Public Health
Victims of Crime Act (VOCA)
29607900
October 1, 2000 – September 30, 2001

	<u>Description</u>	<u>Original Budget</u>
Revenue:		
50000	Federal Revenue	\$101,454
	Total Revenue	\$101,454
Expenditures:		
70000	Personal Services	\$ 96,154
72500	Supplies	2,200
80000	Other Services & Charges	3,100
	Total Expenditures	\$101,454

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO SIGN A CONTRACT WITH MOTOROLA, INC. TO UPGRADE OF THE CENTRAL ELECTRONICS BANK (CEB) OF THE WASHTENAW 800 MHZ RADIO SYSTEM IN THE AMOUNT OF \$556,351

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

JUNE 7, 2000

WHEREAS, in 1986, Washtenaw County, the City of Ann Arbor, the City of Milan, and the City of Saline entered into a cooperative agreement to operate a trunked 800 MHz radio system; and

WHEREAS, the Washtenaw 800 MHz Radio System was designed to provide radio communications to public safety and local government units in Washtenaw County; and

WHEREAS, since 1986 twenty-four other local, state and federal agencies have joined the consortium, including all Washtenaw county police agencies, four fire departments, and Huron Valley Ambulance; and

WHEREAS, the 800 MHz Radio System is a vital part of communications in emergency and non-emergency situations in Washtenaw County; and

WHEREAS, the Central Electronics Bank (CEB) portion of the 800 MHz Radio system and the dispatcher consoles are more than fourteen years old and have been cancelled by Motorola, Inc.; and

WHEREAS, the Executive Board of the Washtenaw 800 MHz Radio System approved the purchase of a new CEB at it's March 21, 2000 meeting, with the cost of the equipment to be shared by the users of the system on a per radio console basis; and

WHEREAS, Motorola, Inc. requires that one entity enter into the contractual agreement for the CEB upgrade and the console users have requested that Washtenaw County be that entity; and

WHEREAS, Washtenaw County, the City of Ann Arbor, Huron Valley Ambulance, the Charter Township of Pittsfield, the City of Saline, and the City of Ypsilanti have all agreed to pay their portion of this upgrade; and

WHEREAS, the cost of the contract to upgrade the CEB and dispatch consoles is \$556,351; and

WHEREAS, \$184,494 of the cost will be paid by Washtenaw County from the 9-1-1 surcharge funds allocated to the Technology Plan; and

WHEREAS, the balance of \$371,857 will be paid by the City of Ann Arbor (\$135,068), Huron Valley Ambulance (\$105,510), the Charter Township of Pittsfield (\$55,844), the City of Saline (\$47,808), and the City of Ypsilanti (\$27,627); and

WHEREAS, the contract for purchase of this equipment has been reviewed by the Sheriffs Department, the Information and Technology Systems Department, Corporation Counsel, the Finance Department, the County Administrator's office, and the Ways & Means Committee

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners authorizes the County Administrator to sign the contract for the upgrade of the Washtenaw 800 MHz Radio System Central Electronics Bank with Motorola, Inc. in the amount of \$556,351, and adjust the budget accordingly, upon review of Corporation Counsel to be filed with the County Clerk.

COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A
Acevedo	X			DeLong	X			Montague	X		
Armentrout	X			DuRussel	X			Robinson	X		
Bergman	X			Gunn	X			Schultz	X		
Chockley	X			Irwin	X			Shaw	X		
Craiger	X			Kern	X			Yekulis	X		

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY

ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS 15 0 0

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
 COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)^{SS.}

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on June 7, 2000 as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann this _____ day of _____, _____.

PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register

BY: _____
 Deputy Clerk



Res . No. 00-0121

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CREATION AND ELIMINATION OF POSITIONS AND AMENDING THE BUDGET FOR THE JUVENILE CENTER NIGHT SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM.

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

June 7, 2000

WHEREAS the Juvenile Center is responsible for the welfare and well-being of children under its jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS reorganizing Night Surveillance will allow for more thorough supervision of youth involved in In-Home Detention, Intensive Probation, Reintegration and the O'Brien Center; and

WHEREAS the current staffing does not provide sufficient supervision or crisis intervention; and

WHEREAS this matter has been reviewed by the Chief Judge, Corporation Counsel, the Finance Department, Human Resources, the County Administrator's Office and the Ways & Means Committee

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby creates and eliminates the following positions:

Child Care – Family Division – Juvenile Center
29901800

Position #	Position Title	Grade	Group	Create	Eliminate
1561-0001	Youth Attendant	15	14		0.5
1561-0002	Youth Attendant	15	14		0.5
1561-0003	Youth Attendant	15	14		0.5
1561-0004	Youth Attendant	15	14		0.5
7826-0001	Night Surveillance Supervisor	78	24	1.0	
2439-0001	Crisis Intervention Counselor	78	14	1.0	
2178-0001	In-Home Intervention Specialist	21	14	1.0	
2178-0002	In-Home Intervention Specialist	21	14	1.0	

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners approve the job descriptions for the Night Surveillance Supervisor, In-Home Intervention Specialist, and Crisis Intervention Counselor, as attached hereto and made a part hereof

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners amends the 2000 and 2001 budgets as attached hereto and made a part hereof.

COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A
Acevedo	X			DeLong	X			Montague	X		
Armentrout	X			DuRussel	X			Robinson	X		
Bergman	X			Gunn	X			Schultz	X		
Chockley	X			Irwin	X			Shaw	X		
Craiger	X			Kern	X			Yekulis	X		

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS 15 0 0

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
 COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)^{SS.}

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on June 7, 2000 as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register

BY: _____
 Deputy Clerk



Res . No. 00-0122

2000 Budget Adjustment
Child Care – Family Court
29901800

	CURRENT	REVISED	VARIANCE
REVENUES			
54000 State Revenues	\$ 566,040	\$ 601,990	\$ 35,950
 EXPENDITURES			
70050 Personnel Services	\$ 464,044	\$ 535,944	\$ 71,900
98100 Reserve for Child Care	\$268,672	\$232,722	(\$35,950)
Total	\$732,716	\$768,666	\$35,950

2001 Budget Adjustment
Child Care – Family Court
29901800

	CURRENT	REVISED	VARIANCE
REVENUES			
54000 State Revenues	\$ 508,846	\$ 570,481	\$ 61,635
 EXPENDITURES			
70050 Personnel Services	\$ 485,456	\$ 608,726	\$ 123,270
98100 Reserve for Child Care	\$432,871	\$371,236	(\$61,635)
Total	\$918,327	\$979,962	\$61,635

Washtenaw County

JOB DESCRIPTION

Job Code: 78
Authorization: 00-0122
Employee Group: 24
June 7, 2000

CLASS TITLE: NIGHT SURVEILLANCE SUPERVISOR
DEPARTMENT: Family Division – Juvenile Center
FLSA STATUS: Exempt

JOB SUMMARY:

Under the supervision of a higher classified employee, oversees all components of the night surveillance program. The three primary components of the program are: community-based supervision, individual and family crisis intervention, and staff recruitment and training. Reviews and modifies reports submitted by caseworkers and surveillance staff. Provides direct supervision of youth under the jurisdiction of the court.

EXAMPLES OF DUTIES

Essential Duties:

- Performs supervisory functions such as assigning caseloads, advising staff regarding proper methods of investigation and treatment, and evaluates staff performance. Recruits staff, both regular and on-call. Evaluates and disciplines staff as necessary. Reviews and distributes necessary reports to staff.
- Responsible to coordinate and oversee all components of the Night Surveillance Program, including program design and development. Provides weekly consultation with Night Surveillance staff, analyzing difficult case problems. Provides direction and guidance to assigned staff.
- Reviews and responds to inquiries by staff, such as diagnosis of emotional and/or social dysfunction, investigation reports for court hearings, petitions to dismiss cases under the court's jurisdiction and petitions to change placement of children under the court's supervision.
- Responds to inquiries about particular cases from the judges, attorneys, or community agencies, or assigns such inquiries to individual staff for the appropriate response. Interfaces with other department divisions as needed.
- Monitors and ensures In-Home Program youth compliance with court orders. Ensures maintenance of daily contact sheets.

NIGHT SURVEILLANCE SUPERVISOR

Page Two

- May be on call 24-hours a day to respond to the needs of the Night Surveillance Program
- Handles caseloads when staff are absent and may intervene in emergencies, including taking delinquent youth into custody.
- Authorizes and signs up youth on In-Home Programs after working hours and on weekends.
- Prepares or supervises the preparation of quarterly reports. Maintains statistical data on the effectiveness of the program.
- Designs and develops programmatic training materials.

Important Duties:

- Interfaces with outside agencies, such as police departments, FIA, and community groups, regarding In-Home Program participants.
- Attends administrative staff meetings and assists in the development of court policies. Has a general understanding of the budget and various funds. Interprets and executes court policy in cases concerning the Public Defender, Prosecuting Attorney and police agencies.
- Maintains a placement list and keeps track of costs and projections.
- Meets as part of a team to handle complaints, disputes, etc., and assists in generating policy memos.
- Develops schedule and makes assignments of hours and caseload to staff.
- Performs related duties as assigned.

The above statements are intended to describe the general nature and level of work being performed by employees assigned this classification. They are not to be construed as an exhaustive list of all job duties performed by personnel so classified.

EMPLOYMENT QUALIFICATIONS

Knowledge, Skills and Abilities In:

- Knowledge of family dynamics and effects of social conditions on family functioning
- Planning, organizing, assigning and directing the work of others
- Proficient in automated information processing equipment

NIGHT SURVEILLANCE SUPERVISOR

Page Three

- Gaining maximum productivity from employees
- Effectively managing change
- Time management and organization
- Strength-based, approaches to conflict
- Coaching and counseling techniques
- Positioning for the future
- Building cooperation
- Team building
- Basic knowledge of first aid and CPR
- Ability to handle multiple demands
- Skilled in resource utilization
- Self-management

LICENSE & CERTIFICATION

Possession of a valid Michigan vehicle operator's license.

Completion of the Michigan Judicial Institute Certification Training for Juvenile Court staff required within two (2) years after date of employment.

PHYSICAL DEMANDS

Duties require sufficient mobility to work in a normal office setting and use standard office equipment including a computer, vision to read printed materials and a computer screen and hearing and speech sufficient to communicate in person or over the telephone.

These requirements may be accommodated for otherwise qualified individuals requiring and requesting such accommodations.

EDUCATION

Possession of a Master's degree in Social Work, Psychology, Sociology or a related field.

EXPERIENCE

Three (3) years of professional casework experience. Three (3) to six (6) month break-in period. Prior supervisory experience preferred.

This class description intends to identify the major duties and requirements of the job and should not be interpreted as all-inclusive. Incumbents may be requested to perform job-related duties other than those outlined above and may be required to specific job-related knowledge for successful job performance.

Washtenaw County

JOB DESCRIPTION

Job Code: 21
Authorization: 0122
Employee Group: 14
June 7, 2000

CLASS TITLE: IN-HOME INTERVENTION SPECIALIST
DEPARTMENT: FAMILY DIVISION—JUVENILE CENTER
FLSA STATUS: EXEMPT

JOB SUMMARY:

Under the supervision of a higher classified employee, monitors the activities of youth assigned to the Night Surveillance Program. Works with families, other agencies and schools to devise and implement a case plan for the purpose of promoting positive behavioral change in youth. Maintains regular contacts with professional and social services agencies as appropriate to carry out programmatic needs. Prepares and presents written summary reports of youth's progress while in the program.

EXAMPLES OF DUTIES

Essential Duties:

- Performs night surveillance of youth involved in the court's In-Home case programs.
- Prepares and presents written summary reports of youth's progress while in the program.
- Works with families, other agencies & schools to devise & implement a case plan for the purpose of promoting positive behavioral change in the youth.
- Recommends, designs and participates family-focused interventions.
- Maintains regular contacts with professional and social services agencies as appropriate to carry out programmatic needs.
- Monitors the participation of the juvenile & the family in court ordered services.
- Maintains a detailed record of visits and contacts with youth assigned and their families.
- Maintains daily contact sheets.
- Maintains case files and computes associated paperwork.

IN-HOME INTERVENTION SPECIALIST

Page Two

- Obtains and maintains statistical information concerning the home conduct, school attendance, etc., of assigned youth within the In-Home program.
- Obtains and maintains appropriate statistical information regarding In-Home program services and youth.
- Keeps all computer & hand-written data entries current at all times.
- Maintains vehicles used for Night Surveillance Program activities.
- Maintains working knowledge of Family Division policies and changes in the statute.
- Attends all required court hearings.
- Attends all required meetings & training.
- Attends staff meetings as required.
- Perform other related tasks and duties as assigned.

EMPLOYMENT QUALIFICATIONS & SKILLS IN:

- Ability to role model appropriately for and youth
- Ability to gain the respect, confidence and cooperation of youth
- Ability to understand and relate to delinquent youth in a positive manner
- Basic knowledge of first aid and CPR
- Time Management and organization
- Recognizing the benefits of teamwork
- Skilled in resource utilization
- Ability to handle multiple demands
- Sensitivity to family and group processes
- Ability to perform crisis mediation

LICENSES AND CERTIFICATION

- Possession of a valid Michigan operator's license required.
- Completion of Michigan Judicial Institute Training for Juvenile Court staff required within two (2) years after date of employment.

PHYSICAL DEMANDS

Duties require sufficient mobility to work in a normal office setting and use standard office equipment including a computer, vision to read printed materials and a computer screen and hearing and speech sufficient to communicate in person or over the telephone.

These requirements may be accommodated for otherwise qualified individuals requiring and requesting such accommodations.

IN-HOME INTERVENTION SPECIALIST

Page Three

EDUCATION

Possession of a Bachelor's Degree in social work, psychology, sociology or a human services field.

EXPERIENCE

Two (2) years of experience working in a child-care institution, law enforcement, criminal justice or one (1) year of professional casework experience. Three to six month break-in period.

This class description intends to identify the major duties and requirements of the job and should not be interpreted as all inclusive. Incumbents may be requested to perform job-related duties other than those outlined above and may be required to have specific job-related knowledge for successful job performance.

Washtenaw County

JOB DESCRIPTION

Job Code: 24
Authorization: 00-0122
Employee Group: 14
June 7, 2000

CLASS TITLE: CRISIS INTERVENTION COUNSELOR – TRIAL COURT
DEPARTMENT: FAMILY DIVISION—JUVENILE CENTER
FLSA STATUS: EXEMPT

JOB SUMMARY:

Under the supervision of a higher classified employee, provides crisis intervention, short-term individual, family and group counseling for youth involved in the court's Night Surveillance Program. Collects, analyzes and compiles reports for presentation to the court, as requested. Promotes the health, welfare and well being of the youth and his/her family. May perform the functions of a Caseworker and an In-Home Intervention Specialist.

EXAMPLES OF DUTIES

Essential Duties:

- Provides crisis intervention, short-term individuals, family and group counseling, for youth involved in the court's Night Surveillance program.
- Assists in the coordination and oversight of the Night Surveillance Program, including program design and development.
- Maintains and protects the confidentiality of the juvenile case record.
- Maintains current knowledge of new and innovative treatment methods.
- Functions as a liaison between the Night Surveillance Program and O'Brien Center.
- Prepares and presents written summary reports of youth's progress while in the Program.
- Prepares reports as requested by the bench and/or management.
- Maintains a detailed record of visits and contacts with In-Home Program youth and their families. Maintains daily contact sheets.
- Attends all required court hearings.
- Performs other related tasks and duties as assigned.

The above statements are intended to describe the general nature and level of work being performed by employees assigned this job classification. They are not to be construed as an exhaustive list of all job duties performed by personnel so classified.

EMPLOYMENT QUALIFICATIONS

Knowledge Of/Skill In:

- Time management and organization
- Strength-based, family-centered assessments
- Proficient in automated information processing equipment

CRISIS INTERVENTION COUSENLOR – TRIAL COURT

Page Two

- Making sound judgments
- Building personal accountability
- Identifying, analyzing and solving problems
- Maintaining accurate documentation
- Maximizing the benefits of computer technology
- Ability to speak and write effectively
- The juvenile justice system and children's services programs
- Basic knowledge of first aid and CPR

LICENSES AND CERTIFICATION

- Possession of a valid Michigan operator's license.
- Completion of the Michigan Judicial Institute Certification Training for Juvenile Court Staff required within two (2) years after date of employment.

PHYSICAL DEMANDS

Duties require sufficient mobility to work in a normal office setting and use standard office equipment including a computer, vision to read printed materials and a computer screen and hearing and speech sufficient to communicate in person or over the telephone.

EDUCATION

Possession of a Master's Degree in social work, psychology, sociology or a human services field; or Bachelor's degree in one of the designated fields plus three (3) years experience which includes crisis intervention or mediation.

EXPERIENCE

Two (2) years of casework experience working in a juvenile court or a related child welfare agency, or two (2) years experience as a professional counselor.

This class description intends to identify the major duties and requirements of the job and should not be interpreted as all inclusive. Incumbents may be requested to perform job-related duties other than those outlined above and may be required to have specific job-related knowledge for successful job performance.

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF CLAIMS COMMENCING WITH THE LAST PREVIOUSLY APPROVED CLAIM AND CONTINUING THROUGH THE DATE OF MAY 26, 2000

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

June 7, 2000

WHEREAS, as filed with the County Clerk is a true copy of the record of claims commencing with the last previously approved claim and continuing through the date of May 26, 2000, inclusive; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners has been assured by the County Clerk that no claim received is withheld or rejected by the list, shows the name of the claimant, the amount of the claim and the date presented

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby authorizes the payment of claims commencing with the last previously approved claim and continuing through the date of May 26, 2000, inclusive, as listed in the statement of claims as attached hereto and made a part hereof

COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A
Acevedo	X			DeLong	X			Montague	X		
Armentrout	X			DuRussel	X			Robinson	X		
Bergman	X			Gunn	X			Schultz	X		
Chockley	X			Irwin	X			Shaw	X		
Craiger	X			Kern	X			Yekulis	X		

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS 15 0 0

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
 COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on June 7, 2000 as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann this _____ day of _____, _____.

PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register

BY: _____
 Deputy Clerk



Res . No. 00-0123

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CHAIR OF THE WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS TO SIGN THE REVISED WASHTENAW COUNTY HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION ORDINANCE AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY CLERK TO ENACT THIS REVISED ORDINANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE BOARD'S RULES & REGULATIONS

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

JUNE 7, 2000

WHEREAS, the Washtenaw County Historic District Commission was established pursuant to Act No. 169 of the Public Acts of 1970, as amended, and Act No. 213 of the Public Acts of 1957, as amended; and

WHEREAS, historic preservation is declared to be a public purpose and the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners may, by ordinance, regulate the work in historic districts; and

WHEREAS, the ordinance shall safeguard the heritage of Washtenaw County; stabilize and improve property values; foster civic beauty; strengthen the local economy; and promote the use of historic districts for the education, pleasure, and welfare of the citizens of the county and of the state; and

WHEREAS, the original ordinance was established in 1978, with revisions in 1988, 1999, and 2000; and

WHEREAS, the Washtenaw County Historic District Commission voted to accept the revised ordinance at its May 4, 2000 general meeting;

WHEREAS, this matter has been reviewed by Corporation Counsel, the Finance Department, the County Administrator's Office and the Ways and Means Committee;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby authorizes the Chair of the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners to sign the revised Washtenaw County Historic District Commission ordinance, as attached hereto and made a part hereof

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Commissioners directs the County Clerk to set for with enacting this ordinance in accordance with the Board's Rules & Regulations

COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A
Acevedo	X			DeLong	X			Montague	X		
Armentrout	X			DuRussel	X			Robinson	X		
Bergman	X			Gunn	X			Schultz	X		
Chockley	X			Irwin	X			Shaw	X		
Craiger	X			Kern	X			Yekulis		X	

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS 14 1 0

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
 COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on June 7, 2000 as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register

BY: _____
 Deputy Clerk



Res . No. 00-0124

WASHTENAW COUNTY
HISTORIC PRESERVATION ORDINANCE

Date of Ordinance: 1978

Date of Revisions: 1988, 1999, 2000

**WASHTENAW COUNTY
HISTORIC PRESERVATION ORDINANCE
(2000)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1. Definitions	3
SECTION 2. Historic District Commission	4
SECTION 3. Establishment Of Historic Districts	7
SECTION 4. Historic District Committee Review	10
SECTION 5. Historic District Commission Determination	12
SECTION 6. Acquisition of Property	12
SECTION 7 Ordinary Maintenance	13
SECTION 8. Neglect Of Maintenance	13
SECTION 9. Appeal	14
SECTION 10. Coordination By The Washtenaw County Historic District	14
SECTION 11. Acceptance Of Funds	15
SECTION 12. Budget	15
SECTION 13. Revenue Bonds	16
SECTION 14. Repeal Of Conflicting Ordinances	16
SECTION 15. Separability	16
SECTION 16. Effective Date	16
SECTION 17 Penalties	16

WASHTENAW COUNTY
HISTORIC PRESERVATION ORDINANCE

TO PROVIDE for the safeguarding of the heritage of Washtenaw County by establishing and preserving historic districts including sites, structures and objects in Washtenaw County which reflect elements of its cultural, social, economic, political, or architectural history; to provide for the acquisition of land and structures for historic purposes; to provide for the preservation of historic sites and structures; to provide for the creation of a historic district commission; to provide for coordination of local municipal historic commissions; to provide for the maintenance of publicly owned historic sites and structures within Washtenaw County; to stabilize and improve property values in historic districts; to foster civic beauty; to strengthen the local economy; to promote the use of historic districts including sites, structures, and objects for the education, pleasure and welfare of the citizens of Washtenaw County, the state and the nation.

The Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby ordains:

SECTION 1
DEFINITIONS

As used in this ordinance:

- A. "Alteration" means work that changes the detail of a resource but does not change its basic size or shape.
- B. "Certificate of appropriateness" means the written approval of a permit application for work that is appropriate and that does not adversely affect a resource.
- C. "Commission" means a historic district commission created by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners pursuant to section 4.
- D. "Demolition" means the razing or destruction, whether entirely or in part, of a resource and includes, but is not limited to, demolition by neglect.
- E. "Demolition by neglect" means neglect in maintaining, repairing, or securing a resource that results in deterioration of an exterior feature of the resource or the loss of structural integrity of the resource.
- F. "Denial" means the written rejection of a permit application for work that is inappropriate and that adversely affects a resource.
- G. "Historic district" means an area, or group of areas not necessarily having contiguous boundaries, that contains one resource or a group of resources that are related by history, architecture, archaeology, engineering, or culture.
- H. "Historic District Study committee" or "Study committee" means a committee appointed by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners to study areas for possible establishment as historic districts.
- I. "Historic Preservation" means the identification, evaluation, establishment, and protection of resources that are significant in history, architecture, archaeology, engineering, or culture.
- J. "Historic resource" means a publicly or privately owned building, structure, site, object, feature, or open space that is significant in the history, architecture, archaeology, engineering, or culture of this state or a community within this state, or of the United States.

- K. "Local unit" means a city, village, - township or county.
- L "Notice to proceed" means the written permission to issue a permit for work that is inappropriate and that adversely affects a resource, pursuant to a finding under section 4(E).
- M. "Open space" means undeveloped land, a naturally landscaped area, or a formal or man-made landscaped area that provides a connective link or a buffer between other resources.
- N. "Ordinary maintenance" means keeping a resource unimpaired and in good condition through ongoing minor intervention, undertaken from time to time, in its exterior condition. Ordinary maintenance does not change the external appearance of the resource except through the elimination of the usual and expected effects of weathering. Ordinary maintenance does not constitute work for purposes of this act.
- O. "Proposed historic district" means an area, or group of areas not necessarily having contiguous boundaries, that has delineated boundaries and that is under review by a committee or a standing committee for the purpose of making a recommendation as to whether it should be established as a historic district or added to an established historic district.
- P. "Repair" means to restore a decayed or damaged resource to a good or sound condition by any process. A repair that changes the external appearance of a resource constitutes work for purposes of this act.
- Q. "Resource" means one or more publicly or privately owned historic or non-historic buildings, structures, sites, objects, features, or open spaces located within a historic district or a proposed historic district.
- R. "SHPO" means the State Historic Preservation Office of the Michigan Historical Center of the Michigan Department of State.
- S. "Standing committee" means a permanent body established by the legislative body of the county or a local unit pursuant to section 14 to conduct the activities of a historic district study committee on a continuing basis.
- T. "Work" means construction, addition, alteration, repair, moving, excavation, or demolition.

SECTION 2 HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION

- A. The Washtenaw County Historic District Commission is hereby established pursuant to Act No. 169 of the Public Acts of 1970, as amended, and Act No. 213 of the Public Acts of 1957, as amended.
- B. Purpose - Historic preservation is declared to be a public purpose and the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners may by ordinance regulate the work in historic districts within the limits of the -Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners . The purpose of the ordinance shall be to do one or more of the following:
- I. Safeguard the heritage of Washtenaw County by preserving one or more historic districts in the county that reflect elements of the county's history, architecture, archaeology, engineering, or culture.
 2. Stabilize and improve property values in each district and the surrounding areas.
 3. Foster civic beauty.
 4. Strengthen the local economy.

5. Promote the use of historic districts for the education, pleasure, and welfare of the citizens of the county and of the state.

C. Organization - The Washtenaw County Historic District Commission shall consist of seven or nine members to be appointed by the Chair of the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners with the approval of the Board of Commissioners. All members shall reside within Washtenaw County. Members shall be appointed for three-year terms except the initial appointments of some of the members shall be staggered so that subsequent appointments shall not reoccur at the same time. Thus, two shall be appointed for a one (1) year term, two shall be appointed for a two (2) year term and three shall be appointed for a three (3) year term. Members shall be eligible for reappointment. In the event of a vacancy on the Commission interim appointments shall be made within 60 calendar days by the Chair and Board of Commissioners to complete the term of such position. The majority membership shall consist of representative citizens who shall have demonstrated interest in or knowledge of historic preservation and Washtenaw County's history. . The Board shall appoint at least two members from a list of citizens submitted by a duly organized and existing County preservation society or societies. If available, an architect who is a graduate of an accredited school of architecture and has two years of architectural experience or who is an architect registered in this state; an attorney who is duly registered in the state; a member of the Washtenaw County Metropolitan Planning Commission; and interested persons from as many different townships within Washtenaw County as feasible shall be appointed. Members may be removed by the majority vote of the Board of Commissioners when it is deemed in the best interest of the Historic District Commission and the county.

D. Rules - The Historic District Commission shall establish rules providing for the holding of its meetings and for the election of its officers.

E. Meetings - The business that the commission may perform shall be conducted at a public meeting of the commission held in compliance with the open meetings act, Act No. 267 of the Public Acts of 1976, as amended, being sections 15.261 to 15.275 of the Michigan Compiled Laws. Public notice of the time, date, and place of the meeting shall be given in the manner required by Act No. 267 of the Public Acts of 1976, as amended. A meeting agenda shall be part of the notice and shall include a listing of each permit application to be reviewed or considered by the commission.

The commission shall keep a record of its resolutions, proceedings, and actions. A writing prepared, owned, used, in the possession of, or retained by the commission in the performance of an official function shall be made available to the public in compliance with the freedom of information act, Act. No. 442 of the Public Acts of 1976, as amended, being sections 15.231 to 15.246 of the Michigan Compiled Laws.

F. Functions and Duties - The Washtenaw County Historic District Commission shall carry out the following functions in accordance with its mission to safeguard the heritage of Washtenaw County, and perform the following duties:

1. It shall have those duties and powers set forth in Sections 3, 4, and 5 concerning construction, alteration, repair, moving, purchase, maintenance or demolition within a historic district.
2. It shall have those duties and powers set forth below in Section 10 concerning the coordination of plans of cities, villages, and townships and its own historic plans.
3. It shall encourage and cooperate with civic and fraternal groups and other organizations in promoting Washtenaw County's history, heritage, traditions, and customs through participation in public historical activities, patriotic celebrations or other special events.
4. As long as the proposed activity meets the purposes set forth in this ordinance, it shall encourage and cooperate with merchants, banks, utilities, and other commercial enterprises in the use of local historical material in their advertising and sales promotion using the Commission's collections, knowledge and skill.
5. It shall encourage and cooperate with local organizations in the use of historical materials in promoting the welfare of Washtenaw County.
6. It shall work with local, county, state or national groups, organizations, agencies, individuals, or units of government in the selection, marking, and/or acquisition of historic structures, sites, districts, objects or items as well as the acquisition, preservation and display of historical material.
7. It shall represent or serve as a liaison between the Board of Commissioners and other organizations interested in the history of Washtenaw County.
8. It shall act in a management, administrative, advisory, research, or service capacity for the Board of Commissioners in historical matters subject to the instructions of the Board of Commissioners.
9. It shall advise the Board of Commissioners regarding the acceptance by the County of gifts of property both new and having historical significance and cooperate in the receipt of such property, funds, and bequests. It will assist with the sale of such resources, attaching protective covenants, if necessary.
10. It shall carry out such special assignments on historical matters as the Board of Commissioners may direct from time to time including the solicitation of grants and bequests for historical purposes by any and all appropriate available means.
11. It shall not obligate itself or the County in any financial undertaking unless provided with the budget funds for such obligation or unless first authorized by the Board of Commissioners, though it may cooperate with the various other local foundations and societies on the appropriate use, application or expenditure of other society or foundation funds or assets.
12. It shall have the authority subject to the approval of the Board of Commissioners to support the establishment of a Washtenaw County Historical Museum.
13. It shall report annually and as requested to the Board of Commissioners on its activities and the results. The annual report shall be created with promotion and education in mind.
14. One or more members may serve on or be members of any historic district study committee created pursuant to Section 3 of this ordinance. To avoid any conflict of interest, the commissioners shall act in an advisory capacity to the study committee.
15. It shall advise the Board of Commissioners regarding the possibility of issuing revenue bonds pursuant to Act No. 94 of the Public Acts of 1933, as amended.

SECTION 3
ESTABLISHMENT, MODIFICATION, AND ELIMINATION
OF HISTORIC DISTRICTS

A. The Washtenaw County Historic District Commission may, by ordinance and in cities, villages or townships where there is a contract explicitly addressing the Washtenaw County Historic District Commission's jurisdictional authority within that governmental entity, establish one or more historic districts. The County Historic District Commission pursuant to section 4 shall administer the historic districts.

B. Before such establishment the Board of Commissioners shall appoint an historic district study committee. The committee shall contain a majority of persons who have a clearly demonstrated interest in or knowledge of historic preservation, and shall contain representation from one or more duly organized local historic preservation organizations.

C. The Committee shall:

- (1) Conduct a photographic inventory of resources within each proposed historic district following procedures established or approved by the bureau.
- (2) Conduct basic research of each proposed historic district and the historic resources located within that district.
- (3) Determine the total number of historic and non-historic resources within a proposed historic district and the percentage of historic resources of that total. In evaluating the significance of historic resources, the committee shall be guided by the selection criteria for evaluation issued by the United States secretary of the interior for inclusion of resources in the National Register of Historic Places, as set forth in 36 C. F. R. part 60, and criteria established or approved by the bureau, if any.
- (4) Prepare a preliminary historic district study committee report that addresses at a minimum all of the following:
 - (i) The charge of the committee.
 - (ii) The composition of the committee membership.
 - (iii) The historic district or districts studied.
 - (iv) The boundaries for each proposed historic district in writing and on maps.
 - (v) The history of each proposed historic district.
 - (vi) The significance of each district as a whole, as well as a sufficient number of its individual resources to fully represent the variety of resources found within the district, relative to the evaluation criteria.
- (5) Transmit copies of the preliminary report for review and recommendations to the Washtenaw County Historic District Commission, the Washtenaw County Metropolitan Planning Commission, the Washtenaw County Parks and Recreation Commission, Michigan Historical Commission, State Historic Preservation Review Board and the SHPO.
- (6) Make copies of the preliminary report available to the public pursuant to subsection F on the next page.

D. Not less than sixty (60) calendar days after the transmittal of the preliminary report, the committee shall hold a public hearing in compliance with Act No. 267 of the Public Acts of 1976, as amended, being sections 15.261 to 15.275 of the Michigan

Compiled Laws. Public notice of the time, date, and place of the hearing shall be given in the manner required by Act No. 267 of the Public Acts of 1976, as amended. Written notice shall be mailed by first-class mail not less than 14 calendar days before the hearing to the owners of properties within the proposed historic district, as listed on the tax rolls of the local unit.

- E. After the date of the public hearing, the committee and the County Board of Commissioners shall have not more than one year, unless otherwise authorized by the County Board of Commissioners, to take the following actions:
- (1) The Committee shall prepare and submit a final report with its recommendations and those of the Washtenaw County Historic District Commission to the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners. If the recommendation is to establish a historic district or districts, the final report shall include a draft of a proposed ordinance or ordinances.
 - (2) After receiving a final report that recommends the establishment of a historic district or districts, the County Board of Commissioners, at its discretion, may introduce and pass or reject an ordinance or ordinances. If the County passes an ordinance or ordinances establishing one or more historic districts, the County shall file a copy of that ordinance or those ordinances, including a legal description of the property or properties located within the historic district or districts, with the register of deeds. The County shall not pass an ordinance establishing a contiguous historic district less than 60 days after a majority of the property owners within the proposed historic district, as listed on the tax rolls of the local unit, have approved the establishment of the historic district pursuant to a written petition.

F. A writing prepared, owned, used, in the possession of, or retained by a committee in the performance of an official function shall be made available to the public in compliance with Act No. 442 of the Public Acts of 1976, as amended, being sections 15.231 to 15.246 of the Michigan Compiled Laws.

G. The Commission may at any time establish by ordinance additional historic districts, including proposed districts previously considered and rejected, may modify boundaries of an existing historic district or may eliminate an existing historic district. Before establishing, modifying, or eliminating a historic district, a historic district study committee appointed by the Board of Commissioners shall, except as provided in Section 3, subsection H, comply with the procedures set forth above and shall consider any previously written committee reports pertinent to the proposed action. To conduct these activities, the Board of Commissioners may retain the initial committee, establish a standing committee, or establish a committee to consider only specific proposed districts and then be dissolved.

H. If considering elimination of a historic district, a committee shall follow the procedures set forth in this section for issuing a preliminary report, holding a public hearing, and issuing a final report but with the intent of showing one or more of the following:

- (1) The historic district has lost those physical characteristics that enabled establishment of the district.
- (2) The historic district was not significant in the way previously defined.
- (3) The historic district was established pursuant to defective procedures.

I. Upon receipt of substantial evidence showing the presence of historic, architectural, archaeological, engineering, or cultural significance of a proposed historic district, the County Board of Commissioners may, at its discretion, adopt a resolution requiring that all applications for permits within the proposed historic district be referred to the commission as prescribed in sections 4 and 5. The commission shall review permit applications with the same powers that would apply if the proposed historic district was an established historic district. The review may continue in the proposed historic district for not more than one year, or until such time as the County Board of Commissioners approves or rejects the establishment of the historic district by ordinance, whichever comes first.

J. If the County Board of Commissioners determines that pending work will cause irreparable harm to resources located within an established historic district or a proposed historic district, the County Board of Commissioners may by resolution declare an emergency moratorium of all such work for a period not to exceed six months. The Board may extend the emergency moratorium for an additional period not to exceed six months upon finding that the threat of irreparable harm to resources is still present. Any pending permit application concerning a resource subject to an emergency moratorium may be summarily denied.

SECTION 4 HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION REVIEW

A. Before any work requiring a permit that effects the exterior appearance of a resource is made within a historic district, the person, individual, partnership, firm, corporation, organization, institution, or agency of government proposing to do that work shall file an application for a permit with the inspector of buildings of the local unit of government (township) or other duly delegated authority. If the inspector of buildings or other authority receives the application, the application shall be immediately referred together with all required supporting materials including but not limited to architectural drawings, site plans, specifications, samples of proposed materials to be used, informational brochures, proposed work schedule, and name of architect and contractors to be used to the Washtenaw County Historic District Commission via fax or mail. A permit shall not be issued and proposed work shall not proceed until the commission has acted on the application by issuing a certificate of appropriateness or a notice to proceed as prescribed in this ordinance.

B. In reviewing plans the Commission shall follow the U. S. secretary of the interior's standards for rehabilitation and guidelines for rehabilitating historic buildings, as set forth in 36 C. F. R. part 67. Design review standards and guidelines that address special design characteristics of historic districts administered by the commission may be followed if they are equivalent in guidance to the secretary of interior's standards and

guidelines and are established or approved by the SHPO. The commission shall also consider all of the following:

1. The historical and/or architectural value and significance of the resource and its relationship to the historical value of the surrounding area.
2. The relationship of any architectural features of such resource to the rest of the resource and to the surrounding area.
3. The general compatibility of exterior design, arrangement, texture, and materials proposed to be used.
4. Any other factor, such as aesthetic value, that the commission finds relevant.

C. The Commission shall review and act only upon exterior features of a resource and shall not review and act upon interior arrangements unless specifically authorized to do so by the local legislative body or unless interior work will cause visible change to the exterior of the resource. The Commission shall disapprove applications only on the basis of the considerations set forth in the previous paragraph.

D. If an application for work affecting the exterior appearance of a resource which the commission deems so valuable to the county, state, or nation that the loss thereof will adversely affect the public purpose of the county, state, or nation the Commission shall endeavor to work out with the owner of the resource an economically feasible plan for preservation of the resource.

E. Work within a historic district shall be permitted through the issuance of a notice to proceed by the commission if any of the following conditions prevail and if the proposed work can be demonstrated by a finding of the commission to be necessary to substantially improve or correct any of the following conditions:

1. The resource constitutes a hazard to the safety of the public or to the structure's occupants.
2. The resource is a deterrent to a major improvement program that will be of substantial benefit to the community and the applicant proposing the work has obtained all necessary planning and zoning approvals, financing, and environmental clearances.
3. Retention of the resource will cause undue financial hardship to the owner when a governmental action, an act of God, or other events beyond the owner's control created the hardship, and all feasible alternatives to eliminate the financial hardship, which may include offering the resource for sale at its fair market value or moving the resource to a vacant site within the historic district, have been attempted and exhausted by the owner.
4. Retention of the resource is not in the interest of the majority of the community as determined by the Commission and such structure may be given appropriate

preservation in terms of photographic, pictorial, item removal, written or other means of limited or special preservation.

F. The commission shall adopt its own rules of procedure and shall adopt design review standards and guidelines for resource treatment to carry out its duties under this act.

G. The commission may delegate the issuance of certificates of appropriateness for specified minor classes of work to its staff, to the inspector of buildings, or to another delegated authority. The commission shall provide to the delegated authority specific written standards for issuing certificates of appropriateness under this subsection. On at least a quarterly basis, the commission shall review the certificates of appropriateness, if any, issued for work by its staff, the inspector, or another authority to determine whether or not the delegated responsibilities should be continued.

SECTION 5
HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION DETERMINATION

A. The Commission shall file certificates of appropriateness, notices to proceed, and denials of applications for permits with the local inspector of buildings or other duly delegated authorities. A permit shall not be issued until the commission has acted as prescribed by this act. If a permit application is denied, the decision shall be binding on the inspector or other authority. A denial shall be accompanied with a written explanation by the commission of the reasons for denial and, if appropriate, a notice that an application may be resubmitted for commission review when suggested changes have been made. The denial shall also include notification of the applicant's rights of appeal to the state historic preservation review board and to the circuit court. The failure of the commission to act within sixty (60) calendar days after the date a complete application is filed, unless the applicant and the Commission agree upon an extension in writing, shall be considered to constitute approval.

B. Local public officials and employees shall provide information and records to study committees, standing committees, and the commission, and shall meet with those bodies upon request to assist with their activities.

C. When work has been done upon a resource without a permit, and the commission finds that the work does not qualify for a certificate of appropriateness, the commission may require an owner to restore the resource to the condition the resource was in before the inappropriate work or to modify the work so that it qualifies for a certificate of appropriateness. If the owner does not comply with the restoration or modification requirement within a reasonable time, the commission may seek an order from the circuit court to require the owner to restore the resource to its former condition or to modify the work so that it qualifies for a certificate of appropriateness. If the owner does not comply or cannot comply with the order of the court, the commission or its agents may enter the property and conduct work necessary to restore the resource to its former condition or modify the work so that it qualifies for certificate of appropriateness in accordance with the court's order. The costs of the work shall be charged to the owner, and may be levied by the local unit as a special assessment against the property. When acting pursuant to an order of the circuit court, a commission or its agents may enter a property for purposes of this section.

D. An applicant aggrieved by a decision of the commission concerning a permit application shall file an appeal with the state historic preservation review board of the Michigan historical commission within the department of state pursuant to Section 9 of this ordinance.

SECTION 6
ACQUISITION OF PROPERTY

If all efforts by the Historic District Commission to preserve a resource fail, or if it is determined by the County Board of Commissioners and the local legislative body that public ownership is most suitable, the Board of Commissioners, if considered to be in the public interest, may acquire the resource using public funds, public or private gifts, grants, or proceeds from the issuance of revenue bonds. Such acquisition shall be based upon the recommendation of the Historic District Commission or standing

committee. The Historic District Commission or standing committee is responsible for maintaining publicly owned resources using its own funds, if not specifically earmarked for other purposes, or public funds committed for that use by the Board of Commissioners. Cooperative programs of purchase ownership and management in the public interest may also be worked out with other local commissions and societies. Upon recommendation of the commission or standing committee, the local unit may sell resources acquired under this section with protective easements included in the property transfer documents, if appropriate.

SECTION 7 ORDINARY MAINTENANCE

Nothing in this act shall be construed to prevent ordinary maintenance or repair of a resource within a historic district, or to prevent work under a permit issued by the inspector of buildings or other duly delegated authority before the ordinance was enacted.

SECTION 8 NEGLECT OF MAINTENANCE

- A. No person shall permit a resource under his or her ownership or control within an historic district to deteriorate resulting in any of the following conditions that constitute demolition by neglect:
1. A deterioration of exterior walls or other vertical supports.
 2. A deterioration of roofs or other horizontal members.
 3. A deterioration of exterior chimneys.
 4. The deterioration or crumbling of exterior plaster or mortar.
 5. The ineffective weatherproofing of exterior walls, roofs and foundations including broken windows or doors.
 6. A deterioration of any exterior architectural feature so as to create or permit the creation of a hazardous or unsafe condition or conditions which in the judgment of the Commission produces a detrimental effect upon the character of the district as a whole and the life and character of the landmark in question.
- B. The Historic District Commission on its own initiative may file a petition with the local building inspector requesting that said office proceed to require correction of defects or repairs of any such structure covered by this article so that such structure may be preserved and protected in consonance with the purpose of this ordinance.
- C. Upon a finding by a commission that a historic resource within a historic district or a proposed historic district subject to its review and approval is threatened with demolition by neglect, the commission may do the following:

1. Require the owner of the resource to repair all conditions contributing to demolition by neglect.
2. If the owner does not make repairs within a reasonable time, the commission or its agents may enter the property and make such repairs as are necessary to prevent demolition by neglect. The costs of the work shall be charged to the owner, and may be levied by the local unit as a special assessment against the property. The commission or its agents may enter the property for purposes of this section upon obtaining an order from the circuit court.
3. Seek a court order from the circuit court compelling the property owner to remove those causes threatening the historic resource with demolition by neglect.

SECTION 9 APPEAL

Any citizen or duly organized historic preservation organization in the County, as well as resource property owners, jointly or severally aggrieved by a decision of the Historic District Commission may appeal the decision to the circuit court except that a permit applicant aggrieved by a decision rendered under section 4 may not appeal to the court without first exhausting the right to appeal to the state historic preservation review board. The appeal shall be filed within 60 calendar days after the decision is furnished to the applicant. The appellant may submit all or part of the appellant's evidence and arguments in written form. A permit applicant aggrieved by the decision of the state historic preservation review board may appeal the decision to the circuit court having jurisdiction over the historic district commission whose decision was appealed to the state historic preservation review board.

SECTION 10 COORDINATION BY THE WASHTENAW COUNTY HISTORIC DISTRICT

The jurisdiction of the Washtenaw County Historic District Commission shall be the same as that provided in Act No. 183 of the Public Acts of 1943, as amended, being sections 125.201 to 125.232 of the Michigan Compiled Laws, or as otherwise provided by contract entered into between the County and a city, village, or township. The Commission shall maintain coordination between it and township and municipal historic district commissions. The overall historic preservation plans of cities, villages, and townships shall be submitted to the county historic district commission for review, and county plans submitted to cities, villages, and townships having historic district commissions. Day-to-day activities of local commissions shall not be reviewed unless the activities affect resources of importance to another commission, or those plans have other than strictly local significance.

SECTION 11 ACCEPTANCE OF FUNDS

The County Board of Commissioners may accept state or federal grants for historic preservation purposes, and may participate in state and federal programs that benefit historic preservation, and may accept public or private gifts for historic preservation purposes. The legislative body may make the historic district commission, a standing

committee, or other agency its duly appointed agent to accept and administer grants, gifts and program responsibilities.

SECTION 12 BUDGET

There may be appropriated in the annual county budget a sum of money which may be expended by the Historic District Study Committee and the Historic District Commission for and in connection with:

- A. The preparation of surveys of buildings and structures in districts in Washtenaw County.
 - 1. The acquisition and/or restoration of buildings or structures of historical or architectural significance.
 - 2. Subject to the approval of the Board of Commissioners an incentive improvement program under which the Commission may contract with the owner or lessee of the designated historic building or structure or designated historic cultural site to reimburse such owner or lessee some predetermined portion of the cost incurred by him in the reconstruction, construction, addition, moving, excavation, alteration, removal, preservation, maintenance, repairs or painting, of an exterior or designated interior feature and furtherance of the purposes of this ordinance as determined by the Commission. In any and all cases the Commission shall have the absolute right to determine the actual cost of such work the proportion or amount to be reimbursed out of appropriated funds.
 - 3. To provide funds for the operation of a county historical museum.
 - 4. To provide funds for special studies.
 - 5. To provide funds for staff or consultants to do necessary work of the Commission.

SECTION 13 REVENUE BONDS

The Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners may issue revenue bonds pursuant to Act No. 94 of the Public Acts of 1933, as amended, for carrying out the functions of the historical commission.

SECTION 14 REPEAL OF CONFLICTING ORDINANCES

All ordinances or parts of ordinances conflicting with the provision of this ordinance of Washtenaw County are hereby repealed.

SECTION 15
SEPARABILITY

Should any sections, subdivisions, sentence, clause, phrase of the ordinance be declared by the courts to be invalid the same shall not effect the validity of the ordinance as a whole or in any part thereof other than the part so invalidated.

SECTION 16
EFFECTIVE DATE

This ordinance shall take effect immediately upon compliance with the statutes relative thereto.

SECTION 17
PENALTIES

- A. A person, individual, partnership, firm, corporation, organization, institution, or agency of government that violates any provision of this ordinance is responsible for a civil violation and may be fined not more that \$5000.00.

- B. A person, individual, partnership, firm, corporation, organization, institution, or agency of government that violates this act may be ordered by the court to pay the costs to restore or replicate a resource unlawfully constructed, added to, altered, repaired, moved, excavated, or demolished.

In Witness Whereof, this ordinance is hereby executed this
_____ day of _____, 2000

ATTESTED TO:

COUNTY OF WASHTENAW

BY: _____
Peggy M. Haines
Washtenaw County Clerk

BY: _____
P. Christina Montague, Chair
Washtenaw County
Board of Commissioners

A RESOLUTION AMENDING THE 2000 ANNUAL CALENDAR FOR THE WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS, CANCELLING THE JUNE 29, 2000 AGENDA SESSION AND RESCHEDULING FOR JUNE 27, 2000 AT 5:00 P.M.

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

June 7, 2000

WHEREAS, the Open Meetings Act requires that public bodies adopt an annual calendar on or before their first meeting of the year; and

WHERE, in accordance with MCLA 46.1, the annual meeting of the County Board of Commissioners shall be held after September 14 but before October 16; and

WHEREAS, there is a regularly scheduled meeting of the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners on September 20, 2000; and

WHEREAS, according to statute, a County Board of Commissioners shall meet on the Tuesday following the second Monday in April to equalize the assessment rolls; and

WHEREAS, there is a regularly scheduled meeting of the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners on April 12, 2000

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby adopts the amended 2000 Annual Calendar for the Board of Commissioners to cancel the June 29, 2000 Agenda session at 5:00 p.m. and reschedule for June 27, 2000 at 5:00 p.m. in the Administration Conference Room, as attached hereto and made a part hereof.

COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A
Acevedo	X			DeLong	X			Montague	X		
Armentrout	X			DuRussel	X			Robinson	X		
Bergman	X			Gunn	X			Schultz	X		
Chockley	X			Irwin	X			Shaw	X		
Craiger	X			Kern	X			Yekulis	X		

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS 15 0 0

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)SS.

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on June 7, 2000 as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register

BY: _____
Deputy Clerk



WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
Amended 2000 Calendar

<u>DATE</u>	<u>COMMITTEE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>LOCATION</u>
6/1	Agenda Meeting	5:00 p.m.	Administration Conference Room
6/7	Ways & Means Committee	6:30 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
6/7	Board of Commissioners	6:45 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
6/8	Board Working Session	6:30 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
6/27	Agenda Meeting	5:00 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
6/29	Agenda Meeting	5:00 p.m.	Administration Conference Room
7/5	Ways & Means Committee	6:30 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
7/5	Board of Commissioners	6:45 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
7/6	Board Working Session	6:30 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
7/27	Agenda Meeting	5:00 p.m.	Administration Conference Room
8/2	Ways & Means Committee	6:30 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
8/2	Board of Commissioners	6:45 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
8/3	Board Working Session	6:30 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
8/30	Agenda Meeting	5:00 p.m.	Administration Conference Room
9/6	Ways & Means Committee	6:30 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
9/6	Board of Commissioners	6:45 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
9/7	Board Working Session	6:30 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
9/14	Agenda Meeting	5:00 p.m.	Administration Conference Room
9/20	Ways & Means Committee	6:30 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
9/20**	Board of Commissioners	6:45 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
9/21	Board Working Session	6:30 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
9/28	Agenda Meeting	5:00 p.m.	Administration Conference Room
10/4	Ways & Means Committee	6:30 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
10/4	Board of Commissioners	6:45 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
10/5	Board Working Session	6:30 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
10/12	Agenda Meeting	5:00 p.m.	Administration Conference Room
10/18	Ways & Means Committee	6:30 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
10/18	Board of Commissioners	6:45 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
10/19	Board Working Session	6:30 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
10/26	Agenda Meeting	5:00 p.m.	Administration Conference Room
11/1	Ways & Means Committee	6:30 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
11/1	Board of Commissioners	6:45 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
11/2	Board Working Session	6:30 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
11/8	Agenda Meeting	5:00 p.m.	Administration Conference Room
11/15	Ways & Means Committee	6:30 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
11/15	Board of Commissioners	6:45 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
11/16	Board Working Session	6:30 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
11/30	Agenda Meeting	5:00 p.m.	Administration Conference Room
12/6	Ways & Means Committee	5:30 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building
12/6	Board of Commissioners	5:45 p.m.	Board Room, Administration Building

* Equalization Meeting

** Annual Meeting

A RESOLUTION URGING THAT THE EFFECTIVE CLEANUP AND CONTAINMENT OF 1, 4-DIOXANE FROM THE PALL/GELMAN SCIENCES, INC. GROUNDWATER CONTAMINATION SITE IN WASHTENAW COUNTY BE EXPEDITED

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

JUNE 7, 2000

WHEREAS the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners ("BOC") has, in multiple statements, actions, and resolutions from July, 1992 to the present, deplored the widespread and continuing damage to county water resources and to private and public water supplies from the 1,4-dioxane contamination originating at the Pall/Gelman Sciences, Inc. ("PGSI") Wagner Road facility;

WHEREAS these statements, actions and resolutions call for timely, continuous and effective cleanup of the PGSI site; protection of clean groundwater from migrating contamination plumes and from 1, 4-dioxane discharges during cleanup operations; prevention of hardship and loss to residents; use of best technical means to restore county groundwater resources and to eliminate 1, 4-dioxane from purged contaminated groundwater before it is discharged under state permits;

WHEREAS the BOC authorized participation with other local governments and residents in joint activities to further these goals, including (from 1992 to the present), the Gelman Sciences Citizens Advisory and Review Committee, and (since 1997) the Intergovernmental Partnership Committee including Scio Township, residents, the Washtenaw County Road Commission, and the City of Ann Arbor;

WHEREAS in 1999, Washtenaw County became a party to the contested case administrative proceeding, joining the efforts of the City of Ann Arbor, Scio Residents for Safe Water, and individual citizens, to seek more protective standards in the NPDES permit governing 1, 4-dioxane discharges by PGSI to Honey Creek;

WHEREAS that effort achieved a judicial finding, now awaiting confirmation by the Director of the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality ("MDEQ"), that 1, 4-dioxane concentration in the discharge must be reduced from the amounts allowed in the current permit, 10 parts per billion ("ppb") as a monthly average and 30 ppb as a one-day maximum, to 5 ppb and 15 ppb respectively;

WHEREAS the monitoring and containment efforts exerted by PGSI have not proved adequate to predict or contain further migration of 1, 4-dioxane; data supplied by PGSI confirm that 1, 4-dioxane continues to enter additional county groundwater resources, further threatening public and private water supplies; and the MDEQ has determined that PGSI has violated both its ground and surface water 1, 4-dioxane discharge permits;

WHEREAS full definition of the lateral and vertical extent of all 1, 4-dioxane presence is critical to determining where further contamination will appear and so to preventing it;

WHEREAS no approvable Remedial Action Plan to contain and restore the large western contamination plume has been submitted to the state by PGSI;

WHEREAS the continued spread of 1, 4-dioxane is causing a hardship to citizens and an unacceptable impact on county water resources;

WHEREAS in comment before the MDEQ on January 10, 2000, and by resolution on January 19, 2000, Washtenaw County staff and its Board of Commissioners urged the MDEQ to assess monetary penalties for these failures and violations and to compel compliance with the cleanup objectives and schedules set out in the 1992/1996 consent judgment agreement between MDEQ and PGSI;

WHEREAS the Michigan Office of the Attorney General ("MOAG") does now seek through enforcement action against PGSI in Washtenaw County Circuit Court payment of fines, installation of increased 1, 4-dioxane treatment capacity, complete definition of the nature and extent of the contamination plumes, and containment of the plumes.

WHEREAS a request from Scio Township to the MDEQ to negotiate a settlement of this enforcement action in which PGSI would agree to prevent any further spread of detectable levels of 1, 4-dioxane in the western contamination plume area has been refused in a letter from MDEQ Director Russell Harding, dated May 25, 2000;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners reaffirms its concern with these matters and urges MOAG and MDEQ to pursue vigorous and timely enforcement of compliance with previous agreements and judgments, including increased treatment capacity, definition and containment of contamination, and penalties for noncompliance

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners urges MDEQ Director Russell Harding to accept the recommendation of administrative law Judge Richard Lacasse to lower the NPDES permit effluent limit for 1, 4-dioxane to 5 ppb as a monthly average and 15 ppb as a one-day maximum

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners directs the County Administrator to send this resolution to the Director of MDEQ and the Michigan Office of the Attorney General, with copies to Alan Howard, Chief of Environmental Response, and David Huntington, Chief of Surface Water Quality Divisions of the MDEQ; City of Ann Arbor; Scio Township; State Representatives Hansen, Brater, DeRossett, and Jamnick; State Senator Smith; and Governor Engler

COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A	COMMISSIONER	Y	N	A
Acevedo	X			DeLong	X			Montague	X		
Armentrout	X			DuRussel	X			Robinson	X		
Bergman	X			Gunn	X			Schultz	X		
Chockley	X			Irwin	X			Shaw	X		
Craiger	X			Kern	X			Yekulis	X		

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY ROLL CALL VOTE: TOTALS 15 0 0

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
 COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)^{SS.}

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of Circuit Court for said County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan, on June 7, 2000 as appears of record in my office.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register

BY: _____

Deputy Clerk



Res . No. 00-0127

A RESOLUTION APPOINTING A PRIVATE SECTOR REPRESENTATIVE TO THE WASHTENAW COUNTY WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT BOARD FOR THE REMAINDER OF A THREE-YEAR TERM EXPIRING DECEMBER 31, 2001

WASHTENAW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

June 7, 2000

WHEREAS, on December 13, 1995, the Board of Commissioners adopted a resolution establishing the Washtenaw County Workforce Development Board; and

WHEREAS, a vacancy exists on the Workforce Development Board for the area representing private sector; and

WHEREAS, the Workforce Development Board is up for re-certification of its membership; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners hereby appoints the following member to the Washtenaw County Workforce Development Board for the remainder of a three-year term expiring December 31, 2001:

<u>Member</u>	<u>Representing</u>
1) Donna Crudder	Private

CLERK/REGISTER'S CERTIFICATE - CERTIFIED COPY

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
COUNTY OF WASHTENAW)^{SS}

I, Peggy M. Haines, Clerk/Register of said County of Washtenaw and Clerk of the Circuit Court for said County, the same being a Court of Record:

Do hereby certify that this is a true and compared copy of a resolution adopted by the Washtenaw County Board of Commissioners at a session held at the County Administration Building in the City of Ann Arbor, Michigan on June 7, 2000 as appears of record in my office. That I have compared the same with the original and that it is a true transcript thereof and of the whole thereof.

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Ann Arbor, this _____ day of _____, _____.

PEGGY M. HAINES, Clerk/Register

BY _____
Deputy Clerk/Register



